

Ex Parte FCC comment

FCC Docket 08-165

Broadband Safety

We support the letter below, sent to the FCC regarding Broadband, regulation, and to use fiberoptics. Wireless broadband is life threatening to Nicols (Nicols had to leave Maine, to the radio quiet zone in West Virginia), life threatening to Diane (Diane had to leave Iowa to the radio quiet zone in West Virginia; she was living in a protective Faraday cage, needed to shield from the radiation so to not be injured nor ill), and threatening to Bert (Schou's farm is in Iowa, Bert's profession as an agricultural research scientist, his income, even though his health has become effected from the radiation and his wife is in West Virginia).

A good, recent German study Nicols wished to attach in her letter below can be downloaded (Warnke, U., 2009: Bees, Birds and Mankind: Destroying Nature by 'Electrosmog'. *Effects of wireless communication technologies*, K. Hecht, M. Kern, K. Richter, and H.-C. Scheiner, Eds., Competence Initiative for the Protection of Humanity, Environment and Democracy):

**<http://www.broschuerenreihe.net/international/bees-birds-and-mankind/index.html>**.

Diane Schou, Ph.D. and Bert Schou, Ph.D.

Copies: Representative Kucinich, Representative Michaud, Senator Snowe, Senator Collins, Senator Harkin, Senator Grassley, Representative Braley, Senator Rockefeller, Senator Byrd, Representative Rahall II, Dr. Rea, IA Senator Danielson, IA Representative Kelley, WV Senator Barnes, WV Senator Helmick, WV Representative Hartman, WV Representative Ross

HC 66 461A  
Renick, WV 24966  
November 09, 2009

Ex Parte FCC comment  
FCC Docket 08-165

### National Broadband Plan For Our Future

It is urgently required that citizens and communities retain the right to regulate wireless infrastructure build-out. The choice for connectivity under the National Broadband Plan for Our Future should be **FIBEROPTIC**. Broadband over Powerlines (BPL) should not be allowed because of the danger it represents both to human and animal health as well as the vitality of the plant world.

Many thousands of people are already adversely affected by radio frequency emissions in this country. We are “invisible” because of necessity because we have had to “drop out” of ordinary life. Many of us are now in a desperate situation hardly knowing where to find safe haven as radiofrequency radiation increases. I ask that you give careful consideration to an issue that now affects a relative few of us, but has every potential to impact the health of vast numbers of people and wildlife that effects future generations. (In every environmental health issue it is vital to determine the mechanisms whereby health is being impacted. The German article by Ulrich Warnke identifies the NO/NOO cycle which verifies the work done by the Washington State researcher Dr. Martin Pall)

I attach my own testimony and the results of a German study which is an article that I believe will benefit you for informed decision making. **A Fiberoptic system is preferred.**

Sincerely,

Nicols Fox

Sincerely,

Diane Schou, Ph.D. and Bert Schou, Ph.D.

Dear Federal Communication Commission:

How can we, American citizens protect ourselves from non-ionizing radiation emitted from wireless communication (i.e. wireless broadband) other than as the photos attached?



How can we protect ourselves from contaminated electricity (i.e. broadband over powerlines)?

Please use fiber optics, NOT wireless communications for broadband. Please use fiber optics, NOT broadband over power-lines NOR unshielded cable.

Diane Schou

(involuntarily injured / overexposed to invisible, permeating, far reaching, non-ionizing radiation, costing immensely for medical care, for family, home, career, and future)