

Eliot J. Greenwald  
Direct Phone: 202.373.6009  
Direct Fax: 202.373.6001  
eliot.greenwald@bingham.com  
Our File No.: 4889010001

November 20, 2009

## Via Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Notice of Ex Parte Meeting  
Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for  
Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities  
E911 Requirements for IP-Enhanced Service Providers  
CC Docket 03-123; WC Docket 05-196**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 19, 2009, Claude L. Stout, Executive Director, Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. (“TDI”), Rosaline Crawford, Director, Law and Advocacy Center, National Association of the Deaf (“NAD”), Karen Peltz Strauss on behalf of KPS Consulting, and the undersigned counsel to TDI had an *ex parte* meeting with Cathy Seidel, Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau (“WCB”), Nicholas Alexander, Associate Chief, WCB (by speakerphone), WCB, William Dever, Acting Chief, Competition Policy Division, WCB, Mark Stone, Deputy Chief, Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau (“CGB”), Thomas Chandler, Chief, Disability Rights Office (“DRO”), CGB, Gregory Hlibok, DRO, CGB, and Diane Mason, DRO, CGB.

We discussed the Comments filed by the Consumer Groups on October 27, 2009 in support of the September 10, 2009 CSDVRS Petition for Expedited Reconsideration of the Public Notice regarding toll free numbers issued by the CGB on August 11, 2009, DA 09-1787, the Petition for Emergency Stay also filed by the Consumer Groups on October 27, 2009, and the Supplement to Petition for Emergency Stay; Request to Return to the Status Quo Ante filed by the Consumer Groups on November 12, 2009. We stressed the consumer need for immediate action on the part of the WCB and the CGB to stay the Public Notice and order a return to the status quo ante while the WCB and CGB consider the petition for reconsideration filed by CSDVRS.

We had a further discussion regarding other iTRS toll free numbering issues raised by Commission staff at the earlier meeting held on November 17, 2009, including iTRS toll free number portability, payment for iTRS toll free numbers, and ways for most residential consumers to transition away from the use of toll free numbers, and explored issues to be considered in a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding. However, we

Boston  
Hartford  
Hong Kong  
London  
Los Angeles  
New York  
Orange County  
San Francisco  
Santa Monica  
Silicon Valley  
Tokyo  
Washington

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
2020 K Street NW  
Washington, DC  
20006-1806

T 202.373.6000  
F 202.373.6001  
bingham.com

A/73222196.1

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
November 20, 2009  
Page 2

emphasized the public interest need for the WCB and the CGB to immediately stay the Public Notice and order a return to the status quo ante so as to stop the serious harm caused by the interruption to call completion currently experienced by consumers attempting to place point-to-point video calls. We explained that the public interest is best served by addressing each of these other issues in due course during a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding while maintaining the status quo ante without prejudicing the ultimate result of the rulemaking proceeding.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Eliot J. Greenwald

Cc (by e-mail): Cathy Seidel  
Nicholas Alexander  
William Dever  
Mark Stone  
Thomas Chandler  
Gregory Hlibok  
Diane Mason  
Claude L. Stout  
Rosaline Crawford  
Karen Peltz Strauss