



**National Cable & Telecommunications Association**  
25 Massachusetts Avenue, NW – Suite 100  
Washington, DC 20001  
(202) 222-2300  
  
www.ncta.com

**Michael S. Schooler**  
Vice President and Deputy General Counsel  
  
(202) 222-2445  
(202) 222-2446 Fax

January 6, 2010

**EX PARTE**

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: MB Docket Nos. 07-29; 07-198**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On January 5, 2010, Diane Burstein and I met with Millie Kerr, Staff Attorney for Commissioner Meredith Baker. During the meeting, consistent with NCTA's written comments in the above-captioned proceeding and in the video competition proceeding, we discussed the legal and policy reasons against extending the program access rules to terrestrially-delivered programming. We also discussed why the D.C. Circuit's decision upholding the FCC's interpretation of Section 628(b) in the context of exclusive contracts that directly precluded competitors from providing video programming (including satellite-delivered programming) to residents of multiple dwelling units did not provide a justification for the FCC to regulate contracts for the provision of terrestrially delivered programming.

We also discussed how the FCC has previously evaluated complaints brought under Section 628(b) alleging that exclusive contracts for the provision of terrestrially-delivered programming were "evasions" of Section 628(c)'s ban on exclusive contracts for satellite-delivered programming. In those cases, Commission precedent required a complainant to show that such exclusivity was both "unfair" and had the purpose or effect of "hindering significantly or preventing" an MVPD from providing satellite cable programming to subscribers or customers.

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/ Michael S. Schooler**

Michael S. Schooler

cc: Millie Kerr