I have read information from both sides on this debate. I've decided that the more truthful points are being made in favor of "net neutrality." Although it is apparently true, as Phil Kerpen from Americans for Prosperity and Newsmax say, that competition is intense among media companies, phone and cable, isn't it MORE American to ensure that this great playing field of the internet remains accessible to all players?

Like television and radio "airwaves", electronic communications must have "public access" and strong FCC rules to guarantee that access.

I think Mr. Kerpen is disingenuous when he says in his Newsmax email that we all need to fight against your rulings because "as long as the Internet is free, we can use it to communicate, educate, and organize." However, in the petition he wants me to sign at the Americans for Prosperity website his arguments are clearly in defense of corporations and against "restrictions would lower the rate of return on investments their current investments." Clearly he is talking about "free enterprise" for the few corporations that are currently in control of the market.

It would certainly be a problem should the FCC ever be stacked with Commissioners who "blocked or censored Internet traffic maliciously...." But, again, I disagree with Americans for Prosperity that it was be harder to correct this policy in the public domain than the supposedly self-regulating corporate marketplace.

No, I ask that you as our public Commissioners accept responsibility for enacting strong rules that keep the Internet free from the chance of corporate blocking, censorship and discrimination. Ensure that Internet service providers disclose all efforts to manage content, as proposed, and protect "Net Neutrality."

i