

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-2111

TEL: (202) 371-7000

FAX: (202) 393-5760

www.skadden.com

FIRM/AFFILIATE OFFICES

BOSTON
CHICAGO
HOUSTON
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
PALO ALTO
SAN FRANCISCO
WILMINGTON

BEIJING
BRUSSELS
FRANKFURT
HONG KONG
LONDON
MOSCOW
MUNICH
PARIS
SÃO PAULO
SHANGHAI
SINGAPORE
SYDNEY
TOKYO
TORONTO
VIENNA

February 18, 2010

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Notice of Ex Parte Communication
MB Docket No. 08-82, CSR-7947-Z
GN Docket No. 09-191, WC Docket No. 07-52

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 17, 2010, the undersigned of this Firm, on behalf of the Motion Picture Association of America, Inc. ("MPAA"), met with Austin Schlick to discuss matters relating the use of selectable output control ("SOC") technology and to the open Internet rulemaking as part of the above-referenced proceedings.

With respect to SOC, I discussed the arguments made in MPAA's waiver petition, submitted to the Commission on May 9, 2008, and MPAA's reply comments in the SOC proceeding, submitted to the Commission on July 31, 2008, both of which explained that the pro-consumer purpose of the requested waiver is to enable movie studios to offer millions of Americans in-home access to high-value, high definition video content. I also discussed the mechanics and expenses that would be involved in getting new high-value content to consumers' homes. Finally, I reiterated the points made in MPAA's letter to the Commission submitted as part of the SOC proceeding on November 4, 2009.

With respect to the open Internet proceedings, I discussed the points made in MPAA's comments in these dockets, particularly with respect to the role that protection of content online plays in broadband adoption. I also inquired about the Commission's plans in connection with the proposed new rule regarding nondiscrimination and "managed" or "specialized" services. I indicated that there

Marlene H. Dortch
February 18, 2010
Page 2

appears to be a lot of confusion about how the Commission intends to draw the line between these two categories.

This letter is being submitted electronically in the above-referenced dockets, which have been granted permit-but-disclose status, pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules. Should you have any questions concerning this submission, kindly contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Antoinette Cook Bush
Counsel to MPAA

cc: Austin Schlick