

I've read the summary filing "<http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7020408758>" filed by:

Ed Bosson
Convo Communications, LLC
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs

Mr. Bosson also shared with the NECA TRS Council last April 8 a summary of his communications with the various members mentioned in the above recent filing.

This is to indicate my complete support of his goal of compensating in house VRS calls made by both hearing and deaf employees for actual costs rather than the FCC per minute rate costs or not reimbursing VRS providers at all for such in house calls. In his filing, he stated:

?We then discussed alternative suggestion of modified reimbursement rate which would be limited only to cost of VRS CA and its associated costs thereby resulting equal cost for VRS providers with different total of deaf and hard of hearing employees.?

The goal of such a ?modified reimbursement rate? is:

1. to eliminate any way to increase profit
2. cover costs for in house VRS calls between deaf and hearing employees for business purposes.
3. Level the playing field for various VRS providers with differentiating numbers of deaf employees so that VRS providers with high numbers of deaf employees do not experience increased costs of doing business.

Please give every consideration to a fair compensation rate for the use of in house VRS calls that discourage profit making for such calls and drumming up minutes and at the same time remove any reason for not hiring deaf employees as equals with hearing employees.