



Ann D. Berkowitz
Director
Federal Regulatory Affairs

1300 I Street, NW
Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 515-2539
(202) 336-7922 (fax)
aberkowitz@verizon.com

April 21, 2010

Ex Parte

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Local Number Portability Interval and Validation Requirements, WC Docket No. 07-244 and Telephone Number Portability, CC Docket No. 95-116

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, Rosemary Emmer and Scott Freiermuth of Sprint Nextel; Indra Chalk and Paula Jordan of T-Mobile; Mary Retka of Qwest; David Redl of CTIA – The Wireless Association; Mike Tan of AT&T; and Gary Sacra and the undersigned of Verizon met with Jennifer Schneider, Senior Policy and Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, to discuss the North American Numbering Council's (NANC) recommendation for implementing a one business-day porting interval.

During the meeting, the industry parties urged the Commission to adopt expeditiously the NANC recommendations *in toto*, in particular the 14 standard LSR fields. The parties demonstrated that the 14 fields are the correct fields for the entire industry rather than a particular segment thereof. The parties also asked the Commission to mandate industry compliance with the NANC recommendations to ensure that all carriers operate under the same requirements in order to achieve the Commission mandate for one-business day porting. Finally, the industry participants communicated that a long delay of the ruling or any departure from the NANC recommendations jeopardizes the industry's ability to meet the August 2010 implementation of the shorter, one-day porting interval for simple ports. The industry parties also discussed the issues involving Customer Service Records (CSRs) and Directory Listings (DLs) that were raised late in this proceeding. Any deviation from the NANC recommendations concerning CSRs would prevent many consumers from porting in one business day. Changes in a porting customer's DL require an additional form and/or fields -- the standardization of which could jeopardize the industry's

Marlene H. Dortch
April 21, 2010

ability to meet the August 2010 implementation date. The attached handout was used during the meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anne D. Burk". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "A".

Attachment

cc: Jennifer Schneider

Porting Order

- NANC, the LNPA-WG and ATIS are aligned – we support expeditious adoption of the broadly supported NANC recommendation *and mandated compliance*
- All parties agree that standardization is necessary to meet the one business day porting interval
- Local Service Request (LSR) standardized fields:
 - Consensus determined that each of the proposed 14 fields has an important function in the porting process for all types of providers, including those who support multiple services
- Additional issues raised:
 - Customer Service Record (CSR)
 - Directory Listing Changes
- An Order expeditiously adopting the NANC recommendation *in toto*, including the 14 fields, is required to meet the August 2nd implementation deadline.