

May 10, 2010

EX PARTE NOTICE

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Broadband Pole Attachments, WC Docket No. 07-245
National Broadband Plan, GN Docket No. 09-51
Petition of American Electric, et al, WC Docket No. 09-154**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On May 10, 2010, Mary McManus of Comcast Corporation and Wes Heppler of Davis Wright Tremaine LLP met with Angela Kronenberg, Legal Advisor, Wireline to Commissioner Clyburn. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss Commission implementation of the pole attachment recommendations set forth in the National Broadband Plan (the "Plan").

Comcast urged the Commission to swiftly implement the Plan's recommendation that "rates for pole attachments should be as low and as close to uniform as possible". Specifically, Comcast asked that the Commission address the pole rate issues promptly in an order -- as all such rate issues had been fully raised and commented upon in the above referenced proceedings.

Comcast also emphasized that the cable pole attachment rate is the appropriate unified rate to advance the Commission's broadband deployment goal. As described in Comcast's comments in each of the above three referenced proceedings, the cable rate has been found to be fully compensatory by the Commission, the courts, and numerous state regulatory commissions. Comcast pointed out that the current cable rate is the *maximum* cable pole rate that is allowed under Section 224(d)(1), and that Section 224(d)(1) specifically allows for a far lower level of

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
May 10, 2010
Page 2

compensation to the utilities -- a rate that need only cover the additional cost of providing the pole attachments. Thus, the utilities continue to receive a pole rental rate far in excess of the minimum compensatory rate allowed by Section 224.

Finally, Comcast urged the Commission to deny the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by American Electric Power and other utilities in WC Docket No. 09-154. The outcome sought in that Petition would dramatically increase pole attachment rates for virtually all cable broadband attachments -- in direct conflict with the Plan's finding that a uniform and low pole attachment rate is essential to encourage continued broadband deployment. Comcast noted that utility companies, in the absence of a specific Commission rejection of this Petition, have brought litigation in various state courts seeking to apply the telecommunications pole attachment rate to the delivery of VoIP services.

Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Wesley R. Heppler

cc: Angela Kronenberg