

Law Offices
BLOOSTON, MORDKOFKY, DICKENS, DUFFY & PRENDERGAST, LLP
2120 L Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037

HAROLD MORDKOFKY
BENJAMIN H. DICKENS, JR.
JOHN A. PRENDERGAST
GERARD J. DUFFY
RICHARD D. RUBINO
MARY J. SISA
D. CARY MITCHELL
SALVATORE TAILLEFER, JR.

ARTHUR BLOOSTON
1914 - 1999

(202) 659-0830
Facsimile: (202) 828-5568

June 30, 2010

AFFILIATED SOUTH AMERICAN OFFICES

ESTUDIO JAUREGUI & ASSOCIATES
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

ROBERT M. JACKSON
OF COUNSEL

PERRY W. WOOFER
LEGISLATIVE CONSULTANT

EUGENE MALISZEWSKYJ
DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

WRITER'S CONTACT INFORMATION

(202) 828-5528
gjd@bloostonlaw.com

Filed Via ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: GN Docket No. 09-51 and WC Docket Nos. 10-90 and 05-337

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 30, 2010, Paul Kelly of Cordova Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; Steve Merriam of Arctic Slope Telephone Association Cooperative; Doug Neal of OTZ Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; and Derrick Owens and Gerard Duffy representing the Western Telecommunications Alliance met with Christi Shewman, Legal Advisor for Wireline and Universal Service to Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker, to discuss various broadband and universal service matters affecting Alaskan rural telephone companies and their customers.

The topics included: (a) the unique nature of Alaskan telecommunications operating conditions and costs; (b) the success of Alaskan rural telephone companies in deploying digital subscriber line ("DSL") services in their large and remote service areas; (c) the manner in which Rate of Return regulation has enabled Alaskan rural telephone companies to obtain critical financing and to construct, upgrade, operate and maintain their networks in an efficient and effective manner; (d) the inability of potential cost models to depict accurately the reasonable costs of constructing, operating and maintaining Alaskan telecommunications networks; (e) the very high costs of middle mile transport and the constraints it places upon the broadband speeds and services available to Alaskan customers; and (f) the manner in which concerns regarding the future universal service and intercarrier compensation revenue streams of rural telephone companies has been drying up infrastructure financing and even discouraging some rural telephone companies from accepting the grant/loans awarded to them by the Broadband Initiatives Program of the Rural Utilities Service.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
June 30, 2010
Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules, this submission is being filed for inclusion in the public record of the referenced proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,


Gerard J. Duffy

cc: Christi Shewman