July 26, 2010

Electronic Filing

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Written *Ex Parte* Presentation– Hearing Aid Compatibility Principles for Handsets Operating on Multiple Frequency Bands and/or Modes, WT Docket No. 07-250

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On July 23, 2010, Scott Bergmann, Assistant Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Matthew Gerst, Counsel, External & State Affairs, CTIA–The Wireless Association® ("CTIA"), along with Shellie Blakeny of T-Mobile USA, Praveen Goyal of Research In Motion Limited ("RIM"), Robert Morse of counsel to RIM, Cathy Massey of Clearwire, Katie Peters of Motorola and Rebecca Schwartz of TIA ("attendees"), met with Louis Peraertz, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Mignon Clyburn. CTIA and attendees discussed proposals before the Commission to modify the *de minimis* rule to the Commission's Hearing Aid Compatibility ("HAC") requirements, as described in recent *ex parte* submissions in the proceeding. During this meeting, CTIA expressed its support for the current *de minimis* rule and raised concerns that the modifications to the *de minimis* rule proposed in recent *ex partes* appear to be an overcorrection to a more limited concern. CTIA also noted that further testing and discussion with service providers and representatives of the hearing loss community is warranted before the proposed "power down" option is implemented. CTIA requested additional time to work with the Commission and representatives of the hearing loss community to address concerns that the *de minimis* rule not be used indefinitely while maintaining the flexibility afforded under the current *de minimis* rule.

CTIA noted that, as the result of a robust and competitive wireless ecosystem, U.S. consumers have the kind of choice and value that consumers around the world strive for. Competition is vigorous among manufacturers to serve all consumers, including persons with disabilities. Manufacturers do this by incorporating "built-in" accessibility features into their devices, including text-to-speech, speech-to-text and screen readers, HAC, support for Tele-Typewriters ("TTY") and Assistive Technology ("AT"), predictive text, word completion, voice activated features and closed captioning.[1] Since the Commission's HAC rules for wireless handsets were

[1] Comments of CTIA-The Wireless Association®, GN Docket No. 10-100 (filed June 10, 2010).

first established, the wireless industry has successfully collaborated with representatives of the hearing loss community to develop HAC standards for wireless handsets, implement labeling and consumer awareness initiatives, and address concerns as innovative wireless handsets which are outside the Commission's current HAC rules are introduced to the marketplace. CTIA noted that the Commission has previously committed to conduct a comprehensive review of its HAC rules, scheduled to start later this year, and that CTIA looks forward to participating in that review.[2] CTIA stated that, if the Commission is committed to moving forward with changes in advance of that comprehensive review, it should do so with an eye toward the careful balance that is reflected in the current HAC rules.

With regard to Section 20.19(e) of the Commission's rules (the *de minimis* rule),[3] the Commission adopted the *de minimis* rule to promote innovation and competition from new market entrants and handsets, and has a well-established policy that the *de minimis* rule should be available to any businesses, regardless of size, that offer a limited number of digital wireless handsets on an air interface.[4] In the meeting, CTIA noted that the *de minimis* rule is working exactly as intended by the Commission: it has encouraged innovation and competition while also assuring consumers a wide choice of HAC wireless handsets. CTIA and attendees described how proposed modifications of the *de minimis* rule could undermine the Commission's objectives with regard to the development and deployment of innovative wireless broadband handsets and services.

Specifically, CTIA raised concerns that the modifications to the *de minimis* rule proposed in recent *ex partes* appear to be an overcorrection to a more limited concern and have the potential to inflict unintended consequences on the vibrant wireless ecosystem. For example, with regard to "4G" wireless technologies, manufacturers and service providers are already relying on the *de minimis* rule, not because there are concerns with HAC for "4G" handsets, but because the tests and standards which would likely certify a "4G" handset's HAC compliance has not yet

---

[2] Amendment of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid Compatibility Telephones, Petition of American National Standards Institute Accredited Standards Committee C63 (EMC) ANSI ASC C63™, WT Docket No. 07-250, *First Report and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd. 3406, 3451 ¶117 (2008) ("*HAC First Report and Order*").

[3] The Commission limited the scope of the public mobile services exemption to the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988 by adopting a *de minimis* rule. 47 C.F.R. § 20.19 (e).

[4] *See* In the Matter of Section 68.4(a) of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid Compatibility Telephones, WT Docket No. 01-309, Report and Order, 18 FCC Rcd 16753, 16781 ¶ 69 (2003) ("*HAC Order*") (adopting the *de minimis* rule in recognition that HAC requirements "could have a disproportionate impact on small phone manufacturers *or those that sell only a small number of digital wireless handsets*"), *aff'd. on reconsideration*, 20 FCC Rcd 11221, 11225 ¶¶ 51-53 (2005) (modifying the *de minimis* rule to HAC obligations by adopting a per-air-interface interpretation) ("*HAC Order on Reconsideration*") (emphasis added); *HAC First Report and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd. 3406, 3435 ¶73 ("…as we have stated previously, the rule was not adopted solely for the benefit of small businesses, but for businesses of any size that sell only a small number of digital wireless handsets in the United States.").

been adopted. Therefore, proposals to eliminate the *de minimis* rule in advance of the development of compliance standards have the potential to deter the very entry that the Commission and the wireless industry seek to achieve. Similarly, proposed changes to the *de minimis* rule should not foreclose manufacturers from availing themselves of the established framework as they exit particular air interfaces. CTIA noted that our member companies are currently considering alternatives which maintain the *de minimis* rule while ensuring that entity's may not use the *de minimis* rule indefinitely.

CTIA also noted that, with regard to the proposed "power down" option, the Hearing Loss Association of America ("HLAA") has raised concerns about the use of such option for emergency situations and requested the Commission find ways to work with industry to ensure emergency calls may be completed when a device is operating with this option.[5] In addition, the Commission's Office of Engineering and Technology ("OET") issued guidance stating that a device which utilizes the "power down" option should not be certified as HAC-compliant.[6] While the "power down" option could be a successful addition to the Commission's HAC rules, CTIA believes that these issues merit further testing and discussion with service providers and representatives of the hearing loss community before such an option is implemented.[7]

Given these concerns, CTIA requested additional time to work with the Commission and representatives of the hearing loss community to develop a modification to the *de minimis* rule which does not fundamentally alter the current rule while addressing the concerns of the Commission and hearing loss community that the rule not be used indefinitely.

Please contact the undersigned or Matthew Gerst if there are questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Scott Bergmann

Scott Bergmann
Assistant Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

cc: Louis Peraertz

---

[5] Ex Parte Comments of the Hearing Loss Association of America ("HLAA"), WT Docket No. 07-250 (filed July 13, 2010).

[6] Ex Parte Comments of Apple, Inc., WT Docket No. 07-250 (filed July 9, 2010).

[7] CTIA also notes that the Commission's proposed modification to the *de minimis* rule without the "power down" option for GSM 1900 MHz would appear to undermine the Commission's intent to bring more wireless handsets into compliance with Commission's HAC rules. *See* Ex Parte Comments of Apple, Inc., WT Docket No. 07-250 (filed July 9, 2010).