

As a twenty year old college student, I routinely check many different websites everyday for news, entertainment, shopping, and information. Commercializing the world wide web will hinder both the ability to view what one desires as well as the incentives for single individuals to create quality websites.

Also, as one of the many millions of Internet gamers out there, I have fears that a policed broadband network will lead to these types of games being much more difficult, aka expensive, to play. Millions will have an issue with this.

The internet works. It is the epitome of the American values of freedom, entrepreneurship, and originality. Changing the internet now to restrict freedom of access will fundamentally change it in a way many believe to be for the worst. It may be, if this Googizon "policy framework" goes through, that 20 years from now people will look back and say "Yes, I'm glad the FCC did this. Julius Genachowski was a visionary."

HOWEVER, the almost billion users of the internet right now will deplore and hate this change. It sets dangerous precedents and I think if this change goes through an even bigger political backlash will react to revert the decision back to truly free Net Neutrality. So in the end, I think the Net will be safe either way, but the FCC as a government entity needs to retain its control over the web, and not relinquish its power to corporate entities.

You, the FCC, should be using companies like Google and Verizon's abilities for the benefit of us, not the other way around. Americans should not be used by the companies as a way to eek out a higher profit margin, whic you know deep down is their underlying reason for their desire to end net neutrality.