

Comment Re: Commissioners Copps and Clyburn from August 19...

I read over "prepared" comments that were transmitted to me by WIRE COMMUNICATION from Prepared Remarks of FCC Commissioner Clyburn and Commissioner Copps at "The Future of the Internet" Public Hearing Minneapolis, Minnesota August 19, 2010

Commissioner Copps stated on August 19, 2010 as follows from page 3.

"..., two previous FCCs replied. "We'll call access to the Internet an 'information service' instead of 'telecommunications.'" And, presto, the deed was done. They moved it out from where it was and that meant that the safeguards that accompanied plain old telephone service would have no guaranteed place in the digital world. Can you believe it? Well, it happened—although, I should point out, only over my strong objections and those of my friend and then-colleague, Jonathan Adelstein. By the way, no other country in the world that I can find ever played a semantic game like this wherein they stopped calling "telecommunications" telecommunications, gave it a new name, and used that as the excuse to undercut how an industry meets its responsibilities to the public.

Our job now is to correct course by reclassifying broadband as the telecommunications service that it is (you know: actually call an apple an apple) and then craft rules and procedures that will protect consumers against discrimination, protect against a privatized Internet, and protect against the cannibalization, cable-ization and further consolidation of broadband technology. That doesn't mean that every regulation that applied to a dial phone applies to access to the Internet—but it means someone has the authority to make sure our telecommunications infrastructure truly serves the people."

Commissioner Clyburn then went on to say as follows in addition to "amen".

"...So for these reasons, I am a firm believer in an *open Internet*, and I am also a proponent of the Commission enacting rules to ensure an open Internet. But let me be clear, when I say this, *I am not talking about government regulating the content on the Internet*. This is about consumers—rather than corporations—maintaining control over their online experience. This is about keeping the Internet open for new entrants, small companies, people of all backgrounds and levels of experience and financial resources, including people of color and women."

".... I have high hopes that, in the policy debate about preserving open Internet principles, all sides will spend less time on the rhetoric and spend more time on the facts and law and the results for consumers.

I tell my staff often that it is important for us to get outside the Beltway and hear directly from consumers. I want to thank you and the participants on tonight's panel for taking time out of your busy lives to attend tonight's discussion. I look forward to hearing about what an open Internet means to you."

I fear that after reading the two comments above that both of you like to surf WIRE COMMUNICATIONS for pornography? I will admit that "wire pornography" was at one time very tempting to even me. Commissioner Clyburn says that he does not want to regulate pornography on the Internet although he uses the *de facto* term for unregulated content called "*open Internet*".

I have sued every American Search Engine for transmitting pornography via unregulated WIRE COMMUNICATIONS and have also sought to have an injunction requiring the Federal Communications Commission to begin regulating the WIRE COMMUNICATIONS that use computers as the APPARATUS on either end of the WIRE and that has been disguised as Commissioner Copps pointed out very well as "information service".

When I graduated from High School if you had told me that watching Teri Weigel, the April Playboy Centerfold that year (1986), performing fellatio on a black man would be free and accessible to even children in a few decades, I would not have believed you. Ms Weigel had not started producing explicit pornography and no Centerfold ever had. In 1986 you could not type "Terri Weigel" on any computer anywhere to see porn.

Today you can be overseas or anywhere on Earth that has WIRE COMMUNICATION disguised as the Internet and watch Ms Weigel sucking a black man's penis. I have nothing against black penises and Ms Weigel does a very good job causing them to ejaculate into her mouth.

I have a severe traumatic brain injury and have a memory that is not normal or even marginally understood. In 2002, I arrived on earth with the intellect of an 34 year old adult and nearly no continuous memory of the past. I am very physically and mentally disabled but am also extremely offended that the United States traffics pornography to the whole Earth!

It embarrasses me highly that figure nude photography produced by me is transmitted to anonymous viewers including my children, Muslims, and atheists. I have a right granted by the Creator to not show pornographic photos to my children when they type in "Curtis Neeley" and especially not Michael Peven's erect penis photo! Google Inc claims it is from my WIRE COMMUNICATION broadcast or from my website. It has never been on my website and the page they claim to get it from is actually a page expressing how appalled I am that a pornographer taught photography with no degree in photography for over thirty years at the University of Arkansas. These certified document PDFs can be seen below as text searchable. These are evidence quality files and will be evidence to support my lawsuit claim that the FCC allows Google Inc to transmit pornography they correctly and incorrectly attribute to me by WIRE COMMUNICATION. The "open internet" where pornography is transmitted to children has been illegal since it was first done!

1. curtisneeley.com/NameMedia/certiorari/08-25-2010-image_search.pdf
2. curtisneeley.com/NameMedia/certiorari/Peven-penis_8-25-2010.pdf

It should not take a genius to realize that "open Internet" has always been illegal when trafficking unregulated pornography to the ENTIRE world. I can't believe that the biggest changes in technology ever whereby the common CONSUMER can publish internationally by WIRE COMMUNICATION was not regulated as it should have been from the start.

I have already filed an emergency *writ of mandamus* and a petition for a *writ of certiorari* to the Supreme Court that arrived there 8/25/2010 at 8:28 CST. The emergency petition has asked Justice Samuel Alito to order the FCC to begin regulating WIRE COMMUNICATIONS and this will either occur by next week or be tossed out. If that happens I will simply wait to see if *certiorari* is granted and resolve to wait till next year when a jury gets to decide how much Google Inc and NAME MEDIA INC get to pay for re-publishing and refusing to delete my figure nude art when the DMCA agents were notified. I will, of course seek to add every search engine and the FCC to the lowly Western District of Arkansas case before the trial.

1. FCC must define publication of a document with a link accessible by a Search Engine spider to now be considered a digital broadcast.
2. FCC must establish a RULE that all servers have a robots file that discloses the RATING of the server with self-rating allowed but with fines for incorrectly rating compared to movie standards. Having no rating would default as excluded by all browsers as described below.
3. FCC must establish a RULE that ALL browsers be required to contain an APPARATUS that blocks all sites according to the desires of the purchaser of the computer regardless of who uses it. [Trivial revision but hard to explain quickly] No filters or other joke would be required.
4. FCC must establish a RULE whereby the same type APPARATUS as above would block all ad sites regardless of browser choice.
5. FCC must establish an FCC Search Engine Alternative that does not violate laws and does not store any user information except searches for illegal items.
6. FCC must establish a division of the FCC for WIRE COMMUNICATIONS that runs the search engine above and that deals with obscenity complaints for WIRE COMMUNICATIONS and uses the advertising revenue of the search engine to offset taxes and fund the new division.

I might be delusional and completely wrong and if I am delusional in my belief that horny men are the primary reason for the existence of an "open Internet", I apologize and will attempt to understand why I am delusional and mitigate my distress. I will file this as a 10-25 comment and this will be my last comment. I already sued the FCC for nonfeasance but only sought requiring feasance and no damages.

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
www.CurtisNeeley.com
2619 N. Quality Ln, Ste 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703
Curtis(at)CurtisNeeley(dot)com
four-seven-nine-two-six-three
four-seven-nine-five

DISCLAIMER: Curtis Neeley suffered a severe traumatic brain injury that often very negatively impacts his communications. He is often perceived as blunt, tactless, self-centered and rude. Although Curtis has a severe disability, he is determined to continue performing meaningful art. The Curtis Neeley Foundation is created to preserve and promote his artistic photographic legacy.