



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2010**

AUGUST 31, 2010

Brett Haan, Principal
Deloitte Consulting LLP
1001 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
T: 202.370.2574
bhaan@deloitte.com

Kamesh Mullapudi, Director
Deloitte Consulting LLP
1001 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
T: 202.758.1766
kmullapudi@deloitte.com

Robert B. Kelly, Partner
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
T: 202.626.6216
F: 202.626.6780
rkelly@ssd.com

Douglas L. Povich, Partner
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.
8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor
Tysons Corner, VA 22182
T: 703.720.7888
F: 703.720.7801
dpovich@ssd.com

Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President
Baseline Wireless Services, LLC
2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133
Lafayette, CO 80026
T: 303.444.1480
F: 888.361.0603
JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW 1

I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS 4

A. Program Wide Snapshot 4

 1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations..... 4

 2. Reconfiguration Implementation 6

B. Recent Developments 8

 1. Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands 8

 2. Cost Metrics..... 9

 3. Financial True-Up Date 10

 4. Requests for Waiver of Rebanding Completion Deadline 11

 5. Vacated Interleaved Band Channels and NPSPAC Clearing 12

 6. U.S.-Mexico Border Region 14

II. QUARTERLY UPDATE..... 16

A. Update by Stage and Wave 16

 1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)..... 16

 2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band)..... 17

 3. Implementation Schedule Implications..... 23

 4. Canadian Border Regions 24

 5. Change Notices and Amendments 29

B. Program Financial Information 30

 1. Reconfiguration Expenditures 30

 2. Letter of Credit 32

 3. External Audit..... 32

 4. Transition Administrator..... 33

 5. Closing Process and Reconfiguration Completion Certifications..... 33

C. Specialized Support Functions..... 35

 1. Stakeholder Inquiries 36

 2. TA-Produced Materials, TA Website, and Outreach Activities..... 36

 3. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review 37

III. APPENDICES..... 38

APPENDIX 1A Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

APPENDIX 1B	Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 1: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 2	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, the Southeast ESMR Band, and the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010 and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing, Expansion Band Reconfiguration Status, and Southeast ESMR Band Reconfiguration Status
APPENDIX 3A	Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 3B	Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 2: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 3C	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 4	Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 5	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 6	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 7	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 8	Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010
APPENDIX 9	800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through June 30, 2010

OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program in non-border areas generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) in Stage 1 and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum in Stage 2. There are two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the planning and negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the physical retuning of the radio system. The Canadian border reconfiguration is also occurring in two stages: Stage 1 is non-Public Safety and Stage 2 is Public Safety. The exact frequencies being reconfigured vary in each FCC-defined Canadian Border Region.

Reconfiguration of Non-Border Stage 2 Licensees

As of June 30, 2010, 96 percent of the FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band) licensees were negotiated and submitted to the TA. Many of the remaining licensees without FRAs have large and complex systems and extensive interoperability requirements. The majority have submitted cost estimates and are in the FRA negotiation process.

The main activities for non-border Stage 2 licensees are occurring in the implementation phase. Non-border Stage 2 licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 91,000 radios (first touches) in the second quarter, raising the total number to approximately 987,000 radios as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 56 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs. The TA notes that prompt completion of implementation activities and release of old NPSPAC frequencies by licensees will speed the release of additional interleaved band spectrum to Public Safety entities because Sprint Nextel’s staged clearing of the interleaved band is tied to clearing of the old NPSPAC band in certain NPSPAC regions.

Licensees that have completed physical retuning must complete all closing process activities required in their FRAs and outlined in the TA’s published guidance. A licensee’s

¹ Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, *Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004), amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2004), *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004), and *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004), amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Second Report and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (2008), amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. May 28, 2008); *Third Report and Order and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 25 FCC Rcd 4443 (2010) (“*Third Report and Order*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² See 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3) (2010).

reconfiguration is not complete until it has completed the closing process. As of June 30, 2010, FRA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA for 28 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs. Licensees and Sprint Nextel should complete Actual Cost Reconciliation and closing activities in accordance with the timeframes suggested in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet.³ The TA monitors the closing process and will continue to track a licensee's reconfiguration until it has completed the closing process and submitted an FRA Completion Certification.

Reconfiguration of Canadian Border Region Licensees

With 85 percent of the FRAs for Canadian border Stage 1 (Business, Industrial and Land Transportation ("B/ILT") and Specialized Mobile Radio ("SMR")) licensees negotiated and submitted to the TA, the main activities for such licensees are occurring in the implementation phase. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 60 percent of Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs.

Canadian border Stage 2 (NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety) licensees continued to move forward with planning and negotiation activities in the second quarter. Nearly half of Stage 2 licensees have negotiated Planning Funding Agreements ("PFAs"). Some of these licensees are engaged in planning activities and some have entered or completed FRA negotiations. As of June 30, 2010, 63 percent of the FRAs anticipated for Canadian border Stage 2 licensees were negotiated and submitted to the TA. This has enabled licensees to proceed with, and, in some cases, complete, implementation activities. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 14 percent of Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs. Canadian border licensees are required to complete reconfiguration by April 14, 2011.

Reconfiguration of Puerto Rico Licensees

On April 26, 2010, the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB") released a *Third Report and Order and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* adopting a revised 800 MHz band plan for Puerto Rico.⁴ The PSHSB established an 18-month transition period for completion of rebanding in Puerto Rico, which begins on September 20, 2010. The PSHSB also sought comment on adopting the same revised band plan for the U.S. Virgin Islands.

The PSHSB directed the TA to develop, by August 23, 2010, a detailed Puerto Rico band reconfiguration timetable with milestones for completion of each stage of the process. The TA filed its Implementation Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in Puerto Rico ("Implementation Timetable") with the PSHSB on August 20, 2010.⁵ Reconfiguration of site-based licenses in Channels 1-120 and public safety licensees in the NPSPAC Band and Expansion Band is already underway. The Implementation Timetable sets out the timeframe and

³ The ACR Fact Sheet is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/ACR_Fact_Sheet.pdf.

⁴ See *Third Report and Order*.

⁵ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in Puerto Rico," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Aug. 20, 2010).

sequence for the reconfiguration of the remaining licensees in Puerto Rico, including the Stage 1 relocation of non-public safety licensees, Stage 2 relocation of NPSPAC licensees, Economic Area (“EA”) and ESMR licensees, and high-site incumbent licensees, and Stage 3 relocation (if necessary).

The TA mailed frequency proposals to Puerto Rico licensees that must be reconfigured from the 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band in July 2010. A 90-day mandatory negotiation period for such licensees begins on September 20, 2010. Prior to that date, affected licensees should get started on planning for the reconfiguration of their radio system and prepare a cost estimate so they are prepared for the start of the negotiation period. In the Implementation Timetable, the TA established October 19, 2010 as the due date for such licensees to submit a cost estimate. After a licensee submits a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel, it will negotiate an FRA with Sprint Nextel.

Financial True-Up Date

On May 25, 2010, the TA filed with the PSHSB a report recommending that the 800 MHz reconfiguration financial reconciliation “true-up” deadline be extended from June 30, 2010 until December 31, 2010.⁶ On June 29, 2010, the PSHSB released an Order postponing the true-up date from June 30, 2010 until December 31, 2010.⁷ The PSHSB required the TA to file a report by November 15, 2010 with its recommendation on whether the true-up should be conducted as of December 31, 2010 or be further postponed.

Conclusion

In non-border Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR channels), 99 percent of the FRAs have been negotiated and submitted to the TA, and physical retuning is complete for 97 percent of the FRAs. In non-border Stage 2, physical retuning is complete for 56 percent of FRAs. In Canadian border Stage 1, physical retuning is complete for 60 percent of FRAs. For Canadian border Stage 2, FRA negotiations are 63 percent complete, which has enabled some licensees to complete their physical retunes. The 18-month transition period for reconfiguration of Puerto Rico licensees will begin in September 2010. The band plan for the U.S.-Mexico border region is still under development.

⁶ See Letter from Brett S. Haan, TA, to David L. Furth, PSHSB, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 25, 2010) (“TA True-up Letter”).

⁷ See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, WT Docket No. 02-55, *Order*, 25 FCC Rcd 8217 ¶ 1 (2010) (“True-up Order”).

I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section provides a program-wide snapshot that summarizes the status of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program. It describes two measures of program progress: Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations and reconfiguration implementation. It also discusses recent developments in the program, identifies program-wide issues, and, where applicable, describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.


A. Program Wide Snapshot

1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations

The TA generally measures the progress of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations in two ways: (1) the number of *FRA*s that have been negotiated and agreed to between incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel and submitted to the TA; and (2) the number of *call signs* included in those *FRA*s.

An *FRA* specifies the reconfiguration activities and related costs for each licensee as well as the frequencies to be cleared. The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border *FRA*s that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR channels) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSAC and Expansion Band) licensees.

Chart 1: Non-border *FRA*s Submitted to the TA as of June 30, 2010⁸




- Stage 1: 99 percent of non-border *FRA*s have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 96 percent of non-border *FRA*s have been submitted to the TA

⁸ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected *FRA*s. The estimate of total *FRA*s expected does not include *FRA*s for licensees affected by the international border areas for Canada and Mexico.

For the non-border portion of the program, 1,741 FRAs (98 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of a total of 1,779 FRAs expected for non-border licensees in Stages 1 and 2.

Chart 2 shows the number of Canadian Border Region FRAs that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (B/ILT and SMR) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety) licensees.

Chart 2: Canadian Border FRAs Submitted to the TA as of June 30, 2010⁹




- Stage 1: 85 percent of Canadian border FRAs have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 63 percent of Canadian border FRAs have been submitted to the TA

Chart 3 shows the number of call signs with frequencies in the Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC bands included in FRAs submitted to the TA.

⁹ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected FRAs.

Chart 3: Call Signs in Submitted FRAs by Band¹⁰



The number of outstanding Channels 1-120 call signs not yet in FRAs reflects the material number of call signs to be reconfigured that are located in, or affected by, the Canadian and Mexican border areas. For NPSPAC, the difference is again the border factor (both Canadian and Mexican), as well as an indication that a number of the outstanding non-border FRAs are for large systems with multiple call signs.

2. Reconfiguration Implementation


The TA generally measures the status of reconfiguration implementation, or physical retuning, in two ways: (1) the number of *FRAs* for which physical retuning is complete; and (2) the number of *call signs* that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licenses.¹¹

Chart 4 depicts the number of non-border FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete.

¹⁰ This chart includes call signs within the Canadian and Mexican border regions. Data is based on the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database. This chart does not include Expansion Band call signs.

¹¹ Cleared means that the licensee has retuned its base stations to its new channel assignments and commenced system operations on the new channels. Maps 2 and 3 and Appendix 2 have information on clearing by call sign.

Chart 4: Non-border FRAs with Physical Retuning Complete as of June 30, 2010




With Sprint Nextel reporting that physical retuning is complete for 97 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs, Channels 1-120 clearing is nearing completion. Furthermore, 72 percent of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of June 30, 2010. The difference between the percentages of *call signs* compared to the percentages of *FRAs* is attributable to the fact that the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.

NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations often involve complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally require more time and coordination than was needed for Stage 1. As of June 30, 2010, there were 482 FRAs (56 percent) for which non-border Stage 2 licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. 33 percent of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of June 30, 2010. The retuning of base stations to a licensee’s new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels is a significant milestone because it means that the main goal of the reconfiguration process – separating Public Safety and commercial cellular operations – has been achieved.

Chart 5 shows the number of Canadian Border Region FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete.

Chart 5: Canadian Border FRAs with Physical Retuning Complete as of June 30, 2010



Reconfiguration of Canadian border Stage 1 licensees is 60 percent completed.

Reconfiguration implementation is in the early stages for Canadian border Stage 2 licensees. As with non-border NPSPAC licensees, reconfiguration of Canadian border Stage 2 licensees often involves complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally requires more time and coordination than is needed for Stage 1 reconfiguration. Furthermore, certain Stage 1 licensees need to complete their physical reconfiguration in order to clear replacement channels for NPSPAC licensees. Stage 2 licensees have commenced and, in some cases, completed implementation activities. As of June 30, 2010, there were 18 Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs (14 percent) for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels.

B. Recent Developments

1. Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands

On April 26, 2010, the PSHSB released a *Third Report and Order and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* establishing a revised 800 MHz band plan for Puerto Rico. The PSHSB established an 18-month transition period for completion of rebanding in Puerto Rico, which begins on September 20, 2010. In the *Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, the PSHSB sought comment on adopting the same revised band plan for the U.S. Virgin Islands and on the schedule for reconfiguration there.

The *Third Report and Order* established timelines for reconfiguration in Puerto Rico. The PSHSB stated that planning and negotiations must be completed within 90 days, leaving 15 months for the implementation phase. The PSHSB adopted a single 90-day mandatory negotiation period for the remaining incumbent licensees that must be reconfigured from the

816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band.¹² If a licensee and Sprint Nextel have not negotiated a FRA by the end of the negotiation period, they will participate in mediation.

The PSHSB directed the TA to develop, by August 23, 2010, a detailed Puerto Rico band reconfiguration timetable with milestones for completion of each stage of the process. The TA filed its Implementation Timetable with the PSHSB on August 20, 2010. Reconfiguration of site-based licenses in Channels 1-120 and public safety licensees in the NPSPAC Band and Expansion Band is already underway. The Implementation Timetable sets out the timeframe and sequence for the reconfiguration of the remaining licensees in Puerto Rico, including the Stage 1 relocation of non-public safety licensees, Stage 2 relocation of NPSPAC licensees, Economic Area (“EA”) and ESMR licensees, and high-site incumbent licensees, and Stage 3 relocation (if necessary). The TA also modified its Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) Plan to address negotiations and mediation for Puerto Rico licensees.¹³

In July 2010, the TA mailed Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) to Puerto Rico licensees that must be reconfigured from the 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band that detail their proposed replacement frequencies. The TA encourages such licensees to begin planning for and preparing a cost estimate for the reconfiguration of their radio system. In the Implementation Timetable, the TA established October 19, 2010 as the due date for such licensees to submit a cost estimate. Puerto Rico licensees currently located in the Guard Band (816.5-817/861.5-862 MHz) that want to relocate from the Guard Band to the Interleaved Band or Expansion Band should complete a Guard Band Election Form.

There are seven high-site non-Public Safety licensees in Puerto Rico that must be reconfigured from the 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band and two Economic Area (“EA”) licensees that have made elections to move frequencies into the ESMR band. Furthermore, there are six NPSPAC licensees that the PSHSB has stated are subject to the Puerto Rico rebanding timetable.¹⁴ Appendices 2 and 3C provide additional information.

2. Cost Metrics

The FRA Cost Metrics are a set of cost metrics comprised of licensee reconfiguration implementation costs and rates, based on system size. On May 28, 2010, the TA updated the FRA Cost Metrics to include finer divisions of two key system size parameters – the number of subscriber units and the number of repeaters. The updated metrics provide licensees and Sprint Nextel with more granular cost metrics information for these new groupings. The FRA Cost Metrics include the following modifications to the presentation of the metrics data:

¹² *Third Report and Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 4449 ¶ 18.

¹³ See “Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (Version 1.8),” at 21-25, attached to Letter from Joseph P. Markoski, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P., to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Aug. 20, 2010).

¹⁴ *Third Report and Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 4450 n.69.

- Subscriber unit-based metrics include two new groupings resulting from splitting the former 4001-10000 subscriber units group into groups of 4001-6000 and 6001-10000 subscriber units.
- Repeater-based metrics include three new groupings resulting from splitting the former greater than 10 repeaters group into groups of 11-55, 56-145 and greater than 145 repeaters.

These new groupings are a result of continuous additions to the number of FRAs in general and the increase in number of FRAs with certain system characteristics in particular. These changes are also reflected in the Cost Metrics Comparison Reports provided to licensees and Sprint Nextel at the start of FRA negotiations.

On June 25, 2010, the PSHSB released a Public Notice regarding the FRA Cost Metrics.¹⁵ It announced enhancements to the metrics, namely, the aforementioned updates to the metrics to provide more granular breakdowns of the number of subscriber units and the number of repeaters. The Public Notice also provided guidance to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in applying the metrics.

3. Financial True-Up Date

In a December 23, 2009 Order, the PSHSB postponed the 800 MHz reconfiguration financial reconciliation “true-up” deadline from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 and required the TA to file a report by May 31, 2010, with its recommendation whether the true-up should occur on June 30, 2010 or be further postponed.

On May 25, 2010, the TA filed a report recommending that the true-up deadline be extended from June 30, 2010 until December 31, 2010.¹⁶ After noting that there has been an incremental increase in the 800 MHz reconfiguration expenditures since December 2009, the TA stated that a true-up as of June 30, 2010 would fail to take into account a large portion of Sprint Nextel’s eventual cost obligation. The TA stated that “additional rebanding expenditures still need to be made to provide a meaningful report of Sprint Nextel’s total rebanding costs for both the 800 MHz rebanding and for the relocation of BAS licensees.”¹⁷ The TA proposed to file a report with the PSHSB by November 30, 2010 with its recommendation: (1) whether reconfiguration has made sufficient progress that conducting the true-up as of December 31, 2010 would be appropriate; or (2) whether the “true-up” deadline should be extended for an additional period.

¹⁵ See “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Enhancements to the Metric Data Used in 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiations and Mediation,” *Public Notice*, 25 FCC Rcd 8151 (2010).

¹⁶ See *TA True-up Letter*.

¹⁷ *Id.* at 2.

On June 29, 2010, the PSHSB released an Order postponing the true-up date from June 30, 2010 until December 31, 2010.¹⁸ The PSHSB required the TA to file a report by November 15, 2010, with its recommendation on whether the true-up should occur on December 31, 2010 or be further postponed. In the event that the true-up is conducted based on expenditures as of December 31, 2010, the PSHSB directed the TA to submit its final true-up report by June 30, 2011.

4. Requests for Waiver of Rebanding Completion Deadline

On March 31, 2010, the PSHSB released an Order¹⁹ addressing supplemental requests for waiver of the rebanding completion deadline that were filed by non-border licensees since June 2009. For licensees with executed FRAs, the PSHSB granted the waiver requests in whole or in part, granting a specific waiver date to each licensee, with October 1, 2010 as the latest granted waiver date. The PSHSB directed licensees with executed FRAs that either did not file a supplemental request for waiver, or filed a waiver request in which the requested extension date has passed, to provide the TA with documentation showing they have completed reconfiguration or to file a supplemental request for waiver by April 30, 2010.

For licensees without executed FRAs, the PSHSB held in abeyance their waiver requests and directed them to take further action. For licensees that have submitted a Cost Estimate, but have not executed an FRA, the PSHSB directed such licensees to either execute an FRA by June 14, 2010 or submit to the TA a report regarding the status of FRA negotiations. For licensees without a Cost Estimate, the PSHSB directed such licensees to either submit a Cost Estimate by June 1, 2010 or provide the PSHSB with a report explaining why they cannot provide a Cost Estimate, together with a schedule detailing when they anticipate submitting a Cost Estimate.

Licensees are expected to complete their reconfiguration activities in accordance with the implementation schedule proposed in their request for waiver. Non-border licensees with executed FRAs that will not complete the physical reconfiguration of their systems by their waiver date granted by the PSHSB must request additional time from the PSHSB by filing a supplemental request for waiver. Such licensees will be required to detail their progress to date and propose milestone dates for each major rebanding step (*e.g.*, subscriber unit deployment, infrastructure retuning, system cut-over, and post-cutover modifications) that has not yet been completed. To expedite licensee preparation of supplemental requests for waiver and PSHSB review, the PSHSB has strongly encouraged licensees to utilize the TA's Request for Waiver template in preparing their supplemental requests for waiver.²⁰ Licensees may file supplemental requests for waiver with the PSHSB via e-mail to PSHSB800@fcc.gov. Licensees must provide copies of the requests to the TA at Waivers@800TA.org and to Sprint Nextel at 800MHZ@sprint.com.

¹⁸ See *True-up Order*.

¹⁹ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Supplemental Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline*, *Order*, 25 FCC Rcd 3246 (2010).

²⁰ The template is available on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/forms.asp>.

The TA has created a webpage that provides information on the requests for waiver received by the TA. The link to this page can be found on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/tools.asp>. The TA received a total of 112 request for waiver filings during the second quarter. As of June 30, 2010, the TA received a total of 1,397 request for waiver filings, which includes requests for interim waiver,²¹ standard requests for waiver, and supplemental requests for waiver.²²

5. Vacated Interleaved Band Channels and NPSPAC Clearing

The FCC tasked the TA with monitoring the NPSPAC clearing process in its October 30, 2008 Order.²³ Sprint Nextel cleared channels in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz band in non-border NPSPAC regions, and this spectrum was made available to Public Safety licensees, beginning on January 28, 2009.²⁴ Based on additional clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band in certain NPSPAC regions, additional channels in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz band in certain NPSPAC regions were relinquished by Sprint Nextel and made available to Public Safety licensees starting on December 2, 2009.²⁵

The FCC's *October 2008 Interleaved Order* also required Sprint Nextel to relinquish all of its non-border spectrum in the interleaved band (809-815/854-860 MHz) by March 31, 2010. On January 27, 2010, Sprint Nextel filed a request for waiver of the March 31, 2010 deadline in 21 NPSPAC Regions and proposed to relinquish additional interleaved channels in those regions in accordance with the thresholds for staged interleaved spectrum clearing set forth in the FCC's *October 2008 Interleaved Order*.²⁶ On March 31, 2010, the PSHSB issued an Order partially granting Sprint Nextel's request.²⁷ In the 21 regions for which Sprint Nextel sought relief, the PSHSB extended the deadline for Sprint Nextel to vacate the interleaved band until March 31, 2011. The PSHSB also required Sprint Nextel to relinquish "Stage 2" channels (809.5-

²¹ Licensees that were unable to propose a specific implementation timetable because they had not completed FRA negotiations as of the March and April 2008 filing deadlines filed requests for "interim" waiver.

²² Supplemental filings for interim or standard requests for waiver are made by licensees to update requested dates or to provide additional information regarding their original request for waiver filing.

²³ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands*, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15966 ¶ 18 (2008) ("*October 2008 Interleaved Order*").

²⁴ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 18343 (2008).

²⁵ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Certain Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 24 FCC Rcd 13236 (2009).

²⁶ See Letter from Lawrence R. Krevor, Sprint Nextel Corporation, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 27, 2010).

²⁷ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands*, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 3270 (2010) ("*March 31, 2010 Interleaved Order*").

810.5/854.5-855.5 MHz) in the 21 regions “on an accelerated basis, by lowering the threshold for Stage 2 clearing from 25 percent to 10 percent.”²⁸

As NPSPAC licensees modify their licenses to clear channels in the old NPSPAC band and reach certain clearing benchmarks in a region, Sprint Nextel will vacate additional interleaved band spectrum in that region. The TA is monitoring the clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band. During the second quarter, thirteen NPSPAC regions reached higher clearing stages, indicating incremental interleaved band channels can be released as part of the vacated spectrum process established by the FCC.²⁹ The following map contains information about the status of clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band in each region, as reflected in the FCC’s ULS database.

²⁸ *Id.* at 3274 ¶ 14.

²⁹ Of the thirteen regions to reach higher clearing stages, twelve regions went to Stage 5 (Region 4: Arkansas; Region 7: Colorado; Region 10: Georgia; Region 11: Hawaii; Region 17: Kentucky; Region 23: Mississippi; Region 24: Missouri; Region 26: Nebraska; Region 29: New Mexico; Region 35: Oregon; Region 44: West Virginia; and Region 47: Puerto Rico. Region 11: Hawaii also increased an additional stage (stage 5 to stage 6) by incremental clearing. In addition, Region 40: Texas (Central & Northeast) reached a higher stage (from stage 1 to stage 2) through incremental clearing.

burdens on licensees, avoid unnecessary rebanding expenditures, and provide additional time for resolution of border issues and issuance of frequency designations by the TA.

During the extended negotiation periods, the FCC has encouraged Wave 4 licensees affected by the Mexican border region to engage in planning and negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.³² If planning funding is required, licensees should submit a Request for Planning Funding (“RFPF”) to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees may submit an RFPF prior to receiving replacement frequencies. As of June 30, 2010, 14 PFAs were submitted to the TA for licensees in or adjacent to the Mexican border region.

³² *See id.*

II. QUARTERLY UPDATE

This section highlights the key areas within each stage and provides financial and support information about the program.

A. Update by Stage and Wave

The status of implementation for Stage 1 systems has a direct effect on the pace of reconfiguration for Stage 2 systems. Stage 1 licensees must be relocated off their frequencies in the lower 1-120 channel portion of the 800 MHz band before Stage 2 NPSPAC licensees can move to their new frequencies in that portion of the 800 MHz band. This interdependency of reconfiguration progress between Stages 1 and 2 is consistent across all four waves.

1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

a. Planning and Negotiations

Most Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs, but some negotiated Planning Funding Agreements with Sprint Nextel. As of June 30, 2010, 53 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$7.5 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 1 licensees.

The negotiation of FRAs for non-border Stage 1 licensees is virtually completed. As of June 30, 2010, 912 FRAs (99 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 914 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$78.5 million. Appendix 1A provides additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for non-border Channels 1-120 licensees.

In Stage 1, there were no mediation dockets (or “cases”) pending as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, no Stage 1 mediations were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.


b. Reconfiguration Implementation

As of June 30, 2010, Sprint Nextel reported that physical retuning is complete for 890 Stage 1 FRAs out of the 914 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1, which is 97 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs. Certain Public Safety licensees in Stage 1 have elected to retune their Stage 1 facilities along with their Stage 2 facilities.

As of June 30, 2010, 72 percent (up from 71 percent as of March 31, 2010) of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees. The difference between the percentages of call signs compared to the percentages of FRAs is due to the FRA statistics not including agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas, with the majority of outstanding call signs being in the border areas as shown in Map 2. As of June 30, 2010, there were 35 NPSPAC regions in which 100 percent of the Channels 1-120 call signs were cleared.

The following map shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with material progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by international borders.

Map 2: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSAC Region as of June 30, 2010³³



2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band)
a. Planning and Negotiations

In Stage 2, nearly half of the licensees sought planning funding and negotiated PFAs. As of June 30, 2010, 429 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$75.0 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 2 licensees.

³³ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

During the second quarter of 2010, parties completed negotiations on and submitted to the TA an additional 12 Stage 2 FRAs. As shown in Table 1, as of June 30, 2010, 829 FRAs (96 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 865 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 licensees. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$672.6 million.

Table 1: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band) Licensees as of June 30, 2010 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)³⁴

Wave	Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs*	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	356	338	338	94%	203	57%	108	107	30%
Wave 2	225	220	218	96%	142	63%	84	83	37%
Wave 3	237	225	224	95%	108	46%	36	35	15%
Wave 4	47	46	46	98%	29	62%	14	14	30%
Total	865	829	826	95%	482	56%	242	239	28%

* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

The remaining licensees without FRAs are: (1) in the planning process, in which they are conducting planning activities pursuant to a PFA and working on preparing a cost estimate for their reconfiguration; (2) in the process of negotiating an FRA with Sprint Nextel; or (3) the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review. Some of these licensees have reached verbal agreement on, but have not yet executed, an FRA.

Table 2 provides Stage 2 status information in terms of the number of call signs that have achieved particular milestones.

³⁴ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2 and the number of FRAs with physical retunes reported as completed in column 6. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count. Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.

Table 2: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSAC and Expansion Band) as of June 30, 2010 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)

Wave	Stage 2 Call Signs *	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported as Cleared by Licensee	% Reported as Cleared by Licensee	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	2221	2012	1867	84%	1219	55%	161	157	7%
Wave 2	948	912	659	70%	371	39%	155	152	16%
Wave 3	1216	1031	897	74%	368	30%	64	62	5%
Wave 4	1992	558	457	23%	170	9%	100	99	5%
Total	6377	4513	3880	61%	2128	33%	480	470	7%

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

(i) **Wave 1**

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSAC channel reconfiguration waves. As shown in Table 1 above, as of June 30, 2010, approximately 94 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. As of June 30, 2010, of the 337 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 319 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 314 resolved as of March 31, 2010). The licensees in 11 mediations had negotiated PFAs: one was in planning; seven had submitted cost estimates to Sprint Nextel; and three had reached agreement with Sprint Nextel but had not yet executed an FRA. Seven mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

(ii) **Wave 2**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of June 30, 2010, approximately 96 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. As of June 30, 2010, of the 234 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, 224 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 220 resolved as of March 31, 2010). The licensees in nine mediations had negotiated PFAs: six had submitted cost estimates to Sprint Nextel and three had reached agreement with Sprint Nextel but had not yet executed an FRA. The parties in one mediation docket were in the process of negotiating an FRA following *de novo* review by the FCC.

(iii) **Wave 3**

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request.³⁵ As shown in Table 1 above, as of June 30, 2010, approximately 95 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of June 30, 2010, of the 272 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 248 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 246 resolved as of March 31, 2010).³⁶ The licensees in 20 mediations had negotiated PFAs: three were in planning; 14 had submitted cost estimates to Sprint Nextel; and three had reached agreement with Sprint Nextel but had not yet executed FRAs. Three mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

(iv) **Wave 4**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of June 30, 2010, approximately 98 percent of the non-border area FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. The expected FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Canada and Mexico are not included in the FRA statistics for Wave 4.

The Wave 4, Stage 2 mediation period for non-border area licensees began on August 1, 2007. As of June 30, 2010, of the 66 mediation dockets opened for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees, 65 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 64 resolved as of March 31, 2010). No mediations were pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

Non-border Stage 2 licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities.

(i) **Implementation Planning Sessions**

One of the TA's methods for facilitating implementation activities has been to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions ("IPS" or "sessions"). These sessions bring together NPSPAC and interoperable Expansion Band licensees, their equipment vendors, technical consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. The FCC

³⁵ Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

³⁶ The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.

requires licensees that have not completed reconfiguration to participate in IPS in their region, if offered.³⁷

During the sessions, the TA works with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities. This is particularly important because many FRAs for NPSPAC licensees do not contain firm implementation dates. The sessions help licensees adjust implementation schedules to deal with complicated interconnections and interdependencies of shared networks – particularly regarding setting dates for retuning mutual aid channels used by neighboring municipalities. IPS have also been successful in enabling parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels.

As of June 30, 2010, the TA has conducted 40 IPS in 29 NPSPAC regions, which have been attended by 564 licensees, operating approximately 1.3 million radios.³⁸ During the second quarter, the TA did not conduct any additional IPS. Licensees that have participated in IPS should continue to move forward with and complete their implementation activities in accordance with the regional schedule developed at the session.

The TA will continue to hold IPS for NPSPAC regions in all waves, and follow-up sessions when needed. The TA continues to evaluate the remaining non-border NPSPAC regions to determine when it will be appropriate to schedule IPS in those regions. The TA is also gathering and analyzing information to assess future IPS for Wave 4. In some regions, the TA will hold IPS to develop an initial regional schedule, with the understanding that follow-up IPS may be necessary. In regions where accelerating IPS is not viable, or where the TA has determined that a session may not be necessary, the TA will communicate with licensees to document the interoperability environment, dependencies, and any other relevant issues and develop a regional schedule.

(ii) **Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment**

A key component of reconfiguration implementation is the retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment. Non-border Stage 2 licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 91,000 radios (first touches) in the second quarter of 2010, raising the total number to approximately 987,000 radios (first touches) as of June 30, 2010.

³⁷ See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Supplemental Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline, *Order*, 24 FCC Rcd 7761, 7765 ¶ 9 (2009); see also “FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227, 17230 (2007) (stating that NPSPAC licensees “are expected to participate” in IPS).

³⁸ The TA conducted 18 IPS in Wave 1 NPSPAC regions attended by 187 licensees, 11 IPS in Wave 2 NPSPAC regions attended by 167 licensees, and 11 IPS in Wave 3 NPSPAC regions attended by 210 licensees.


(iii) **Infrastructure Retuning and System Cutover**

Another significant milestone in the implementation process is the retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels. During the second quarter of 2010, there were an additional 27 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. Of the 865 non-border FRAs expected for Stage 2, there were 482 FRAs as of June 30, 2010 for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. This does not consider licensees with post-cutover subscriber unit second touches or other technical work. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 56 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs (an increase from 52 percent from March 31, 2010).

As of June 30, 2010, 33 percent (up from 32 percent as of March 31, 2010) of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, which includes call signs in the border areas. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs is again due to the fact that the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include border area call signs.

The following map graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of June 30, 2010, by licensed NPSPAC locations. Progress on Expansion Band clearing is detailed in Appendix 2.

Map 3: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2010³⁹



3. Implementation Schedule Implications

The TA has identified certain regions where one or more key licensees and Sprint Nextel have not yet reached agreement on an FRA, and where the resulting delay is impeding program progress and delaying implementation by other licensees within their region and, in some cases, outside their region due to interoperability and dependencies between licensees. In regions with extensive interoperability, licensees with FRAs are waiting for the trailing licensees to complete FRA negotiations so that all licensees in the region can coordinate their implementation schedules in order to preserve interoperability and mutual aid operations. The TA encourages all parties to work to complete the steps necessary to allow appropriate dialogue on proposed costs and to reach agreement.

³⁹ This map shows frequency clearing as reported by the licensee to Sprint Nextel. Licensees generally report clearing before they file license modifications to delete cleared frequencies. Map 1 reflects clearing based on ULS licensing data, which is the basis for determining the release of additional interleaved band spectrum by Sprint Nextel.

a. **Wave 1**

- Region 6: Northern California
- Region 19: New England
- Region 20: Maryland; Northern Virginia; Washington, DC
- Region 28: Eastern Pennsylvania (east of Harrisburg, southern New Jersey, & Delaware)
- Region 42: Virginia

In addition, a delay in the clearing of Channels 1-120 by one licensee in Region 19 has delayed the implementation of at least three other licensees.

b. **Wave 2**

- Region 16: Kansas

c. **Wave 3**

- Region 1: Alabama
- Region 10: Georgia
- Region 18: Louisiana
- Region 37: South Carolina

4. Canadian Border Regions

The 30-month transition period for reconfiguration of licensees in the U.S.-Canada border regions began on October 14, 2008 and will end on April 14, 2011.⁴⁰ The TA's Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions ("Implementation Plan") established two stages for the reconfiguration of Canadian border licensees.⁴¹ Stage 1 includes non-Public Safety licensees, such as B/ILT and SMR licensees. Stage 2 is comprised of both NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety licensees. The Canadian border reconfiguration also includes clearing of channels in the 854-860 MHz range for Public Safety use and reconfiguration of frequencies in the 861-865 MHz range to separate ESMR systems into the higher portion of the band. The exact frequencies being reconfigured vary in each FCC-defined Canadian Border Region. The TA continued its

⁴⁰ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 30-Month Transition Period for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in Regions Along the U.S.-Canada Border will Commence on October 14, 2008," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 14877 (2008) ("*Canadian Border Public Notice*").

⁴¹ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Oct. 1, 2008), available at http://www.800TA.org/content/PDF/other/Implementation_Plan_and_Timetable_CBR.pdf, approved by Canadian Border Public Notice.

efforts to support Canadian border region reconfiguration during the second quarter by using the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in negotiating PFAs and FRAs and by addressing frequency issues raised by licensees.

a. **Frequency Proposals**

Having completed frequency planning and sending frequency proposals for regular call signs in the Canadian border-affected area during the fourth quarter of 2009, the TA's frequency planning activities in this area in the second quarter of 2010 continued to focus on addressing issues (such as combiner spacing) raised by licensees and providing replacement frequencies for STAs granted after the initial frequency planning was completed. Additional information about Frequency Proposal Reports ("FPRs") is provided in Appendix 5.

b. **Planning**

Stage 1 or 2 licensees seeking planning funding were required to submit a Request for Planning Funding by the October 14, 2008 deadline established by the FCC and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. As of June 30, 2010, 67 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$14.1 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Canadian border regions.

After reaching agreement on a PFA, licensees and their vendors conduct planning activities, such as system inventory, frequency analysis, and development of a reconfiguration plan, and prepare a cost estimate for their reconfiguration. Licensees are required to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel within 90 to 110 days, depending on the number of subscriber units in their system, of the *later* of TA approval of the PFA or receipt of an FPR. If a licensee needs additional time to complete planning, it should request an extension from the PSHSB.

Once a licensee's planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will have 30 days for FRA negotiations. If they are unable to negotiate an FRA within the 30-day period, the parties will actively participate in mediation for 20 days.

c. **Negotiations**

Substantial progress has been made in the negotiation of FRAs for licensees in the Canadian border regions. Table 3 provides information about the status of FRA negotiations and approval.

**Table 3: Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Licensees as of June 30, 2010
(milestones achieved by number of FRAs)⁴²**

Stage	Anticipated FRAs*	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Stage 1	82	70	60	73%	49	60%	24	24	29%
Stage 2	126	80	77	61%	18	14%	7	4	3%
Total	208	150	137	66%	67	32%	31	28	13%

Appendices 1B and 3B provide additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for Canadian border licensees.

(i) **Stage 1**

Most Canadian border Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs. Seven Stage 1 licensees negotiated PFAs and are in various stages of the planning or FRA negotiation process.

The majority of Stage 1 licensees without a PFA were required to submit a cost estimate by January 12, 2009. The negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees without a PFA began on January 12, 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, of the 129 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees, 121 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 118 resolved as of March 31, 2010). The parties in five mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning. The parties in two mediations had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. No mediation dockets were pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

During the second quarter, parties submitted 3 additional Stage 1 FRAs to the TA. As of June 30, 2010, 70 FRAs (85 percent) were submitted to the TA out of the 82 FRAs anticipated for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$6.9 million.

⁴² Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2 and the number of FRAs with physical retunes reported as completed in column 6. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated).

(ii) **Stage 2**

Nearly half of the Canadian border Stage 2 licensees submitted an RFPF and negotiated a PFA. These licensees are currently engaged in activities to plan for their reconfigurations and prepare reconfiguration cost estimates, and some licensees have already submitted cost estimates and entered into FRA negotiations with Sprint Nextel.

For Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA, the negotiation and mediation period began on April 15, 2009. After submitting a cost estimate, a licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate an FRA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

As of June 30, 2010, of the 156 mediation dockets opened for Stage 2 licensees, 121 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 106 resolved as of March 31, 2010). The parties in 27 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; eight of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. The parties in four mediations in which the licensee did not have a PFA had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. One mediation docket was the subject of a Recommended Resolution pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

During the second quarter, parties completed negotiations on and submitted to the TA an additional 9 FRAs for Stage 2 licensees. As of June 30, 2010, 80 FRAs (63 percent) were submitted to the TA out of the 126 FRAs anticipated for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$27.5 million.

d. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

While a number of Canadian border FRAs have been submitted to and approved by the TA, reconfiguration implementation is still getting underway for Canadian border licensees. A number of licensees, especially Stage 1 licensees, are moving forward with, and in some cases completing, their implementation activities.

(i) **Stage 1**

During the second quarter, there were an additional 5 Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. As of June 30, 2010, there were 49 Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 60 percent of Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs (an increase from 54 percent as of March 31, 2010).

The map below shows the progress of Stage 1 licensees in the Canadian border regions as many licensees moved from the negotiation phase to the implementation phase.


Map 4: Canadian Border Region Stage 1 Clearing Progress

800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

(c) 2010, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
08/18/10

Canadian Border Regions, Stage 1 Site-specific Call Signs

Clearing Progress and Call Sign location as of 06/30/10 (Q2 2010)



(ii) **Stage 2**

Reconfiguration of Stage 2 Public Safety licensees, often with complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region, requires more time and coordination than is needed for Stage 1 reconfiguration. Furthermore, certain Stage 1 licensees need to complete their physical reconfiguration in order to clear replacement channels for Stage 2 licensees. Stage 2 licensees have commenced, and, in some cases, completed implementation activities. During the second quarter, there were an additional 4 Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. As of June 30, 2010, there were 18 Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of June 30, 2010, physical retuning was complete for 14 percent of Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs (an increase from 11 percent as of March 31, 2010).

The map below shows the implementation progress of Stage 2 licensees in the Canadian border regions.


Map 5: Canadian Border Region Stage 2 Clearing Progress

800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

(c) 2010, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
08/18/10

Canadian Border Regions, Stage 2 Site-specific Call Signs

Clearing Progress and Call Sign location as of 06/30/10 (Q2 2010)



5. Change Notices and Amendments

When a licensee encounters an emergency or other unanticipated need to change the scope or cost of its planning or reconfiguration implementation activities after it has signed its TA-approved PFA or FRA, it must submit a Change Notice request to Sprint Nextel and the TA. The TA encourages licensees to use the Change Notice Form available on the TA’s website when submitting a Change Notice request.⁴³ Note that a Change Notice applies only to costs and other circumstances that arise from an emergency or otherwise could not have been reasonably anticipated before the PFA or FRA, as applicable, was signed. Change Notices generally seek modifications to the services, equipment, or accessories provided for in the PFA or FRA, and the associated costs. A licensee should receive approval of a Change Notice by Sprint Nextel and the TA before incurring the costs sought in a Change Notice. Until the additional costs are agreed with Sprint Nextel and reviewed and approved by the TA, the licensee bears the risk that the additional costs will not be reimbursed by Sprint Nextel. The TA reminds licensees that Change Notices and requests for PFA or FRA amendments should include all applicable

⁴³ The form is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/Change_Note_Form.doc.

transactional costs, including fees for legal review. If those costs are not included, they may not be recoverable later because they may be deemed to have been foreseeable at the time the Change Notice or amendment request was submitted.

During the second quarter of 2010, licensees submitted 157 Change Notices. Some Change Notices are resolved quickly, but others can take time to negotiate and result in an amendment to the PFA or FRA which must be submitted to the TA for approval. In some cases, the TA uses the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreement on Change Notices. During the second quarter of 2010, licensees and Sprint Nextel negotiated and submitted to the TA 106 FRA amendments and 16 PFA amendments.

B. Program Financial Information

This section provides information for the second quarter of 2010 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, letter of credit, the external audit, the TA's fees and expenses, and the closing process and reconfiguration Completion Certifications.

1. Reconfiguration Expenditures

a. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs

As of June 30, 2010, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates, as adjusted to reflect TA-approved actual costs, totaling approximately \$853.5 million, and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$482.9 million of this amount as advance payments and for work completed to date. This compares to \$816.9 million in executed FRAs and PFAs and \$444.9 million paid as of March 31, 2010.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of June 30, 2010, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$1,234.0 million in costs for: (1) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (2) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively "800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$520.2 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2010) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against the payment Sprint Nextel will make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration ("Creditable Costs"). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$420.8 million is determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$99.4 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel or incumbent licensees to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$713.8 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. The \$713.8 million consists primarily of payments for replacement radios and other equipment.

b. **Sprint Nextel Costs**

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of June 30, 2010, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$294.5 million in costs to support: (1) incumbent licensee negotiations; (2) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (3) Sprint Nextel's program and financial management activities; (4) other program costs; and (5) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel's systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively "Sprint Nextel Costs"). The Sprint Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel's internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date ("To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$246.3 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2010) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The TA has performed a review of approximately \$246.1 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2009). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$163.8 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$82.3 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$48.2 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

c. **1.9 GHz Clearing Costs**

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of June 30, 2010, it had incurred approximately \$730.1 million in costs associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band. These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct an analysis of these costs.

d. **Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures**

On August 5, 2010, Sprint Nextel filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010 and disclosed that from the inception of the program through June 30, 2010, Sprint Nextel has

incurred approximately \$2.635 billion of costs directly attributable to the spectrum reconfiguration program.⁴⁴

2. Letter of Credit

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, Sprint Nextel made its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letter of Credit through June 30, 2010. Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of June 30, 2010, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$141.0 million in Letter of Credit Fees.

The TA has continued to monitor the amount of the Letter of Credit in view of Sprint Nextel's programmatic obligations. On March 26, 2010, the TA recommended that the Letter of Credit be reduced by \$91.0 million based on costs paid through September 30, 2009. The FCC concurred with the TA's request on July 6, 2010, and the Letter of Credit was reduced from \$1.586 billion to \$1.495 billion. On June 11, 2010, the TA recommended that the Letter of Credit be reduced by \$69.4 million based on costs paid through December 31, 2009. The FCC concurred with the TA's request on July 8, 2010 and the Letter of Credit was reduced from \$1.495 billion to \$1.426 billion.

On May 21, 2010, Sprint Nextel extended the term of its 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration Irrevocable Letter of Credit through October 24, 2013.⁴⁵

3. External Audit

In the second quarter of 2010, Reznick Group, the external auditors selected by the TA, completed the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2009 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2009 ("the 2009 Audit"). The Statement of Program Expenditures was issued on June 30, 2010. On July 21, 2010, the TA filed the Annual Report and Statement of Program Expenditures with the FCC.⁴⁶ As discussed previously, certain 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs and Sprint Nextel Costs have not been submitted by Sprint Nextel to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. Accordingly, these costs may be included in a subsequent period audit.

⁴⁴ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, Quarterly Report (Form 10-Q), at 13 (filed Aug. 5, 2010), available at <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000119312510178859/d10q.htm>.

⁴⁵ See Letter from James B. Goldstein, Sprint Nextel Corporation, to David Furth, PSHSB, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 27, 2010).

⁴⁶ See "800 MHz Reconfiguration Statement of Program Expenditures, December 31, 2009," attached to Letter from Robert E. Stup, Jr., Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P., to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed July 21, 2010).

4. Transition Administrator

a. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$8.1 million in fees and approximately \$0.1 million in expenses, for a total of approximately \$8.2 million, which is approximately \$0.8 million less than the forecast for the first quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 9. TA staffing as of June 30, 2010 consisted of 60 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending September 30, 2010 are estimated at \$8.2 million in fees and \$0.1 million in expenses, for a total of \$8.3 million.

b. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that Deloitte LLP received \$200,208 and Deloitte Consulting LLP, a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP, received \$0 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.⁴⁷

5. Closing Process and Reconfiguration Completion Certifications

After licensees complete planning activities or physical reconfiguration, they must close their PFA or FRA with Sprint Nextel. The closing process ensures that both parties have met all of their obligations. For FRAs, the closing process consists of the following activities:

1. License Modification – The modification of the licensee's FCC licenses to delete old frequencies.
2. Equipment Reconciliation – The true up of all loaned, replaced, and replacement equipment.
3. Actual Cost Reconciliation ("ACR") – The true up of cost support with amounts paid or to be paid by Sprint Nextel.
4. Closing – The preparation, execution, and delivery of the closing documents, including an FRA Completion Certification.

During the ACR Process, a licensee provides Sprint Nextel with supporting documentation for the actual costs incurred for its planning or reconfiguration, and Sprint Nextel validates the costs incurred and performs a true-up of the actual costs as compared to the estimated costs in the PFA or FRA. The result is an ACR Statement documenting the actual costs incurred and payments made to the licensee, including the final payment to be made to the licensee or any refunds due to Sprint Nextel.

⁴⁷ See "Independence Management Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.3)," at 4, attached to Letter from Robert B. Kelly, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P., to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Dec. 30, 2009).

Once the ACR Statement is complete, Sprint Nextel sends the licensee closing documents, including a Completion Certification. A licensee is required by its PFA or FRA to execute and return the closing documents to Sprint Nextel.

The PFA Completion Certification indicates that all work required to plan for the reconfiguration of the licensee's facilities has been satisfactorily completed and that the licensee and Sprint Nextel have agreed on the amount paid by Sprint Nextel for such work. During the second quarter of 2010, 35 PFA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, increasing the total submitted to 253.⁴⁸ Of these, the TA reviewed and found 250 PFAs sufficient, subject to post-close audit adjustments, with the remaining three under review as of June 30, 2010. Appendix 8 contains a summary of PFAs that have closed as of June 30, 2010.

The FRA Completion Certification certifies that the licensee has relinquished its original 800 MHz frequencies and reconfigured its facilities to operate on replacement frequencies; that all reconfiguration work required has been satisfactorily completed; and that the licensee and Sprint Nextel have agreed on the amount paid by Sprint Nextel for such work. A licensee's reconfiguration is not complete until this certification has been executed and delivered by the licensee. During the second quarter of 2010, 36 FRA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, increasing the total submitted to 1,111.⁴⁹ Of these, the TA reviewed and found 1,100 FRAs complete, subject to post-close audit adjustments, with the remaining 11 under review as of June 30, 2010. Appendix 8 contains a summary of FRAs that have closed as of June 30, 2010.

The TA inspected the amounts expended on activities for the above-referenced PFAs and FRAs, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audit, to determine (1) that the amounts paid by Sprint Nextel do not exceed TA-approved contract amounts, and (2) that the reconciliation ("true-up") of any additional amount due to the licensee or its vendor or any refund due Sprint Nextel, as determined by Sprint Nextel and the licensee, is properly reflected in the 800 MHz accounting records and is consistent with payments made.

As outlined in Table 4, there continues to be delays between the time licensees complete physical reconfiguration and the closing of the FRA. As of June 30, 2010, the closing process has taken an average of 10.8 months to complete following the completion of physical reconfiguration compared to an average of 10.5 months as of March 31, 2010. For those licensees that have completed physical reconfiguration but have not closed the FRA as of June 30, 2010, an average of 15.8 months has elapsed since the completion of the physical reconfiguration compared to an average of 15.0 months as of March 31, 2010.

⁴⁸ Of these, 28 were for Stage 1 licensees and 225 were for Stage 2 licensees.

⁴⁹ Of these, 862 were for Stage 1 licensees and 249 were for Stage 2 licensees.

**Table 4: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process
Following Completion of Physical Reconfiguration⁵⁰**

Status of FRAs Activity to be Completed	Quantity	Time Elapsed Since Physical Retune Complete					% Greater than or Equal to 12 Months
		Less than 3 Months	3 to 6 Months	6 to 9 Months	9 to 12 Months	12 Months or Longer	
Equipment Reconciliation	160	24	21	26	15	74	40.4%
License Modification	7	0	1	0	0	6	3.3%
Actual Cost Reconciliation Process *	138	9	15	14	13	87	47.5%
Closing	30	1	3	6	4	16	8.7%
Total FRAs Pending in Closing Process	335	34	40	46	32	183	
Closed FRAs **	1,111						
Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete	1,446						

* Includes 18 FRAs that are also pending License Modification.

** Includes 11 FRAs that are pending TA review of the FRA Completion Certifications.

It is very important for licensees and Sprint Nextel to complete the closing process in a timely manner. In the Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet, which was posted on the TA's website in December 2009, the TA suggested specific timeframes by which licensees and Sprint Nextel are encouraged to complete ACR and the other closing activities. To minimize the effort and times associated with the closing process, licensees should also review the following TA guidance: the Change Notice Process Fact Sheet, the Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy, and the Reconfiguration Completion Checklist. Each of these documents is available on the TA's website at www.800TA.org. In addition, TA Webinars (online training seminars) regarding closing process topics, such as Actual Cost Reconciliation and Reconfiguration Completion, to assist licensees in understanding the process from start to finish may be found on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org/content/ipswebinars>. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process, and make inquiries of licensees and Sprint Nextel, to facilitate adherence to the timelines and guidance.

C. Specialized Support Functions

This section outlines additional information about TA activities that support the program, such as the Contact Center, the website, outreach, and FRA review.

⁵⁰ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes, and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

1. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA maintains a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the second quarter, the TA received a total of 5,894 inquiries to the Contact Center (2,276 in April 2010; 1,778 in May 2010; and 1,840 in June 2010),

Several parties have contacted the TA regarding possible investment opportunities in 800 MHz band spectrum following reconfiguration. The TA has no role in the allocation of frequencies following the completion of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration. The TA does not provide advice on investments, acquisitions, or usage of licenses in the 800 MHz band. Parties interested in such matters may wish to consult the FCC’s rules and regulations and visit the FCC’s websites relating to the 800 MHz band at <http://www.fcc.gov/pshs/public-safety-spectrum/800-MHz/> and http://wireless.fcc.gov/index.htm?job=wt_investment_scams.

2. TA-Produced Materials, TA Website, and Outreach Activities

During the second quarter of 2010, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- Forms and Fact Sheets – Created, updated, and posted several documents to the TA’s website, including request for waiver guidance updates and Cost Metrics Frequently Asked Questions.
- Cost Metrics – The TA publishes monthly updates to the FRA Cost Metrics, which are a set of metrics comprised of licensee reconfiguration implementation costs and rates, based on system size. During the second quarter, the TA also published updated Planning Funding Cost Metrics.
- TA Alerts – TA Alerts are the TA’s listserv feature, which allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news. TA Alerts are sent when changes or updates are made to the website, when the FCC releases an Order or Public Notice, and/or when the TA has an announcement. There are 314 subscribers for TA Alerts. In the second quarter of 2010, the TA sent one TA Alert.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

The TA's website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. During the second quarter, updates were made to the TA's website to post and advertise new and updated TA-produced materials and guidance for licensees (*i.e.*, updated FPR Fact Sheet and additions to the Mexican Border Region page), and to post copies of FCC Public Notices and Orders. The TA's website received an estimated 8,339 visits during the second quarter.⁵¹

3. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The TA continues to meet its service-level goals for reviewing FRAs.⁵² Detailed information about the time required by the TA to review and approve Stages 1 and 2 FRAs submitted to the TA is available in Appendices 6 and 7.

⁵¹ The TA has adopted "visits" instead of "hits" as a more accurate metric to utilize in identifying unique website traffic.

⁵² The TA's service-level targets for FRA review are review of 80 percent of FRAs within 5 business days, 95 percent within 10 business days, and 100 percent within 15 business days.

III. APPENDICES

Appendix 1A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Wave 1	354	354	354	354	349
6	44	44	44	44	44
7	17	17	17	17	17
8	37	37	37	37	37
9	1	1	1	1	1
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	22	22	22	22	22
14	13	13	13	13	13
19	26	26	26	26	25
20	23	23	23	23	20
27	26	26	26	26	26
28	25	25	25	25	25
35	16	16	16	16	16
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	18	18	18	18	17
45	8	8	8	8	8
54	21	21	21	21	21
Multiregion	41	41	41	41	41
Wave 2	205	205	205	205	205
4	11	11	11	11	11
12	6	6	6	6	6
15	6	6	6	6	6
16	12	12	12	12	12
17	12	12	12	12	12
22	34	34	34	34	34
24	16	16	16	16	16
25	4	4	4	4	4
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	1	1	1	1	1
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	25	25	25	25	25
40	12	12	12	12	12
44	2	2	2	2	2
49	3	3	3	3	3
51	14	14	14	14	14
52	8	8	8	8	8
Multiregion	32	32	32	32	32

Appendix 1A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	241	240	240	240	231
1	15	15	15	15	15
9	88	88	88	88	80
10	45	45	45	45	45
18	17	17	17	17	17
23	17	17	17	17	17
31	23	23	23	23	23
37	6	6	6	6	6
47	6	5	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3
Multiregion	21	21	21	21	20
Wave 4	114	113	113	113	105
2	4	4	4	4	4
3	24	24	24	24	24
5	3	3	3	3	3
10	1	1	1	1	1
18	15	15	15	15	9
21	1	1	1	1	1
23	2	2	2	2	0
29	10	10	10	10	10
30	5	5	5	5	5
33	10	10	10	10	10
36	5	5	5	5	5
43	5	5	5	5	5
50	8	8	8	8	8
53	6	6	6	6	6
54	4	4	4	4	4
63	2	1	1	1	1
Multiregion	9	9	9	9	9
TOTAL	914	912	912	912	890

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 1B

Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 1: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 1 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Canadian Border						
2	4	2	2	2	2	1
12	1	0	0	0	0	0
19	7	7	7	7	7	5
21	8	7	8	6	4	4
22	3	3	3	3	3	3
25	2	2	2	2	2	1
30	4	4	4	4	4	4
32	4	4	4	4	4	4
33	20	17	17	16	14	12
36	2	2	2	2	1	1
43	18	17	17	15	12	9
54	1	1	1	1	1	1
55	3	3	3	3	3	3
Multiregion	5	5	5	5	3	1
TOTAL	82	74	75	70	60	49

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	792	790	790	791	790	772	772	784	778	767	758
6	CA - North	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	105
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	40	40	40	40
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	74	72	72	73	72	70	70	69	67	56	54
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	66	66	66	61	61	63	62	61	60
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	47	47
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	44	44	52	49	51	47
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Wave 2	Subtotal	469	469	469	469	469	447	447	469	467	464	461
4	Arkansas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
12	Idaho*	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	33	33	33	33
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	16	16	16	16
22	Minnesota*	74	74	74	74	74	72	72	74	74	74	74
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
25	Montana*	19	19	19	19	19	14	14	19	19	19	17
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10
32	North Dakota*	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	41	40
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
51	TX - Houston	42	42	42	42	42	39	39	42	42	41	41
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	33	42	42	42	42

Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 3	Subtotal	513	512	511	511	511	450	450	498	422	475	466
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
9	Florida	216	216	216	216	216	207	207	211	142	192	189
10	Georgia	50	49	49	49	49	41	41	49	49	49	47
18	Louisiana	79	79	79	79	79	69	69	73	68	69	67
23	Mississippi	23	23	23	23	23	21	21	21	19	21	19
31	North Carolina	67	67	67	67	67	50	50	67	67	67	67
37	South Carolina	37	37	37	37	37	22	22	37	37	37	37
47	Puerto Rico	19	19	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
48	US Virgin Islands	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Wave 4	Subtotal	834	482	351	336	308	245	229	255	207	205	188
2	Alaska*	22	22	22	22	22	19	5	22	6	6	6
3	Arizona*	74	53	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51
5	CA - South*	98	20	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
21	Michigan*	61	40	38	26	18	5	4	6	6	6	6
29	New Mexico*	25	22	22	22	22	21	21	22	22	22	22
30	NY - Albany*	91	41	41	41	41	34	34	36	17	21	16
33	Ohio*	106	83	55	58	48	26	26	27	27	23	21
36	Pennsylvania*	11	11	11	11	10	9	8	8	8	8	8
43	Washington*	146	132	49	44	36	29	29	32	29	26	22
50	TX - El Paso*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	14
54	MI portion of Chicago*	7	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
55	New York - Buffalo*	167	25	25	24	24	15	15	15	6	7	2
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2608	2253	2121	2107	2078	1914	1898	2006	1874	1911	1873

- Notes:
- * Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
 - a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
 - d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
 - e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
 - f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.


Appendix 2
 Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs Reported Cleared, by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2010
 (displayed as 2nd quarter percentage/1st quarter percentage)

800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) Site-specific Call Signs

**Percent of Call Signs Reported by Sprint Nextel as Cleared by Licensee,
 By Public Safety Region - as of 06/30/10 (Q2'10 / Q1'10)**

- Wave 1, 15 Regions
- Wave 2, 19 Regions
- Wave 3, 9 Regions
- Wave 4, 12 Regions



(c) 2010, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
 07/22/10


Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	299	289	281	260	260	213	213	150	131	147	72
6	CA - North	87	87	87	87	87	70	70	12	10	19	19
7	Colorado	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	12	14	7
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	36	26	25	35	35	35	35	25	25	34	9
11	Hawaii	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
13	Illinois	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	3
14	Indiana	34	34	34	12	12	11	11	12	10	9	6
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	23	23	22	22	22	6	6	6	5	6	4
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	4	4	1	1
27	Nevada	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2
28	NJ, PA, DE	8	8	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2
35	Oregon	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
41	Utah	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1
42	Virginia	23	23	20	20	20	11	11	13	13	12	3
45	Wisconsin	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	4	4	0
54	Chicago	32	32	32	24	24	24	24	23	18	18	10
Wave 2	Subtotal	177	177	175	171	171	112	112	121	108	99	50
4	Arkansas	45	45	45	45	45	7	7	7	7	6	5
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	16	16	15	5
16	Kansas	6	6	6	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	0
17	Kentucky	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	13	12	12	11
22	Minnesota*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9
24	Missouri	11	11	11	11	11	8	8	9	7	8	5
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	2
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	30	30	28	28	28	26	26	26	25	25	7
40	TX - Dallas	21	21	21	21	21	12	12	17	15	6	0
44	West Virginia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
46	Wyoming	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
49	TX - Austin	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	5	7	2
51	TX - Houston	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	2	1	0
52	TX - Lubbock	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0

Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 3	Subtotal	201	199	190	171	170	113	113	124	113	95	42
1	Alabama	29	28	20	19	19	17	17	17	13	12	6
9	Florida	61	61	61	61	61	32	32	33	31	24	12
10	Georgia	29	29	29	25	25	20	20	19	19	19	8
18	Louisiana	16	16	16	15	15	5	5	9	8	5	1
23	Mississippi	18	17	17	18	17	13	13	15	11	10	6
31	North Carolina	23	23	22	22	22	16	16	21	21	15	7
37	South Carolina	23	23	23	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	2
47	Puerto Rico	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	281	195	145	144	144	116	116	108	105	104	18
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	Arizona*	20	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
5	CA - South*	92	39	24	24	24	11	11	0	0	2	2
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	3	3	0
30	NY - Albany*	62	62	62	62	62	61	61	61	61	61	1
33	Ohio*	37	36	11	10	10	2	2	4	4	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	9	8	8	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	5
43	Washington*	15	15	7	6	6	5	5	6	5	6	3
50	TX - El Paso*	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	3	1
54	MI portion of Chicago*	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	New York - Buffalo*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		958	860	791	746	745	554	554	503	457	445	182

- Notes:
- * Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
 - a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
 - d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
 - e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
 - f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	1922	1865	1762	1752	1607	1288	1278	1254	1088	1226	1185
6	CA - North	138	123	123	123	121	55	55	54	43	34	34
7	Colorado	224	224	224	224	224	218	218	224	221	218	217
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	389	384	354	354	353	337	337	345	292	324	322
11	Hawaii	28	28	28	28	28	21	21	28	28	26	26
13	Illinois	139	139	139	139	139	122	122	138	138	133	133
14	Indiana	146	131	131	131	16	14	14	14	12	11	11
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	136	127	86	86	86	34	34	49	37	32	31
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	96	92	89	89	84	17	13	24	17	4	4
27	Nevada	38	38	38	38	36	21	21	36	20	26	23
28	NJ, PA, DE	215	214	197	197	196	169	163	45	19	152	123
35	Oregon	39	38	38	38	37	35	35	37	12	27	26
41	Utah	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	144	144
42	Virginia	53	46	38	38	37	15	15	15	13	12	10
45	Wisconsin	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
54	Chicago	132	132	128	118	101	81	81	96	88	81	79
Wave 2	Subtotal	771	754	749	741	488	267	266	345	263	210	206
4	Arkansas	95	95	95	95	95	3	3	4	4	3	2
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
16	Kansas	281	280	278	271	19	15	15	15	14	14	14
17	Kentucky	16	16	15	15	14	12	12	14	11	9	9
22	Minnesota*	50	50	50	50	50	41	41	50	50	49	49
24	Missouri	19	19	19	19	18	15	15	16	12	13	12
25	Montana*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	32	30	30	30	31	29	29	30	29	27	27
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	40	36	36	35	35	11	11	12	5	3	3
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	56	56	55	55	55	50	50	49	30	32	30
40	TX - Dallas	44	44	44	44	44	33	32	38	34	21	21
44	West Virginia	10	9	8	8	8	5	5	5	2	2	2
46	Wyoming	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
49	TX - Austin	68	65	65	65	65	16	16	62	31	8	8
51	TX - Houston	43	38	38	38	38	21	21	34	25	13	13
52	TX - Lubbock	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 3	Subtotal	1015	880	863	860	727	331	331	297	255	160	157
1	Alabama	33	31	23	20	20	17	17	17	12	8	8
9	Florida	328	327	327	327	325	180	180	115	97	47	47
10	Georgia	82	78	76	76	74	59	59	59	53	52	50
18	Louisiana	74	63	61	61	61	12	12	25	18	3	3
23	Mississippi	24	23	22	22	21	8	8	16	10	3	3
31	North Carolina	289	193	189	189	189	26	26	34	34	24	24
37	South Carolina	170	153	153	153	31	29	29	31	31	23	22
47	Puerto Rico	15	12	12	12	6	0	0			0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1711	1019	424	414	313	223	219	86	65	80	76
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	Arizona*	108	4	3	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	1
5	CA - South*	386	245	123	123	34	3	3	3	3	3	3
21	Michigan*	310	283	14	13	10	3	3	3	1	2	1
29	New Mexico*	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	6
30	NY - Albany*	190	15	15	12	12	9	9	9	7	7	7
33	Ohio*	183	154	62	59	59	40	40	32	22	14	14
36	Pennsylvania*	274	153	153	153	153	137	133	6	5	28	25
43	Washington*	167	112	21	18	14	7	7	8	7	4	4
50	TX - El Paso*	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
53	TX - San Antonio*	31	17	17	17	17	13	13	13	10	12	12
54	MI portion of Chicago*	23	21	1	1	1	0	0			0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	26	5	5	5	1	1	1	1		0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		5419	4518	3798	3767	3135	2109	2094	1982	1671	1676	1624

- Notes:
- * Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
 - a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
 - d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
 - e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
 - f. As of the second quarter of 2007, the NSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
 - g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
 Status of NPSPAC Channels
 Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)*	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained	Category in FCC's 3/31/2010 Order**
1	Alabama	3	34	28.33	71.67	2	1
2	Alaska	4	118	98.33	1.67	5	0
3	Arizona	4	0	0	100	1	2
4	Arkansas	2	0	0	100	5	0
5	California - South	4	0	0	100	1	2
6	California - North	1	2	1.67	98.33	1	1
7	Colorado	1	94	78.33	21.67	5	0
8	New York - Metropolitan	1	10	8.33	91.67	1	1
9	Florida	3	0	0	100	1	1
10	Georgia	3	16	13.33	86.67	5	0
11	Hawaii	1	120	100	0	6	0
12	Idaho	2	120	100	0	6	0
13	Illinois	1	110	91.67	8.33	5	0
14	Indiana	1	1	0.83	99.17	1	1
15	Iowa	2	120	100	0	6	0
16	Kansas	2	3	2.5	97.5	1	1
17	Kentucky	2	77	64.17	35.83	5	0
18	Louisiana	3	0	0	100	1	1
19	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut	1	1	0.83	99.17	1	1
20	Maryland; Washington, DC; Virginia - Northern	1	0	0	100	1	1
21	Michigan	4	0	0	100	1	2
22	Minnesota	2	120	100	0	6	0
23	Mississippi	3	25	20.83	79.17	5	0
24	Missouri	2	87	72.5	27.5	5	0
25	Montana	2	114	95	5	5	0
26	Nebraska	2	79	65.83	34.17	5	0
27	Nevada	1	26	21.67	78.33	2	1
28	New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware	1	0	0	100	1	1
29	New Mexico	4	96	80	20	5	0
30	New York - Albany	4	0	0	100	1	2
31	North Carolina	4	0	0	100	1	1
32	North Dakota	4	120	100	0	6	0
33	Ohio	4	0	0	100	1	2
34	Oklahoma	4	16	13.33	86.67	2	1
35	Oregon	4	70	58.33	41.67	5	0
36	Pennsylvania	4	0	0	100	1	1
37	South Carolina	4	0	0	100	1	1
38	South Dakota	4	120	100	0	6	0
39	Tennessee	4	66	55	45	3	1
40	Texas - Dallas	4	13	10.83	89.17	2	1
41	Utah	4	120	100	0	6	0
42	Virginia	4	7	5.83	94.17	1	1
43	Washington	4	0	0	100	1	2
44	West Virginia	4	85	70.83	29.17	5	0
45	Wisconsin	4	118	98.33	1.67	5	0
46	Wyoming	4	120	100	0	6	0
47	Puerto Rico	4	14	11.67	88.33	5	0

Appendix 2
 Status of NPSPAC Channels
 Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)*	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained	Category in FCC's 3/31/2010 Order**
48	U.S. Virgin Islands	4	120	100	0	6	0
49	Texas - Austin	4	3	2.5	97.5	1	1
50	Texas - El Paso	4	113	94.17	5.83	5	2
51	Texas - Houston	4	0	0	100	1	1
52	Texas - Lubbock	4	112	93.33	6.67	5	0
53	Texas - San Antonio	4	20	16.67	83.33	2	2
54	Chicago - Metropolitan	4	1	0.83	99.17	1	1
55	New York - Buffalo	4	84	70	30	3	2
61	Gulf of Mexico	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
62	Marianas	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
63	Guam	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
64	American Samoa	4	120	100	0	6	N/A

* There are 120 25 kHz channel equivalents in the 821-824/866-869 MHz band. For a 25 kHz channel to be cleared, the center NPSPAC channel as well as the adjacent offset channels must be cleared. For instance, to clear 821/866.0625, 821/866.0500 and 821/866.0750 must also be cleared.


** 0 = Sprint Nextel vacates Interleaved Band channels by March 31, 2010; 1 = Sprint Nextel vacates Interleaved Band channels in stages; 2 = Border; N/A = not addressed in FCC's March 31, 2010 Interleaved Order (DA 10-576)

Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5
39	Tennessee	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5
Wave 3	Subtotal	242	241	227	226	224	182	182	183	167	163	125
1	Alabama	59	59	45	44	44	41	41	42	36	33	26
9	Florida	32	32	32	32	32	16	16	14	8	10	5
10	Georgia	76	76	76	76	76	68	68	67	67	67	48
18	Louisiana	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
23	Mississippi	51	50	50	50	48	44	44	47	43	41	37
31	North Carolina	7	7	7	7	7	2	2	2	2	2	2
37	South Carolina	12	12	12	12	12	7	7	7	7	6	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		249	248	234	233	231	189	189	190	174	170	130

Notes:

- a. Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 2
 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band:
 Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 06/30/10	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 06/30/10 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 06/30/10 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 1	Subtotal	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Arkansas	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

- a. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- b. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- c. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- d. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- e. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 3A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Wave 1	356	342	338	338	203
6	40	40	40	40	30
7	10	9	9	9	9
8	50	49	48	48	35
11	3	3	3	3	3
13	8	7	7	7	6
14	18	18	18	18	15
19	53	50	50	50	29
20	34	33	32	32	2
27	4	4	4	4	4
28	19	17	16	16	10
35	5	5	5	5	5
41	9	9	9	9	3
42	23	18	18	18	7
45	4	4	4	4	4
54	40	40	39	39	28
Multiregion	36	36	36	36	13
Wave 2	225	223	220	218	142
4	8	8	8	8	7
15	16	16	16	16	16
16	21	20	17	15	9
17	17	17	17	17	15
22	15	15	15	15	15
24	13	13	13	13	8
26	17	17	17	17	14
34	8	8	8	8	3
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	24	23	23	23	19
40	32	32	32	32	14
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	2	2	2	2	2
49	15	15	15	15	5
51	10	10	10	10	4
52	2	2	2	2	1
Multiregion	23	23	23	23	8

Appendix 3A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Wave 3	237	227	225	224	108
1	19	16	15	15	9
9	58	58	58	58	25
10	45	43	43	43	33
18	30	27	27	27	4
23	15	14	14	13	6
31	31	30	29	29	18
37	15	15	15	15	10
47	6	6	6	6	0
Multiregion	18	18	18	18	3
Wave 4	47	46	46	46	29
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	0
5	3	3	3	3	3
29	6	6	6	6	4
30	3	3	3	3	2
33	11	10	10	10	5
36	3	3	3	3	1
43	2	2	2	2	2
50	2	2	2	2	2
53	7	7	7	7	5
54	2	2	2	2	1
Multiregion	6	6	6	6	3
TOTAL	865	838	829	826	482

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 3B

Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 2: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Canadian Border						
19	1	1	1	1	1	0
21	25	20	18	15	14	2
22	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	0	0
30	9	8	8	6	6	3
33	41	36	28	26	26	4
36	3	2	2	2	2	0
43	29	21	18	16	15	4
55	12	9	9	8	8	3
Multiregion	4	4	4	4	4	1
TOTAL	126	103	90	80	77	18

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 3C

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	Number of ESMR FRAs	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	3	0	0	0	0
14	3	0	0	0	0
Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	2	0	0	0	0
4	1	0	0	0	0
Multiregion	1	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	13	0	0	0	0
47	10	0	0	0	0
48	3	0	0	0	0
Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	18	0	0	0	0

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	KNNQ425	860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WNQL400	860.4875, 860.7125, 860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRG698	860.7125
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRR956	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVR873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Lake Havasu City, City of	AZ	WPXB342	860.4375, 860.9625
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPNW554	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWR968	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWS651	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWS758	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWT692	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWX741	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWY575	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXD838	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXE694	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXE706	860.9875
City of Palm Springs	CA	WNZH770	860.7125
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM865	860.2375
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Elk Grove Unified School District	CA	WPJY405	860.7875, 860.8125
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Long Beach, City of	CA	KNCR530	860.2375
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY330	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY335	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPVV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPMC676	860.2500, 860.4250
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNW306	860.2875, 860.8125, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNZ337	860.2875, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPYQ990	860.8125
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WQCW497	860.8125
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPGV544	860.4625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPHY525	860.4625, 860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Baca, County of	CO	WPNP223	860.2125
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Connecticut, State of	CT	WPPF755	860.4875
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Clay, County of	FL	WPDC613	860.4375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNLK955	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNSK615	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625
Indian River, County of	FL	WNNMZ770	860.4875, 860.9875
Indian River, County of	FL	WQHR977	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Reedy Creek Improvement District	FL	WPPTU288	860.2625
Saint Lucie, County of	FL	WPDV207	860.2375, 860.4625
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
DeKalb County Police Department	GA	KNAN828	858.2625
Guam, Government of	GU	WPDA554	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPCG201	860.2625
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPTY944	860.9875
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Boise, City of	ID	WP11857	860.9375
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPU400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WP1X994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ETSB	IL	KNNT505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WP1X239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Springfield, City of	IL	WQAZ465	860.4875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDJ229	860.2125
Tiptecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WP1M551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Madison, County of	KY	WNVN963	860.4875, 860.7375
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Richmond, City of	KY	WQDE403	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Iberia, Parish of	LA	WPQY650	860.7625
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WN11532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WN11533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WN11534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WN11535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WN11536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJ1711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WP1M999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Minden, City of	LA	WPWJ531	860.4875
New Orleans Convention Center	LA	WPJS469	860.2375
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority	LA	WPAP726	860.2125, 860.4375
New Orleans, City of	LA	WNCD880	860.7875, 860.8125
Orleans Levee District	LA	WNQC758	860.2625
Orleans Parish School Board	LA	KNJU690	860.7125
Sterlington, Town of	LA	WPNZ848	860.7375
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM765	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM766	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM767	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM768	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM769	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM770	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM771	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM772	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNQK948	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPLU397	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPQX905	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPXJ396	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WPUA724	860.7625
Grand Rapids Public Schools	MI	WPIP999	860.2125, 860.9875
Lansing Board of Water & Light	MI	WPYH599	860.3125
Walker, City of	MI	WPVP312	860.4375
Clay, County of	MN	WPLS888	860.7125
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG265	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG266	815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYS897	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY636	815.2125, 815.2375, 815.4375, 815.7125, 815.7375, 815.9375, 815.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY637	860.2375, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF219	815.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF220	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDG878	860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ490	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7625, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ508	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDR523	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.4625, 815.4875, 815.7375, 815.7625, 815.9375, 815.9625, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHF462	860.2375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHK535	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJL572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
Bolivar County E911	MS	WPXA863	860.2375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
De Soto, County of	MS	WPCC361	860.4875, 860.7625
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Mississippi, University of	MS	WPJQ621	860.7375
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Vicksburg, City of	MS	KNNH519	857.7125, 858.2625
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875
Guilford, County of	NC	WPEN250	860.7625

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WQGP564	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4625, 860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPJQ536	860.2625, 860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN567	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN594	860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPMB804	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCQ971	860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCS908	860.9375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNT448	860.2125
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPKD444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH415	860.2625
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH416	860.2625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WNZW684	n/a*
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WPUW259	860.4375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WNZB252	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKB391	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ524	860.2125, 860.7125, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ526	860.4625, 860.7375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD805	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD806	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQZ376	860.2125, 860.4375
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY226	860.2125, 860.9875

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY297	860.2375, 860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPST690	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPTR307	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WPZZ385	860.2375
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV628	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV634	860.2125
University and Community College System	NV	WPWK236	860.4625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
Albany County Airport Authority	NY	WPBD381	860.7625
Albany, County of	NY	WPPT680	860.7375
Chemung, County of	NY	WPDT243	860.2625
City of Albany	NY	WPWW868	860.2125, 860.4375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
Colonie, Town of	NY	WNRN976	860.2625
Colonie, Town of	NY	WPJS475	860.2375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Niskayuna, Town of	NY	WPKY419	860.9875
Rockland, County of	NY	WPED556	860.7250
Troy, City of	NY	WPPC682	860.9375
Columbus, City of	OH	WNRJ541	860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875
Forest Park, City of	OH	WNJH360	860.9875
Grove City, City of	OH	WPBY922	860.2375
Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority	OH	WPGY687	860.4875, 860.9375
City of Norman	OK	WPPC871	860.7625, 860.9625
Edmond, City of	OK	WPJT968	860.9375
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	KNBU457	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP614	860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP618	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ850	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ878	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN465	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN466	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN467	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR618	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR886	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR887	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR889	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR890	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFZ462	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQGF211	860.4375
Shawnee, City of	OK	WNWV280	860.4625
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.9625
City of Portland	OR	WNVL283	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WNZY850	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WPFQ300	860.8125, 860.8625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WNSK475	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES432	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES436	860.2375, 860.7375
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WNWC473	860.2125, 860.4875
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WPJG234	860.2125, 860.4875

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Carlisle, Borough of	PA	KNNK871	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	KNNM504	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ870	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ871	860.2625, 860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN956	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN957	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC513	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC515	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC705	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC709	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC717	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHK779	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPJR834	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPKX415	860.4625, 860.9625
Chester, County of	PA	WPMJ468	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPWE515	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPWI590	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYE456	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYG324	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYH558	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of Emergency Preparedness	PA	WQAB589	860.4375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Lower Allen, Township of	PA	WPAP650	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPFQ220	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPWE776	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZS814	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZU942	860.9375
Whitehall, Borough of	PA	WPRF753	860.7125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNXC326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenville, County of	SC	WPKD619	860.7125
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Bartlett, City of	TN	WNVF991	860.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson, City of	TN	WPEU965	860.2625, 860.7625
Jackson, City of	TN	WQBB501	860.9875
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WNRM943	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Shelby, County of	TN	WNQU968	860.2125
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125

Appendix 4
 Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2010

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	WPTD745	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
San Antonio, City of	TX	WPBG238	860.3625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Murray, City of	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPWH838	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPXK838	860.4375
Salt Lake City, City of	UT	KNJU695	860.7625, 860.9625
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Fairfax, County of	VA	KNIH412	860.2625
Fairfax, County of	VA	WNAJ365	860.2625
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP369	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP374	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP377	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
Clark, County of	WA	WQEQ542	860.9875
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ860	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ862	860.2375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ865	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ866	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ867	860.4375
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Sheboygan, County of	WI	WPST436	860.2625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPF727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPPTA470	860.2125

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2010

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	Subtotal	792	299	1922	0	3	3016
6	CA - North	107	87	138	0	0	332
7	Colorado	27	15	224	0	0	266
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	36	389	0	0	521
11	Hawaii	55	5	28	0	0	88
13	Illinois	40	12	139	0	0	191
14	Indiana	27	34	146	0	3	210
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	74	23	136	0	0	233
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	5	96	0	0	167
27	Nevada	63	5	38	0	0	106
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	8	215	0	0	290
35	Oregon	48	1	39	0	0	88
41	Utah	21	3	146	0	0	170
42	Virginia	52	23	53	0	0	128
45	Wisconsin	13	10	3	0	0	26
54	Chicago	36	32	132	0	0	200
Wave 2	Subtotal	469	177	771	7	7	1431
4	Arkansas	38	45	95	0	2	180
12	Idaho*	14	0	0	0	0	14
15	Iowa	16	16	7	0	3	42
16	Kansas	33	6	281	0	0	320
17	Kentucky	16	13	16	0	0	45
22	Minnesota*	74	10	50	0	0	134
24	Missouri	37	11	19	0	0	67
25	Montana*	19	0	1	0	0	20
26	Nebraska	10	4	32	0	1	47
32	North Dakota*	6	0	0	0	0	6
34	Oklahoma	25	3	40	0	0	68
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	43	30	56	7	0	136
40	TX - Dallas	38	21	44	0	0	103
44	West Virginia	3	1	10	0	0	14
46	Wyoming	1	2	7	0	0	10
49	TX - Austin	11	9	68	0	0	88
51	TX - Houston	42	5	43	0	0	90
52	TX - Lubbock	42	1	2	0	0	45
Wave 3	Subtotal	513	201	1015	242	44	2015
1	Alabama	9	29	33	59	0	130
9	Florida	216	61	328	32	0	637
10	Georgia	50	29	82	76	0	237
18	Louisiana	79	16	74	5	0	174
23	Mississippi	23	18	24	51	0	116
31	North Carolina	67	23	289	7	0	386
37	South Carolina	37	23	170	12	0	242
47	Puerto Rico	19	2	15	0	36	72
48	US Virgin Islands	13	0	0	0	8	21

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2010

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
Wave 4	Subtotal	834	281	1711	0	0	2826
2	Alaska*	22	1	1	0	0	24
3	Arizona*	74	20	108	0	0	202
5	CA - South*	98	92	386	0	0	576
21	Michigan*	61	0	310	0	0	371
29	New Mexico*	25	5	9	0	0	39
30	NY - Albany*	91	62	190	0	0	343
33	Ohio*	106	37	183	0	0	326
36	Pennsylvania*	11	9	274	0	0	294
43	Washington*	146	15	167	0	0	328
50	TX - El Paso*	10	5	3	0	0	18
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	31	0	0	63
54	MI portion of Chicago*	7	4	23	0	0	34
55	New York - Buffalo*	167	15	26	0	0	208
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2608	958	5419	249	53	9287

* Public Safety Region includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

Appendix 5

Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information for the Canadian Border Regions, as of June 30, 2010

Current Population of Canadian Border Region Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010				
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Stage 1	Stage 2	Total
		Number of Call Signs		
Wave 1	Subtotal	17	4	21
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	17	2	19
35	Oregon	0	2	2
Wave 2	Subtotal	57	4	61
12	Idaho	3	0	3
15	Iowa (a)	1	0	1
22	Minnesota	24	3	27
25	Montana	9	1	10
32	North Dakota	20	0	20
Wave 3	Subtotal	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	170	1523	1693
2	Alaska	21	0	21
21	Michigan	41	339	380
30	NY - Albany	15	246	261
33	Ohio	45	230	275
36	Pennsylvania	3	227	230
43	Washington	33	272	305
54	MI portion of Chicago	2	22	24
55	New York - Buffalo	10	187	197
Total for Waves 1-4		244	1531	1775

Note:

(a) For Non-Canadian Border PSR 15, a call sign has frequencies in both PSR 15 and PSR 22. The reconfiguration of the frequencies on this call sign in PSR 22 necessitated the reconfiguration of the same frequencies in PSR 15.

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2010

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of June 30, 2010														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.3%	64.8%	70.1%
Under Prior Contract	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.7%	35.2%	29.0%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
FPRs in process	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

- Notes:
- Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
 - The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
 - Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.

Appendix 6

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	282	49	15	0	0	346
	MultiRegion	24	9	2	0	0	35
6	Northern California	35	6	3	0	0	44
7	Colorado	16	0	1	0	0	17
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	30	6	1	0	0	37
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	19	2	1	0	0	22
14	Indiana	11	1	1	0	0	13
19	New England	24	2	0	0	0	26
20	DC, Maryland, Northern VA	16	7	0	0	0	23
27	Nevada	21	3	2	0	0	26
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	1	1	0	0	25
35	Oregon	15	1	0	0	0	16
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	14	4	0	0	0	18
45	Wisconsin	7	1	0	0	0	8
54	Southern Lake Michigan	15	4	1	0	0	20
Wave 2	Subtotal	164	39	1	1	0	205
	MultiRegion	20	10	1	0	0	31
4	Arkansas	8	3	0	0	0	11
12	Idaho	5	1	0	0	0	6
15	Iowa	4	2	0	0	0	6
16	Kansas	9	2	0	1	0	12
17	Kentucky	10	2	0	0	0	12
22	Minnesota	31	3	0	0	0	34
24	Missouri	12	5	0	0	0	17
25	Montana	4	0	0	0	0	4
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	22	3	0	0	0	25
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	2	0	0	0	12
44	West Virginia	1	1	0	0	0	2
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	2	1	0	0	0	3
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	13	1	0	0	0	14
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC		1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Wave 3	Subtotal	218	19	6	0	0	243
	MultiRegion	19	1	2	0	0	22
1	Alabama	14	1	0	0	0	15
9	Florida	76	9	4	0	0	89
10	Georgia	42	4	0	0	0	46
18	Louisiana	16	1	0	0	0	17
23	Mississippi	16	1	0	0	0	17
31	North Carolina	22	1	0	0	0	23
37	South Carolina	5	1	0	0	0	6
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	109	8	1	0	0	118
	MultiRegion	13	1	1	0	0	15
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona	24	0	0	0	0	24
5	Southern California	3	0	0	0	0	3
18	Louisiana	11	4	0	0	0	15
21	Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
23	Mississippi	2	0	0	0	0	2
29	New Mexico	10	0	0	0	0	10
30	Eastern Upstate NY	4	1	0	0	0	5
33	Ohio	10	0	0	0	0	10
36	Western Pennsylvania	5	0	0	0	0	5
43	Washington	5	0	0	0	0	5
50	Texas - West & Central (Midland Area)	8	0	0	0	0	8
53	Texas - Southern (San Antonio)	4	2	0	0	0	6
54	Southern Lake Michigan	4	0	0	0	0	4
63	Guam	1	0	0	0	0	1
Canadian Border	Subtotal	41	15	4	0	0	60
0	MultiRegion	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	Alaska	1	1	0	0	0	2
19	New England	5	2	0	0	0	7
21	Michigan	2	2	0	0	0	4
22	Minnesota	3	0	0	0	0	3
25	Montana	1	1	0	0	0	2
30	Eastern Upstate NY	2	1	1	0	0	4
32	North Dakota	4	0	0	0	0	4
33	Ohio	9	4	1	0	0	14
36	Western Pennsylvania	1	0	0	0	0	1
43	Washington	7	4	1	0	0	12
54	Southern Lake Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
55	Western Upstate NY	2	0	1	0	0	3
Totals for Waves 1-4 and Canadian Border		814	130	27	1	0	972

Appendix 7

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	260	66	12	0	0	339
	MultiRegion	21	12	4	0	0	37
6	Northern California	33	7	0	0	0	40
7	Colorado	7	1	1	0	0	9
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	40	6	2	0	0	48
11	Hawaii	1	0	2	0	0	3
13	Illinois	5	2	0	0	0	7
14	Indiana	14	4	0	0	0	18
19	New England	39	11	0	0	0	50
20	DC, Maryland, Northern VA	21	10	1	0	0	32
27	Nevada	3	1	0	0	0	4
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	14	2	0	0	0	16
35	Oregon	5	0	0	0	0	5
41	Utah	8	1	0	0	0	9
42	Virginia	14	2	1	0	0	18
45	Wisconsin	3	1	0	0	0	4
54	Southern Lake Michigan	32	6	1	0	0	39
Wave 2	Subtotal	177	34	5	0	0	216
	MultiRegion	16	5	0	0	0	21
4	Arkansas	8	0	0	0	0	8
15	Iowa	15	1	0	0	0	16
16	Kansas	13	2	0	0	0	15
17	Kentucky	14	3	0	0	0	17
22	Minnesota	15	0	0	0	0	15
24	Missouri	12	1	0	0	0	13
26	Nebraska	13	4	0	0	0	17
34	Oklahoma	7	1	0	0	0	8
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	18	4	1	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	27	5	0	0	0	32
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	1	0	1	0	0	2
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	10	4	1	0	0	15
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	4	4	2	0	0	10
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	2	0	0	0	0	2
Wave 3	Subtotal	161	58	4	0	0	223
	MultiRegion	9	7	1	0	0	17
1	Alabama	10	4	1	0	0	15
9	Florida	41	16	1	0	0	58
10	Georgia	35	8	0	0	0	43
18	Louisiana	17	10	0	0	0	27
23	Mississippi	12	1	0	0	0	13
31	North Carolina	23	6	0	0	0	29
37	South Carolina	8	6	1	0	0	15
47	Puerto Rico	6	0	0	0	0	6

Appendix 7

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2010

		1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Wave 4	Subtotal	37	11	0	0	0	48
	MultiRegion	5	3	0	0	0	8
2	Alaska	0	1	0	0	0	1
3	Arizona	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	Southern California	2	1	0	0	0	3
29	New Mexico	4	2	0	0	0	6
30	Eastern Upstate NY	3	0	0	0	0	3
33	Ohio	9	1	0	0	0	10
36	Western Pennsylvania	3	0	0	0	0	3
43	Washington	2	0	0	0	0	2
50	Texas - West & Central (Midland Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
53	Texas - Southern (San Antonio)	5	2	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	2	0	0	0	0	2
Canadian Border	Subtotal	54	22	1	0	0	78
	MultiRegion	3	1	0	0	0	4
19	New England	1	0	0	0	0	1
21	Michigan	10	4	0	0	0	14
22	Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	1
30	Eastern Upstate NY	5	2	0	0	0	7
33	Ohio	17	8	1	0	0	26
36	Western Pennsylvania	1	1	0	0	0	2
43	Washington	12	3	0	0	0	15
55	Western Upstate NY	5	2	0	0	0	7
Totals for Waves 1-4 and Canadian Border		689	191	22	0	0	904

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
Wave 1			
1	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
2	Oregon, State of	12/22/05	
3	Slater, Stuart R	12/23/05	
4	RA Comm Inc	1/10/06	
5	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/06	
6	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/06	
7	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/06	
8	Billiou Ranch Inc.	2/7/06	
9	Jokers, Lloyd L	2/7/06	
10	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/06	
11	Lowery, Nelda	2/15/06	
12	Stock, Marcia	2/15/06	
13	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	2/16/06	
14	Kuypers, John	2/27/06	
15	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/06	
16	Vico Construction Company	4/17/06	
17	Crader, R David	4/17/06	
18	Herby, Clinton	4/17/06	
19	Gold Star FS INC	4/18/06	
20	High Peak Communications, LLC	4/21/06	
21	Taylor, Eugene J.	4/21/06	
22	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/23/06	
23	Boyar, Adam	4/25/06	
24	Plantings By the Sea	4/25/06	
25	Marco Polo Rebanding	4/27/06	
26	Ohio Valley Gas	4/27/06	
27	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/27/06	
28	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/06	
29	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/06	
30	Taylor, Dorothy L	4/27/06	
31	Cunningham, Barbara	5/3/06	
32	Albany, City of	5/3/06	
33	Salisbury, Town of	5/9/06	
34	Tooele, County Of	5/9/06	
35	Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2)	5/9/06	
36	Emery, Connie R	5/10/06	
37	Steg, Bernard (2)	5/10/06	
38	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/06	
39	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/06	
40	Smith, Alton	5/18/06	
41	Myers, Natalie	5/18/06	
42	Gallagher Asphalt # 2	5/23/06	
43	Super Shuttle International 2	5/24/06	
44	Access Ag, Inc.	5/24/06	
45	Chapin, Barbara A.	5/25/06	
46	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/25/06	
47	Black, Daniel	6/1/06	
48	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/06	
49	Emery, Robert M	6/6/06	
50	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/06	
51	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2	6/8/06	
52	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
53	Communications Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/06
54	Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap)	6/14/06
55	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/06
56	Sobota, Carolyn	6/16/06
57	Ferrari, Julia (Plote)	6/16/06
58	West County Transportation Agency	6/19/06
59	Underground Inc	6/21/06
60	Storer, Donald B.	6/21/06
61	Perdue Farms Inc.	6/23/06
62	Henderson, Henry	6/26/06
63	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	6/30/06
64	Resorts USA Inc.	6/30/06
65	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/06
66	841 Bishop, LLC	7/6/06
67	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/06
68	Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/06
69	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/06
70	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/06
71	Casino Queen INC	7/10/06
72	Secom Communications 2	7/10/06
73	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/06
74	Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc.	7/12/06
75	Lely, Gerald	7/12/06
76	Triangle Wireless Inc	7/12/06
77	Prentiss Properties (rebanding)	7/13/06
78	F & D Enterprises Inc.	7/17/06
79	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers	7/17/06
80	Salvation Army Harrisburg	7/17/06
81	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/06
82	Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap)	7/20/06
83	Clean Water Services	7/21/06
84	Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc.	7/24/06
85	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/06
86	M S Concrete	7/26/06
87	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/06
88	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/06
89	Henry Nelch & Son Co	7/28/06
90	Irving Materials	7/28/06
91	Easton, Town of	7/28/06
92	Aluminium Company of America 1	7/28/06
93	A Teichert & Son Contract	8/1/06
94	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/06
95	Blankenbechler, Steve	8/1/06
96	Community Repeater Guidance CA	8/1/06
97	Total Networks Communications Inc	8/3/06
98	Mandalay Corp	8/3/06
99	Jeffersonville, City of	8/4/06
100	Lyon, Corey M	8/4/06
101	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/06
102	London Towncars Inc	8/8/06
103	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/06
104	CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems	8/9/06
105	Tilden, Robert	8/9/06

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
106	Lectro Communications Inc.	8/9/06
107	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/06
108	Steuben County 3	8/15/06
109	Wheatridge, City of	8/15/06
110	Shearer Communications	8/15/06
111	Donald, C. Alger	8/15/06
112	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/06
113	Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc	8/16/06
114	Cook Dupage Transportation	8/21/06
115	Boston Properties limited Partnership	8/24/06
116	Shuluk, Greg	8/28/06
117	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/06
118	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/06
119	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/06
120	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/06
121	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/06
122	The Boeing Company	8/30/06
123	Fowlkes, Edddie	8/31/06
124	Methvin, Madeline	9/5/06
125	Denver Public Schools	9/6/06
126	Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2)	9/6/06
127	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/06
128	Granite Contruction Company	9/8/06
129	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/06
130	Jung, Mark	9/11/06
131	Ferma Corp	9/20/06
132	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2)	9/26/06
133	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4)	9/27/06
134	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc.	10/5/06
135	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/06
136	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/06
137	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/06
138	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/06
139	Illinois, State of	10/12/06
140	Crane, Bert	10/16/06
141	Wireless Connections LLC	10/17/06
142	Petry, Gay	10/17/06
143	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/06
144	Wright Jr, Gary L	10/23/06
145	Waterbury, City of	10/24/06
146	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/06
147	Conley, George	11/2/06
148	Deere & Company	11/2/06
149	TKT INC	11/2/06
150	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/06
151	Rock County, WI	11/13/06
152	Globeground North America LLC	11/20/06
153	Windham, Town of	11/20/06
154	Duncan Gordon L	11/21/06
155	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc.	11/27/06
156	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/06
157	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/06
158	Brooks, Janis	12/1/06
159	Mountaire Farms	12/5/06

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
160	Mathews Ferderick and Chas	12/6/06	
161	Wecom Inc.	12/6/06	
162	Triple C Communications	12/6/06	
163	CNF Tranportation Inc.	12/8/06	
164	Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc.	12/8/06	
165	Hampden Communication Corp	12/12/06	
166	Weleccki, Alan M	12/28/06	
167	Connecticut State of , CCSU	1/8/07	
168	Aggregate Inc.	1/17/07	
169	Hartford Hospital	1/19/07	
170	Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc.	1/19/07	
171	Willard Agri Svc	1/19/07	
172	K & A Mutual Associates Inc.	1/23/07	
173	K5 Contruction	1/24/07	
174	Dole Fresh Fruit	1/25/07	
175	Wille Brother Company	1/25/07	
176	New Haven, City of	1/25/07	
177	Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	1/26/07	
178	Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2	1/29/07	
179	KLL Wireless, INC	1/29/07	
180	Adler, Philip	2/1/07	
181	William A. Hazel	2/2/07	
182	Stoller Construction	2/5/07	
183	R. W. Miller & Sons Inc	2/8/07	
184	Frederick County, MD Board of Education	2/8/07	
185	Frontier Radio	2/9/07	
186	Frederick County, MD	2/9/07	
187	Caterpillar	2/15/07	
188	Joseph J Albanese Inc	2/15/07	
189	Brenner, Jerry	2/21/07	
190	JTE Enterprises	2/21/07	
191	Insight Communications Midwest LLC	2/26/07	
192	Mary Ellen Brewer(Lundy)	2/26/07	
193	Sprague, Town of	2/27/07	
194	Nevada Ready Mix II	2/27/07	
195	Sonoma County, CA	3/1/07	
196	WP Company LLC	3/2/07	
197	Lockheed Martin Corporation	3/6/07	
198	Chaney Enterprises (2)	3/8/07	
199	Praire Group Inc.	3/15/07	
200	County of Ocean New Jersey	3/15/07	
201	Radon - Norcom	3/15/07	
202	Soundview Spectrum, LLC	3/15/07	
203	Glastonbury Police Department	3/15/07	
204	Metro Electronics	3/16/07	
205	Hawaii, State of	3/16/07	
206	Rhode Island, State of	3/20/07	
207	XW LLC #2 (swap)	3/21/07	
208	Meriden, City of	3/21/07	
209	Mirage Resorts Inc.	3/26/07	
210	Gerawan Farming (Re-band)	3/27/07	
211	Horizon Mobile Communications Inc.	3/29/07	
212	Trenton, City of	4/6/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
213	Montgomery Shuttle	4/11/07
214	Central Bucks School District	4/13/07
215	Motient (Deal I - L120)	4/17/07
216	First Student, Inc.	4/19/07
217	Motorola (2)	4/20/07
218	Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc.	4/30/07
219	Durham School services - PHI, PA	5/1/07
220	Durham School services - CHI, IL	5/1/07
221	Durham School Services - North CA	5/1/07
222	Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company	5/11/07
223	Pennington, Agnes	5/15/07
224	PG & E (Re-Band)	5/15/07
225	Adams, Don Jr.	5/17/07
226	Anderson, Glen	5/18/07
227	Rheins, Joy 2	5/23/07
228	Townsend Booth	5/23/07
229	Durham School Services - Decatur, IL	5/23/07
230	Hudson General -NY	5/24/07
231	Colorado, State of (L-120)	5/30/07
232	Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc.	5/31/07
233	New York Communications Company Inc.	6/1/07
234	O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc.	6/4/07
235	Reilly, Phillip	6/5/07
236	Cumberland, County of	6/5/07
237	Cohen, Eliahu	6/11/07
238	Orosz, Joseph Rebanding	6/11/07
239	Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005	6/12/07
240	Hernandez, David A	6/12/07
241	RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2	6/14/07
242	Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap)	6/22/07
243	Ready Mixed Concrete Company	6/26/07
244	David Whiteside	6/26/07
245	Bethlehem, City of	6/27/07
246	Cure Brothers	6/28/07
247	Mora, Albert	7/2/07
248	Desilva Gates Const.	7/2/07
249	Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc	7/10/07
250	Academy Express	7/10/07
251	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07
252	RSK World, Inc. Rebanding	7/17/07
253	ANAT, LLC Rebanding	7/23/07
254	VCF Inc.	7/24/07
255	John E Sola Estate	7/25/07
256	Egg Harbor, Township of	9/7/07
257	PCS Communications	9/19/07
258	Atlantic, County of	10/3/07
259	Myers Brothers Inc	10/23/07
260	York Cable Television	10/24/07
261	Montgomery, County of 2005	10/24/07
262	Union, County of	10/25/07
263	Coastal SMR/ARC	11/16/07
264	Craig Antenna Service 2	12/20/07
265	Ray's Radio #2	1/11/08

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
266	DuPage, County of	1/14/08	
267	Nevada Ready Mix	1/15/08	
268	Johnson, County of	1/31/08	
269	Peco Energy Company	2/1/08	
270	MCMConstruction # 2 Swap	2/12/08	
271	Township of Berkeley	3/10/08	
272	Murray City Power Department	3/13/08	
273	Redland Genstar Inc.	3/25/08	
274	Peltzer Farm Management, Inc.	3/31/08	
275	Illinois Cooperative Association, INV #4	4/11/08	
276	Harris Communications / A-1-A TA Inc.	4/14/08	
277	Hudson, County of	4/24/08	
278	Hale, Robert	5/6/08	
279	Chesterfield County, VA	5/9/08	
280	Quicksilver Express Courier	5/19/08	
281	Laidlaw Transit	5/20/08	
282	Myers + Brown # 6	5/27/08	
283	Virginia Commonwealth of DMV	5/27/08	
284	United Communications Co	5/27/08	
285	Virginia, Commonwealth of - CNU	5/27/08	
286	Manassas, City of	5/27/08	
287	Wireless US, LLC	6/10/08	
288	Concord Body Repair LLC	6/11/08	
289	La Rue Communications	6/23/08	
290	Computer Car Inc.	6/30/08	
291	Anheuser Busch Wave 1	7/16/08	
292	Ocean City, Town of	10/1/08	
293	Charter, Tom or Perry DBA - T&P Farms	10/3/08	
294	United Illuminating Company	10/14/08	
295	State of Connecticut	12/4/08	
296	Helms Brothers	1/22/09	
297	Kuilima Resort Company Rebanding	1/22/09	
298	SeabrookJoint Owners - Rebanding	1/26/09	
299	Fresno Unified School District	1/30/09	
300	Ameren Services Company	2/16/09	
301	Regency Cab Inc.	2/25/09	
302	Roanoke, County of (VA)	3/23/09	
303	Roy Street Enterprises Inc.	3/24/09	
304	Essex, County of	3/24/09	
305	Sandwich, Town of	4/9/09	
306	New Jersey Turnpike Authority	5/4/09	
307	Chevron USA Inc	5/6/09	
308	MARYLAND, STATE OF- MDOT- MAA	5/6/09	
309	Mashpee, Town of	5/12/09	
310	California State Auto Assn.	5/18/09	
311	G & P Communications	6/12/09	
312	Smith, Michael D	6/22/09	
313	Susquehanna Township	6/24/09	
314	Passaic, City of	6/29/09	
315	Fresno Mobile Radio	7/16/09	
316	Ledgemere- Maine	7/17/09	
317	Lectracom, Inc. 2	8/4/09	
318	Norfolk, City of	9/1/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
319	FOB Inc.	9/1/09
320	Maryland, State of - Rebanding	9/22/09
321	Bourne, Town of	10/2/09
322	Portland, City of	10/8/09
323	City Pic Corporation	10/20/09
324	Tarco Inc.	10/28/09
325	Radio Services Inc	11/11/09
326	Colmar Fire Company	1/6/10
327	Mobile Telephone & Paging #2	1/26/10
328	New Jersey, State of	4/14/10
329	Pataco, Nancy 2	5/11/10
330	Cambridge, City of (MA)	6/25/10
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		330
Wave 2		
1	Lees Summit Board of Education	12/6/05
2	Maplewood, City of	1/3/06
3	Raft River Rural Electric Corp	1/5/06
4	Zenk, John	1/10/06
5	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	2/3/06
6	Nebco Inc.	2/27/06
7	Electronic Specialties, Inc	3/8/06
8	Champion Communications Services	3/14/06
9	Johnson, Christian	3/14/06
10	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/06
11	First Student, Inc.	4/17/06
12	McMahon, Eric	4/17/06
13	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/06
14	Steier, Tim	4/21/06
15	Triple D Communications Inc	4/27/06
16	Seba Bros Farms Inc	4/27/06
17	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/06
18	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/06
19	Malavia, Jim	5/9/06
20	Mid Tennessee third Mobile	5/9/06
21	Battles Communications 2	5/15/06
22	Minnesota, State of	5/15/06
23	Radioland Inc.	5/15/06
24	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	5/15/06
25	Best D & D	5/18/06
26	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/06
27	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	5/24/06
28	Graybill Electronics Inc.	5/25/06
29	Epperson, Jimmy A.	5/25/06
30	Frontier El Dorado Refining	6/1/06
31	Telebeep Inc.	6/1/06
32	Myers, L E	6/2/06
33	J Lee Milligan	6/6/06
34	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/06
35	Melba School District 136	6/6/06
36	Boyar, Chris	6/9/06
37	Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications	6/9/06
38	Pine Bluff, City of, AR	6/16/06
39	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/06

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
40	Minneapolis, City of	6/19/06	
41	Houston 900 Network	6/23/06	
42	Hopkins, Terry	6/26/06	
43	Balis, Gregory K	6/28/06	
44	Wood Communications 2	6/28/06	
45	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/06	
46	Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi	7/5/06	
47	Butler, Edward	7/6/06	
48	Ashland Construction Communications	7/6/06	
49	West Central Communications 2	7/12/06	
50	TFM Comm Inc.	7/13/06	
51	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	7/13/06	
52	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/06	
53	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/06	
54	Allied Services LLC	7/26/06	
55	Herby, John	7/27/06	
56	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/06	
57	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/06	
58	Williamstown, City of	8/7/06	
59	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	8/9/06	
60	Molitor Farms Inc	8/9/06	
61	Bellar Communications Co	8/14/06	
62	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/06	
63	Ames Construction Inc.	8/15/06	
64	Herby, Martha A	8/16/06	
65	Craighead, County of AR	8/16/06	
66	Stier Transportation Services Inc.	8/18/06	
67	Miller, Cleo	8/24/06	
68	Co Op Agriculture Center	8/24/06	
69	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/06	
70	Airtel Wireless, LLC	8/28/06	
71	City of Dakota Dunes	8/28/06	
72	Lehman Roberts	9/15/06	
73	Watonwan Farm Service	9/27/06	
74	Hearthstone Enterprises Inc	10/3/06	
75	Gateway Wireless Services 3	10/4/06	
76	AVR Inc.	10/6/06	
77	Michael Howell	10/12/06	
78	Action Radio & Communications INC	10/23/06	
79	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/06	
80	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/06	
81	Hopkins, City of	10/31/06	
82	Crittenden County, AR	11/1/06	
83	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/06	
84	Midwest City	11/2/06	
85	Raabe, Richard	11/8/06	
86	C & W Comm (Steve Gill)	11/9/06	
87	John Knox Village	11/10/06	
88	Athens Utilities Board, TN	11/17/06	
89	C S Leasing	11/27/06	
90	Dallas Count, TX	11/27/06	
91	Aeronautical Radio - MS	11/29/06	
92	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
93	American Red Cross	12/1/06
94	Union Carbide Corp	12/8/06
95	Mustang, City of, OK	12/15/06
96	Bismark, City of	12/27/06
97	Griffin, Bryan	1/3/07
98	County Douglas - KS	1/3/07
99	Chattanooga SMR Inc	1/3/07
100	Callahan Tower Joint Venture	1/5/07
101	Curtis Well Servicing	1/10/07
102	Corey Seppmann Well Drilling	1/17/07
103	W G Block Co	1/17/07
104	Xcel Energy Services Inc	1/18/07
105	Brush, Peter	2/1/07
106	Gem State	2/5/07
107	Anchorage, City of	2/5/07
108	Cemstone Products Company	2/7/07
109	Snyder, Kenneth C.	2/8/07
110	Sater, Gary N.	2/12/07
111	Mattson Well Company	2/19/07
112	Crescent, The	2/26/07
113	Electronic Engineering Co. 3	2/26/07
114	Occidental Permian LTD	2/26/07
115	Ebenhyoh, Daniel	2/26/07
116	Holes Inc	2/27/07
117	Gerbus Bros Construction Co	2/28/07
118	Grant County, AR	3/5/07
119	SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street	3/5/07
120	SR Communications Associates	3/6/07
121	Alpha Wireless Communications Inc.	3/13/07
122	Wenner Farms	3/14/07
123	Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A	3/15/07
124	Hennepin County	3/15/07
125	Northwest Airlines	3/15/07
126	Boulder AG	3/20/07
127	Charleston Housing	3/22/07
128	Green Isle Countryside Farms	3/28/07
129	JP Jenkins, Inc.	3/28/07
130	Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing	4/3/07
131	W A Ellis Construction Company	4/9/07
132	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	4/9/07
133	MO ARK Communications Inc.	4/11/07
134	Sebastian, County of, AR	4/13/07
135	G & D Communications	4/19/07
136	Durham School Services - MO	5/1/07
137	Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc. #6	5/2/07
138	Berry Hill, City of	5/14/07
139	Raymer, Joe R	5/17/07
140	Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP	5/17/07
141	Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections	5/18/07
142	Ford Communications	5/24/07
143	JRJ Paving	5/24/07
144	Leavenworth, County of, KS	6/4/07
145	Main Street Partners	6/7/07
146	Challenger Construction INC.	6/11/07

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
147	Central Communications & Electronics	6/11/07
148	A Communications LLC	6/12/07
149	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	6/25/07
150	Hertz Rent A Car	7/2/07
151	Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G	7/2/07
152	Airport Fast Park	7/23/07
153	Bass Enterprises Construction Company	7/23/07
154	Bridgeport Tank Trucks	8/15/07
155	Peacock, John F	8/24/07
156	Gladstone, City of	8/24/07
157	Fayette, County of	9/13/07
158	Bliss, Robert D	10/10/07
159	Hillard, Harold 2	10/17/07
160	Grays Knob Coal Co	10/17/07
161	Baptist Medical System	10/17/07
162	Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4)	10/18/07
163	Meek,Larry (Rebanding)	10/23/07
164	Capital Aggregates Inc	10/23/07
165	City of Derby	11/16/07
166	Septran, Inc.	12/12/07
167	Denton, City of	1/14/08
168	Self Radio, Inc. and Self Brothers Oil Company	2/18/08
169	Sedgwick, County of, KS	2/19/08
170	Entergy	2/27/08
171	Kansas, State of	3/10/08
172	Mobil Oil Telcom LTD	3/21/08
173	Mid American Energy Company	4/29/08
174	Anheuser Busch	5/5/08
175	Levake, Martin 2005	5/27/08
176	Covington, City of	5/27/08
177	WASTE CONNECTIONS OF TENNESSEE	6/10/08
178	Quicksilver Express Courier	7/16/08
179	Burkhardt, Phillip	7/17/08
180	CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission	8/7/08
181	ConocoPhillips Communications Inc.	9/29/08
182	Pitcock and Williams	10/1/08
183	First Digital Holdings LLC	10/20/08
184	Conway, City of, AR	10/21/08
185	Conduff, Archie	11/18/08
186	McClellan, Odis	4/7/09
187	Williamson, Bruce (EA & Site License)	5/14/09
188	Hamilton, County of, TN	5/19/09
189	Adler, Susan	7/6/09
190	Gulf Coast Electronics	7/17/09
191	Duke Energy (rebanding)	7/17/09
192	Rainbow Taxi Corp	7/28/09
193	Cincinnati, City Of, OH	10/20/09
194	Gossett, Ron and Barbara	11/9/09
195	CenterPoint Energy, Inc.	12/30/09
196	Morgan, William A	1/26/10
197	Houston ISD	2/18/10
198	Enogex	4/9/10
199	Aquila Inc	5/17/10

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		199
Wave 3		
1	Cargill Juice North America, Inc.	1/3/06
2	Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Partnership	3/27/06
3	Curry and Company Plumbing	5/10/06
4	North Communications of PR	5/10/06
5	Wilemon, Jerry W	5/17/06
6	Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C.	5/23/06
7	Boulware, Winston	6/20/06
8	Rodd Electronics Contract	6/29/06
9	Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi	7/7/06
10	Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/06
11	Shreveport Communications	7/11/06
12	Quadratics Inc.	7/14/06
13	Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/06
14	Houston County of AL	7/21/06
15	Fulk, Gray L.	7/26/06
16	Lykes Brothers Inc	8/1/06
17	Byrd, Alan P	8/5/06
18	Ingram Grove Service Inc	8/9/06
19	Tri Co Communications	8/10/06
20	Gastonia, city of , NC	8/15/06
21	Louisburg, Town of, NC	8/15/06
22	Mears Destination Services	8/15/06
23	Morganton, City of, NC	8/28/06
24	Ashford, City of, AL	8/30/06
25	Supershuttle International	9/6/06
26	White, James	9/8/06
27	AFLAC	9/15/06
28	Waters Brothers Contracting	9/15/06
29	Buddys Phone Patching Inc.	9/20/06
30	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3)	9/27/06
31	Oxford, City of, NC	10/6/06
32	Rabalais Jr, Ronald J	10/11/06
33	BJM and Associated Inc	10/17/06
34	CellSMR South Inc	10/17/06
35	Saint Joseph Hospital INC	10/17/06
36	Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc	10/27/06
37	Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/06
38	Woodard Communications Corporation	11/7/06
39	Waste Services of Florida	11/17/06
40	Madison, Parish of, LA	11/17/06
41	Everglades Communications Inc	11/20/06
42	Charlotte, City of, NC	11/21/06
43	Tampa Electric Company	11/21/06
44	Washington, County of, FL	11/27/06
45	Action Community Center	11/27/06
46	Tallulah, City of, LA	11/29/06
47	Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL	12/6/06
48	Patterson Communications & Electronics	12/11/06
49	Tallapoosa, County Of, AL	12/11/06
50	Jack M Berry Inc.	12/13/06
51	Haralson, County Of, GA	12/13/06

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
52	ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/06	
53	Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/06	
54	Perry, County of	12/27/06	
55	Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS	12/27/06	
56	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/06	
57	S C Department Of Mental Health, SC	1/3/07	
58	Columbus, City of, GA	1/17/07	
59	FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA FLOYD MEDICAL CENTER	1/17/07	
60	Horn Lake, City of, MS	1/18/07	
61	Louisiana Transit Company Inc.	1/30/07	
62	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA	1/30/07	
63	Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority	1/31/07	
64	North Carolina, State of - DOC	2/2/07	
65	Donn Barr - Virgin Islands	2/2/07	
66	Neighborly Care Network, Inc.	2/12/07	
67	Sugar Cane Growers	2/15/07	
68	Alpharetta, City of, GA	2/15/07	
69	United States Sugar Corporation	2/15/07	
70	Gaston, County of, NC	2/21/07	
71	Monroe - Southern	2/22/07	
72	M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc	2/23/07	
73	Mountain Park, City of, GA	2/26/07	
74	Purser, Mark	2/26/07	
75	Florida Hospital	2/27/07	
76	LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA	2/27/07	
77	Whitley's Communications Service	3/1/07	
78	Anderson, City Of, SC	3/2/07	
79	Sunstate Communications Inc	3/2/07	
80	Micro Technology Southeast	3/5/07	
81	Re Max Agents Realty Inc	3/5/07	
82	Lantana, Town of, FL	3/5/07	
83	SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL	3/5/07	
84	Pineville, Town of, NC	3/12/07	
85	Federal Express Corporation	3/13/07	
86	Palm Beach, County of, FL	3/15/07	
87	High Tech Communications Services Inc	3/16/07	
88	City of Wilson	3/20/07	
89	Shaw Industries Inc	3/21/07	
90	NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF	3/23/07	
91	Cameron, Parish Of, LA	3/26/07	
92	Richland, City of, MS	3/26/07	
93	Dothan City Schools	3/27/07	
94	Martha Herby - Virgin Islands	3/27/07	
95	Huntsville Radio Service	3/27/07	
96	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companies	3/27/07	
97	Mississippi DOT	3/27/07	
98	Desoto, County of, Fl	3/28/07	
99	Gainesville, City of, GA	3/30/07	
100	Roswell, City of, GA	4/2/07	
101	Communications Leasing Corp	4/3/07	
102	Lakeland, City Of, FL	4/6/07	
103	HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC	4/6/07	
104	Alabama, University of	4/16/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Deal Name			
105	Forest Park, City Of, GA	4/19/07	
106	SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA	4/19/07	
107	APM Terminals North America	5/14/07	
108	Sea Coast Communications Inc	5/14/07	
109	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5/15/07	
110	Chatco Communications	5/17/07	
111	Consolidated Freightways Corporation	6/1/07	
112	Carroll, County Of, GA	6/4/07	
113	Palm Springs, Village of, FL	6/19/07	
114	Columbus City of - Medical Center	7/2/07	
115	Decatur, City of, GA	7/10/07	
116	North Sight Communications, INC.	7/12/07	
117	BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC	7/12/07	
118	CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY	7/16/07	
119	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA	7/18/07	
120	COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07	
121	Chattaway, Paul S	7/25/07	
122	Vincent, Perry	7/25/07	
123	Tower Communications, Inc	7/26/07	
124	McDonald Construction Corporation	7/31/07	
125	Orange City, Of, FL	8/6/07	
126	South Sales Communications Inc	8/6/07	
127	SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC	8/6/07	
128	CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL	8/6/07	
129	Rutherford Electric Membership Corp	8/13/07	
130	Two Way Radio of Carolina	8/13/07	
131	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC	8/13/07	
132	C & S Communications INC	8/15/07	
133	TERREBONNE, PARISH OF, LA	8/15/07	
134	OGLETHORPE POWER CORP	8/24/07	
135	Gunby Communications Inc.	9/4/07	
136	University of West Florida	9/4/07	
137	VoiceLink Communications, Inc.	9/7/07	
138	MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, FL	9/12/07	
139	Amoco Oil Company	9/13/07	
140	Miami Springs, City of, FL	9/14/07	
141	BCW INC	9/18/07	
142	Atlanta, City of, GA	9/18/07	
143	Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Utility Company Inc	9/25/07	
144	Carroll County Water Authority, GA	10/1/07	
145	COLLINS, ROLAND	10/3/07	
146	PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL	10/8/07	
147	MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL	10/16/07	
148	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL	10/16/07	
149	Orange, County of, FL	10/23/07	
150	PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL	10/25/07	
151	WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/16/07	
152	Car Comm / End of Line	12/14/07	
153	JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, MS	2/11/08	
154	GREATER ORLANDO AVIATION AUTHORITY, FL	2/27/08	
155	Wireless Services of Puerto Rico Inc	3/11/08	
156	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	3/11/08	
157	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	3/13/08	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
158	Florida, State of - State Attorney	3/21/08
159	Targa Midstream Services Limited Partnership	3/24/08
160	Richard Raabe - Virgin Islands & PR	4/7/08
161	John Herby - VI	4/11/08
162	LAUDERHILL, CITY OF, FL	4/14/08
163	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	4/14/08
164	JEFFERSON LEVEE BOARD, LA	4/14/08
165	Monsanto Company	4/18/08
166	Fayette, County of, GA	4/22/08
167	CHATHAM, COUNTY OF, GA	4/23/08
168	Mac Bee Harvesting Inc	5/5/08
169	Clyde W Sell - PR	5/19/08
170	CAPE CORAL, CITY OF, FL	5/27/08
171	MOSS POINT, CITY OF, MS	5/27/08
172	Mead Coated Board Inc	6/10/08
173	Wackenhut Corporation	6/10/08
174	Exxon Communications Company	6/23/08
175	Florida, State of - DOC	8/14/08
176	MERIDIAN, CITY OF, MS	9/2/08
177	Chevron USA Mississippi	10/7/08
178	George W Garland - Virgin Islands & PR	10/16/08
179	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	10/31/08
180	MACON, CITY OF, GA	12/2/08
181	Fulton, County of, GA	12/2/08
182	FCCA LLC	12/15/08
183	Aeronautical Radio - Georgia	1/22/09
184	Jupiter Town, of, FL	1/30/09
185	MIAMI, CITY OF, FL	2/17/09
186	THOMAS, COUNTY OF, GA	2/17/09
187	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company	2/23/09
188	Hillsborough, County Of, FL	3/23/09
189	WINTER GARDEN CITRUS GROWERS ASSN	3/23/09
190	FLORIDA MOBILE TELECOM INC	3/24/09
191	OPELOUSAS, CITY OF, LA	4/29/09
192	CONYERS, CITY OF, GA	5/13/09
193	MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER INC	6/12/09
194	BROWARD, COUNTY OF, FL	6/22/09
195	PEACHTREE CITY, CITY OF, GA	7/8/09
196	THIRD DISTRICT VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, LA	7/27/09
197	Solutia Reinstatement	7/28/09
198	Solutia	8/7/09
199	Durham City of	8/13/09
200	KENNER, CITY OF, LA	8/25/09
201	MIDFIELD, CITY OF, AL	9/8/09
202	RUCKERT, BRUCE	10/2/09
203	Miami-Dade, County of, FL	10/6/09
204	CARY, TOWN OF, NC	11/3/09
205	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, FL	11/10/09
206	Duke Energy Company - Rebanding	1/19/10
207	CORAL GABLES, CITY OF, FL	3/19/10
208	Baptist Hospital	4/6/10
209	UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	4/8/10
210	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	4/27/10

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
211	Florence-Lauderdale EMA, AL	5/13/10
212	GEORGIA, STATE OF	5/25/10
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		212
Wave 4		
1	J.R. Simplot Company	12/23/05
2	Johnson, Harold L #3	3/27/06
3	Keller, Mike L.	4/27/06
4	Josh Klassen Inc.	6/1/06
5	Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap)	6/2/06
6	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	6/6/06
7	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/06
8	Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap)	7/25/06
9	Schatzlein, David A. #2	8/1/06
10	Sims, Vicki	9/15/06
11	Chingas, Joseph	9/18/06
12	Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network	9/19/06
13	Middlesex, Township of, PA	9/20/06
14	Rizzo, Alice P.	11/9/06
15	Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital	12/6/06
16	Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA	1/2/07
17	Texas Communications Inc.	2/5/07
18	Waste Management Holdings, Inc.	2/9/07
19	Aksala Electronics Inc.	2/21/07
20	BRAUCHLE, JACK W	2/27/07
21	BLASDELL, JAMES A	3/5/07
22	ABRAHAM, KYE A	3/9/07
23	Pagel Partnership	3/20/07
24	NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS	3/27/07
25	SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER	3/27/07
26	GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON REVOCABLE TRUST	4/12/07
27	STELLER, JO ANN	4/12/07
28	RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC	4/30/07
29	WELLMON, STEVE	4/30/07
30	B&M Enterprises	5/14/07
31	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy	5/14/07
32	SAMNADDA, TERRY	5/14/07
33	PETRIE, GLENN	5/17/07
34	Gerhard, Stephen	5/23/07
35	Bumgarner, Jeffrey W	5/23/07
36	SMITH, JOY L	5/25/07
37	Abilene, City of, TX	6/14/07
38	ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP	7/10/07
39	SPRAGUE, JO A	8/6/07
40	TUCKER, ALAN	8/13/07
41	ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP	8/13/07
42	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH	8/13/07
43	New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police	8/13/07
44	Capital Digitronics, Inc.	8/28/07
45	KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GROUP	9/14/07
46	Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina	10/17/07
47	Skitronics EA Swap	11/16/07
48	GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI	11/21/07
49	OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI	12/3/07

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
50	HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA	12/7/07
51	HAMILTON, CITY OF, OH	1/9/08
52	Specialty Corporation--Gill	1/11/08
53	P & R Spectrum Resources, Inc.	3/10/08
54	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	3/10/08
55	WYCKOFF FARMS INC	3/21/08
56	PENN HILS, MUNICIPALITY OF, PA	3/25/08
57	Kenneth and Eva Held Trust #2 Reband 800	4/30/08
58	CINCINNATI LIMOUSINE SERVICE INC	4/30/08
59	Telebeeper Guam EA Swap	5/14/08
60	BOLTHOUSE FARMS INC	5/20/08
61	MORAINÉ MATERIALS CO INC	5/30/08
62	MESA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 4, AZ	6/16/08
63	Anheuser Busch Wave 4	7/16/08
64	WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA	7/28/08
65	CELLSMR LICENSE HOLDINGS LLC	7/30/08
66	Durham School Services	8/1/08
67	GRAND RAPIDS, CITY OF, MI	8/12/08
68	CONWAY SR, ROBERT J	9/23/08
69	Clark County, OR	10/30/08
70	KENT, COUNTY OF, MI	11/11/08
71	Santa Fe, City of	11/25/08
72	DAYTON, CITY OF, OH	12/8/08
73	BAIRD, MICHAEL M	5/14/09
74	GUZZO, JOHN R	5/14/09
75	TRITON COMMUNICATIONS OF TEXAS LLC	6/4/09
76	COMMUNICATION PROFESSIONALS LTD	6/9/09
77	Creative Airtime Services, LLC	6/15/09
78	Rink, Francis	7/23/09
79	Rink, Michael E	7/23/09
80	Rink, William	7/23/09
81	MIAMI, TOWNSHIP OF, OH	8/25/09
82	Bibeau, Fernand	9/3/09
83	AAA ARIZONA INC	10/27/09
84	CITY OF PUBLIC SERVICE, TX	10/28/09
85	TELEBEEPER OF NEW MEXICO INC	11/17/09
86	DHL WORLDWIDE EXPRESS B.V.	12/14/09
87	SAINT JAMES, PARISH OF, LA	1/19/10
88	Kennedy Associates, Inc.	1/26/10
89	Kneupper, Urban	1/26/10
90	Kneupper, Kevin	1/26/10
91	PAPATHEOFANIS, BILL J	1/26/10
92	Salgot Rebanding	3/26/10
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		92
Canadian Border Region		
1	Atlantic Communications, Inc	10/23/09
2	Wibe, Gary	11/25/09
3	Saddle Butte Towers	12/17/09
4	S D Warren Company	12/30/09
5	Great Lakes Construction Company	1/19/10
6	Waste Management Holdings Inc	1/19/10
7	Communications Source Inc	2/18/10
8	Longaberger Company Rebanding	2/24/10

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
9 Ruhlin Co	3/4/10	
10 Port Orchard Sand and Gravel	3/10/10	
11 Trunking Plus Inc	3/16/10	
12 Cadman, Inc	3/24/10	
13 Tatarka, Robert	3/25/10	
14 American Electric Power	3/30/10	
15 Crestwood School District, OH	3/31/10	
16 Ravenna School System, OH	3/31/10	
17 Bush Electronics Inc	4/6/10	
18 Shuttle Express Inc	4/9/10	
19 City Centre Associates	4/14/10	
20 Rumford Paper Company	5/6/10	
21 Occidental Chemical Corporation	5/6/10	
22 Ratchenski, Walter	5/14/10	
23 Midwest Energy Cooperative	6/7/10	
24 Smart Link Communications	6/14/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		24
Total FRAs		857

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1		
1 WCCCA	6/22/09	
2 Bristol, County of (MA)	9/15/09	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		2
Wave 2		
1 Brandywine	4/27/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3		
1 MYRTLE BEACH COMMUNICATIONS INC	9/17/09	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4		
1 HOOPER, STEVEN E	7/7/09	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Canadian Border Region		
Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		5

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 1 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Roanoke, County of (VA)	12/15/06	
2	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07	
3	Chesterfield County, VA	1/15/08	
4	Boston, City of - Police (MA)	5/12/08	
5	Chevron USA Inc	5/6/09	
6	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, DC (MW-COG)	2/18/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		6
Wave 2			
1	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	12/1/06	
2	Duke Energy (rebanding)	7/17/09	
3	CHATTANOOGA, CITY OF, TN	1/7/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		3
Wave 3			
1	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	9/28/07	
2	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/15/07	
3	JONES, COUNTY OF, MS	4/14/08	
4	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	4/17/08	
5	Duke Energy Company - Rebanding	4/22/08	
6	BOCA RATON, CITY OF, FL	4/23/08	
7	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	4/29/08	
8	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	5/12/08	
9	Pasco, County OF, FL	7/7/08	
10	DeKalb County Police Department, GA	8/29/08	
11	Chevron USA Mississippi	9/29/08	
12	Rockdale County of, GA	11/10/08	
13	HERNANDO, COUNTY OF, FL	8/7/09	
14	BROWARD, COUNTY OF, FL	9/1/09	
15	WALTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/21/09	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		15
Wave 4			
1	SLIDELL, CITY OF, LA	1/18/08	
2	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	1/24/08	
3	MIAMI, TOWNSHIP OF, OH	2/25/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		3
Canadian Border Region			
1	Central Maine Power Company	5/13/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region		1
Total PFAs			28

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs		
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date
Wave 1		
1	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/06
2	New Albany, City Of	10/2/06
3	Bluffton, City Of, IN PH II	1/17/07
4	Pendleton, Town Of, IN PH II	1/17/07
5	Bloomington, City Of	3/15/07
6	WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II	3/15/07
7	ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II	5/17/07
8	WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	7/2/07
9	ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	7/10/07
10	Poughkeepsie, Town Of, NY	8/6/07
11	WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II	8/13/07
12	BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II	9/12/07
13	SOUTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH, IL PH II	1/25/08
14	RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY, VA PH II	2/19/08
15	WATERFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	5/15/08
16	NEWTON, CITY OF, MA PH II	6/11/08
17	Monterey, County of (CA)	6/27/08
18	PORTER, TOWN OF, IN PH II	7/16/08
19	WHITE PLAINS, CITY OF, NY PH II	7/22/08
20	MIDDLETOWN, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/22/08
21	HOWELL, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/25/08
22	WESTPORT, TOWN OF, CT PH II	8/4/08
23	Campbell, City of, CA	8/11/08
24	BLACKSBURG, TOWN OF, VA PH II	8/19/08
25	NORTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	9/3/08
26	New York, State of PH II Metro 21	9/3/08
27	Wilbraham, Town of, MA PH II STA	9/8/08
28	Lagrange County Communications, IN	9/11/08
29	Emeryville, City of, CA	9/16/08
30	Fair Lawn, Boro of, NJ Ph II	9/17/08
31	MISHAWAKA, CITY OF, IN PH II	9/29/08
32	GURNEE, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	9/29/08
33	SOUTH HADLEY, TOWN OF, MA PH II	10/1/08
34	San Bruno, City of, CA PH II	10/7/08
35	OREGON, STATE OF, OR PH II	10/9/08
36	New York, State of PH II OCFS	10/23/08
37	SCARSDALE, VILLAGE OF, NY PH II	10/27/08
38	WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	11/7/08
39	REDWOOD CITY, CITY OF, CA PH II	11/21/08
40	CONTRA COSTA, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	11/24/08
41	Bolingbrook, Village of, IL	12/3/08
42	LODI, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/5/08
43	PACIFICA, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/9/08
44	NORTH ANDOVER, TOWN OF, MA PH II	12/9/08
45	PIEDMONT, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/7/09
46	Burns Harbor, Town Of	1/9/09
47	Portage, City of	1/16/09
48	EASTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	1/22/09
49	KENOSHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	1/22/09
50	SUNNYVALE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/30/09
51	WATERTOWN, TOWN OF, MA PH II	2/17/09
52	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	2/17/09
53	CHICOPEE, CITY OF, MA PH II	2/19/09

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs		
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date
54	Merced, County of, CA	3/9/09
55	HASBROUCK HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF, NJ PH II	3/9/09
56	GROTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	3/12/09
57	BERKSHIRE COUNTY OF, MA PH II	3/13/09
58	ALLEGHANY, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	3/18/09
59	WESTFIELD, TOWN OF, MA PH II	4/1/09
60	REDDING, CITY OF, CA PH II	4/15/09
61	AURORA, CITY OF, CO PH II	4/17/09
62	BOSTON, CITY OF, MA PH II - Fire	5/4/09
63	MODESTO, CITY OF, CA PH II	5/13/09
64	FRESNO, CITY OF, CA PH II	6/1/09
65	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II	6/4/09
66	PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS, TOWNSHIP, NJ PH II	6/16/09
67	Fairfield, Town of	7/8/09
68	Dayville Fire District, CT	7/10/09
69	NEW CASTLE COUNTY OF, DE PH II	7/17/09
70	Hartford Hospital, PH II STA	8/4/09
71	NEW HAVEN, CITY OF, CT PH II	8/11/09
72	HARRISONBURG-ROCKINGHAM EMER COMMS. CENTER PH II	8/25/09
73	CASS, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	8/31/09
74	WEST SPRINGFIELD, TOWN OF, MA PH II	9/17/09
75	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II	9/17/09
76	BRIGANTINE, CITY OF, NJ PH II	9/22/09
77	WESTMONT, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	9/24/09
78	New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority PH II	11/2/09
79	COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY PH II	11/4/09
80	NEWARK HOUSING AUTHORITY, NJ PH II	11/4/09
81	Champaign, City of, IL PH II STA	11/4/09
82	MADISON, CITY OF, WI PH II	11/11/09
83	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF DENVER PH II	11/11/09
84	WALNUT CREEK, CITY OF, CA PH II	11/19/09
85	STOCKTON, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/1/09
86	NORTHWEST DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE, MA PH II	12/7/09
87	SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	12/14/09
88	MC LEAN, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	12/15/09
89	YAMHILL COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY, OR PH II	1/4/10
90	CUMBERLAND, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	1/26/10
91	MARION, COUNTY OF, OR PH II	2/16/10
92	COLORADO SPRINGS, CITY OF, CO PH II	2/16/10
93	LAS VEGAS, CITY OF, NV PH II	2/23/10
94	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	2/24/10
95	FARMINGTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	3/11/10
96	BELLEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	3/16/10
97	Hancock County of, IN	3/19/10
98	WESTMINSTER, CITY OF, CO PH II	3/19/10
99	ORLAND PARK, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	4/9/10
100	NORTH LAS VEGAS, CITY OF, NV PH II	4/13/10
101	Ceres, City of, CA PH II	4/13/10
102	McHenry, County of, IL PH II STA	4/21/10
103	WHEELING, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	4/28/10
104	SAN JOAQUIN, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	4/30/10
105	WOODCLIFF, BOROUGH OF, NJ PH II	5/20/10
106	SAINTE CLAIR COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT, IL PH II	6/7/10
107	LAKEWOOD, CITY OF, CO PH II	6/8/10

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs		
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1	107
Wave 2		
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	1/3/07
2	Louisville, City of, KY	3/20/07
3	MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II	7/2/07
4	MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/25/07
5	JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/31/07
6	BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/28/07
7	LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	10/16/07
8	MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/10/07
9	BENTON, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/18/08
10	Sebastian, County of, AR PH II	3/11/08
11	SEVIER, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	4/11/08
12	CONWAY, CITY OF, AR PH II	4/11/08
13	DUNCANVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/08
14	KINGSPORT, CITY OF, TN PH II	5/27/08
15	KENTON COUNTY AIRPORT BOARD, KY PH II	6/10/08
16	SCOTTSBLUFF, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	6/13/08
17	LIBERTY, CITY OF, MO PH II	7/8/08
18	TONGANOXIE, CITY OF, KS PH II	7/9/08
19	SHERBURNE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	7/9/08
20	GARFIELD, COUNTY OF, OK PH II	7/14/08
21	COUNCIL BLUFFS, CITY OF, IA PH II	7/16/08
22	Saline, County of, NE PH II	7/21/08
23	CITY UTILITIES OF SPRINGFIELD, MO PH II	7/30/08
24	CRAWFORD COUNTY OF, KS PH II	8/5/08
25	KENTUCKY, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	8/8/08
26	BROWN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/08
27	Nemaha, County of, NE PH II	8/20/08
28	CAMPBELL, COUNTY OF, KY PH II	8/27/08
29	SHERMAN, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/28/08
30	GREENVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	9/9/08
31	PARKER, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	9/9/08
32	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	9/9/08
33	Fremont, City of, NE PH II	9/16/08
34	KENTUCKY STATE POLICE PH II	9/16/08
35	WILLIAMSON, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	9/22/08
36	ASHLAND, CITY OF, NE PH II	9/29/08
37	York, County of, NE PH II	9/29/08
38	Richardson, County of, NE PH II	10/1/08
39	Fillmore, County of, NE PH II	10/8/08
40	FINNEY, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	10/10/08
41	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, AR PH II	11/3/08
42	York, City of, NE PH II	11/10/08
43	Middle TN State Univ PH II	11/11/08
44	LEXINGTON FAYETTE URBAN CTY GOVERNMENT PH II	12/2/08
45	WATERLOO, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/7/09
46	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	1/12/09
47	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	1/26/09
48	City of Hendersonville Fire Department, TN PH II	2/6/09
49	STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	2/13/09
50	GLADSTONE, CITY OF, MO PH II	3/13/09
51	UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA, IA PH II	3/17/09
52	COVINGTON, CITY OF, KY PH II	3/18/09

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs		
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date
53	KENTON, COUNTY OF, KY PH II	3/18/09
54	Brentwood, City of, TN	3/23/09
55	WRIGHT, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	4/1/09
56	BUFFALO, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	4/6/09
57	CHEYENNE, CITY OF, WY PH II	4/8/09
58	Dakota, County of, MN PH II STA	4/8/09
59	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICAL BRANCH POLICE PH II	4/15/09
60	WEST HELENA, CITY OF, AR PH II	4/29/09
61	CASPER, CITY OF, WY PH II	4/29/09
62	PRARIE BAND OF POTAWATOMI NATION, KS PH II	5/6/09
63	PARKERSBURG, CITY OF, WV PH II	5/14/09
64	SAUNDERS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	6/3/09
65	Iowa State Police PH II FB2T	6/4/09
66	OLMSTED, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	6/9/09
67	MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II	6/9/09
68	DALLAS, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/29/09
69	GEORGETOWN, CITY OF, KY PH II	6/29/09
70	AMERICAS CENTER, MO PH II	7/31/09
71	City of North Richland Hills, TX PH II	9/3/09
72	Wyoming, State of PH II	9/17/09
73	SAINT CLOUD, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/22/09
74	MINNEAPOLIS, CITY OF, MN PH II	10/5/09
75	IOWA STATE FAIR, IA PH II	10/6/09
76	BAPTIST MEMORIAL HEALTH CARE CORPORATION PH II	11/2/09
77	RICE & STEELE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/2/09
78	HENNEPIN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/2/09
79	KENTON, COUNTY OF, KY PH II_Sanitation	12/9/09
80	RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	2/25/10
81	DOUGLAS, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	3/2/10
82	SAINT LOUIS, CITY OF, MO Airport PH II	3/10/10
83	Rockwall, City of, TX PH II STA	3/25/10
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2	83
Wave 3		
1	HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07
2	Crisp County School System, GA	9/4/07
3	WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	1/14/08
4	GRANVILLE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	3/10/08
5	PICKENS, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	3/20/08
6	ROCK HILL, CITY OF, SC PH II	7/3/08
7	AVENTURA, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/10/08
8	TAMPA, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/23/08
9	HUNTSVILLE MADISON COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY, AL	10/21/08
10	SIMPSON, COUNTY OF E911 COMMUNICATION, MS PH II	11/3/08
11	FOREST PARK, CITY OF, GA PH II	11/10/08
12	Columbia, County of, GA PH II	11/10/08
13	NORTH CAROLINA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS PH II	3/9/09
14	Catoosa, County of, GA PH II STA	3/12/09
15	ROME, CITY OF, GA PH II	3/18/09
16	CHEROKEE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/13/09
17	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	5/14/09
18	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II	5/21/09
19	WALTON, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/27/09
20	TALLADEGA COUNTY EMA, AL PH II	5/29/09

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
21	RCS Communications EA Swap	6/15/09	
22	COWETA, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	7/22/09	
23	Marshall, County of, AL PH II	7/22/09	
24	EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II	9/8/09	
25	Rossville, City of, GA PH II	9/14/09	
26	CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	11/25/09	
27	Charleston, City of PH II mobile only	12/7/09	
28	Thomasville Fire Rescue, GA PH II	12/9/09	
29	FedEx Atlanta Expansion Band	1/4/10	
30	Western Area Regional RSA PH II STA	2/15/10	
31	Walton EMC Atlanta Expansion Band	2/18/10	
32	TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II	3/26/10	
33	DALLAS, CITY OF, GA PH II	4/20/10	
34	Hall, County of	4/21/10	
35	CRISP, COUNTY OF, GA	4/23/10	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		35
Wave 4			
1	VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, WA PH II	1/25/08	
2	AUGLAIZE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	7/25/08	
3	COLONIE, TOWN OF, NY PH II	8/8/08	
4	LONG BEACH, CITY OF, CA PH II	10/20/08	
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/17/08	
6	VICTORIA, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/24/08	
7	CARLSBAD, CITY OF, NM PH II	2/6/09	
8	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	4/1/09	
9	ALASKA, STATE OF PH II	5/12/09	
10	SAN ANGELO, CITY OF, TX PH II	10/23/09	
11	GLENVILLE, TOWN OF, NY PH II	2/24/10	
12	SAN JUAN, COUNTY OF, NM PH II	3/2/10	
13	YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	3/26/10	
14	New York, State of PH II	4/7/10	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		14
Canadian Border Region			
1	Jefferson Transit Authority, WA	12/22/09	
2	Minnesota, State of Canadian Rebanding	2/16/10	
3	Midland, County of, MI	2/25/10	
4	Eaton, County of, MI	5/20/10	
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		4
Total FRAs			243

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1		
1 PALO ALTO, CITY OF, CA PH II	3/9/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		1
Wave 2		
1 BUCHANAN, COUNTY OF, MO PH II	6/29/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3		
1 FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	6/10/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4		
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Canadian Border Region		
1 MARION, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	3/23/10	
2 Cuyahoga, County of, OH	6/15/10	
3 CHELAN, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	6/25/10	
Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		3
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		6

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs		
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date
Wave 1		
1	Monterey, County of (CA)	5/11/07
2	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	6/11/07
3	VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/14/08
4	Colorado, State of PH II	3/10/08
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	3/12/08
6	EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II	3/20/08
7	SHEBOYGAN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	3/20/08
8	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II	4/7/08
9	Nashua, City of	4/11/08
10	PORTSMOUTH, CITY OF, VA PH II	4/11/08
11	UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, CT PH II	4/11/08
12	Brown, County of WI	4/14/08
13	NORTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH SYSTEM, IL PH II	4/21/08
14	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II	4/29/08
15	LA CROSSE, CITY OF, WI PH II	4/29/08
16	Maui, County of, HI PH II	5/21/08
17	EAST CHICAGO, CITY OF, IN PH II	6/9/08
18	University of California - Berkeley	6/11/08
19	SALEM, CITY OF, OR PH II	6/11/08
20	HOPEWELL, CITY OF, VA PH II	7/2/08
21	WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	7/9/08
22	Cook, County of, IL PH II	8/1/08
23	DAVIS, CITY OF, CA PH II	8/18/08
24	WAUKESHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	8/19/08
25	University of California - Santa Cruz	9/17/08
26	ROANOKE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	11/11/08
27	University of California - Merced	11/24/08
28	ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF, VA PH II	12/19/08
29	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF, NY PH II	1/14/09
30	KANKAKEE, CITY OF, IL PH II	2/12/09
31	ILLINOIS, STATE OF PH II	2/12/09
32	UPPER MARYLAND EASTERN SHORE CONSORTIUM, MD PH II	2/25/09
33	MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANS AUTHORITY PH II	3/17/09
34	WILL, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	3/24/09
35	SALT LAKE CITY, CITY OF, UT PH II	5/18/09
36	CENTRAL VA PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION, VA PH II	5/18/09
37	MC LEAN, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	5/20/09
38	CAPITAL REGION AIRPORT COMMISSION, VA PH II	7/17/09
39	PORTLAND, CITY OF, ME PH II	7/22/09
40	CLARK, COUNTY OF, NV PH II	9/14/09
41	Fairfield, Town of	9/25/09
42	SAINT CLAIR, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	9/29/09
43	HANOVER, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	10/20/09
44	GREENFIELD, CITY OF, WI PH II	10/26/09
45	NORFOLK, COUNTY OF, MA PH II	11/6/09
46	HONOLULU, CITY AND COUNTY OF, HI PH II	11/20/09
47	SCHAUMBURG, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	1/19/10
48	WICOMICO, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	3/2/10
49	CARROLL, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	3/19/10

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
50	NASSAU, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	4/2/10	
51	NAPERVILLE, CITY OF, IL PH II	4/6/10	
52	University of Maryland PH II	4/19/10	
53	SANTA CLARA, CITY OF, CA PH II	4/20/10	
54	STAMFORD, CITY OF, CT PH II	5/5/10	
55	STOCKTON, CITY OF, CA PH II	5/7/10	
56	METRO WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTH (MWA) PH II	6/10/10	
57	SAN FRANCISCO, CITY & COUNTY OF, CA PH II	6/17/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		57
Wave 2			
1	DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II	7/30/07	
2	CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/26/08	
3	Middle TN State Univ PH II	3/27/08	
4	RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	3/31/08	
5	BI STATE INFORMATION CENTER, TX PH II	4/18/08	
6	Knox County Sheriff TN PH II	4/29/08	
7	AUSTIN, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/1/08	
8	PLANO, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/5/08	
9	RICHARDSON, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/08	
10	MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II	5/9/08	
11	North Kansas City Police	5/9/08	
12	COLLIN, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	5/14/08	
13	East Texas Medical Center, TX PH II	5/14/08	
14	LONGVIEW, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/14/08	
15	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	5/15/08	
16	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, AR PH II	6/10/08	
17	BUCHANAN, COUNTY OF, MO PH II	6/11/08	
18	BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF, KY PH II	6/13/08	
19	Sullivan, County of, TN	6/17/08	
20	WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, NE PH II	6/17/08	
21	DALLAS AREA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY, TX PH II	6/17/08	
22	LEE, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/23/08	
23	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	6/24/08	
24	BELMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	6/24/08	
25	BEAUMONT, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/30/08	
26	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	7/10/08	
27	STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/08	
28	OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT, NE PH II	8/12/08	
29	METRO GVT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON CTY PH II	8/14/08	
30	WEST DES MOINES, CITY OF, IA PH II	10/8/08	
31	Prairie Village, City of, KS PH II	11/11/08	
32	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/20/08	
33	HENNEPIN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/7/09	
34	CEDAR RAPIDS, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/22/09	
35	DOUGLAS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	2/3/09	
36	DALLAS, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/19/09	
37	LITTLE ROCK, CITY OF, AR PH II	3/9/09	
38	DENTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/24/09	
39	COPPERAS COVE, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/31/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
40	FORT WORTH, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/18/09	
41	BEDFORD, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/21/09	
42	GRAND PRAIRIE, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/15/09	
43	Johnson City, City of	8/17/09	
44	CHEYENNE, CITY OF, WY PH II	9/14/09	
45	SAINT CLOUD, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/22/09	
46	CONWAY, CITY OF, AR PH II	9/24/09	
47	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER, TN PH II	9/25/09	
48	Saint PETERS, CITY OF, MO PH II	9/25/09	
49	NORMAN, CITY OF, OK PH II	11/3/09	
50	UNIVERSITY PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/20/09	
51	OWASSO, CITY OF, OK PH II	12/1/09	
52	WICHITA FALLS, CITY OF, TX PH II	12/15/09	
53	RAYTOWN, CITY OF, MO PH II	12/23/09	
54	LUBBOCK, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/15/10	
55	CASPER, CITY OF, WY PH II	2/24/10	
56	SAINT LOUIS, CITY OF, MO Airport PH II	3/5/10	
57	HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, TX PH II	3/26/10	
58	Knox County Schools, TN PH II	4/20/10	
59	GOODLETTSVILLE, CITY OF, TN PH II	4/26/10	
60	Bastrop, County of, Texas, TX PH II	4/28/10	
61	CINCINNATI, CITY OF, OH PH II	5/19/10	
62	HARRIS, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/21/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		62
Wave 3			
1	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS	3/11/08	
2	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	3/21/08	
3	LAKELAND, CITY OF, FL PH II	4/4/08	
4	CHARLOTTE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/14/08	
5	CONCORD, CITY OF, NC PH II	4/14/08	
6	FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	4/14/08	
7	BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FL PH II	4/18/08	
8	ALBANY, CITY OF, GA	4/18/08	
9	SARASOTA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/18/08	
10	ALBERTVILLE, CITY OF, AL	4/21/08	
11	PANAMA CITY, CITY OF, FL	4/21/08	
12	OPELIKA, CITY OF, AL PH II	4/21/08	
13	IBERVILLE, PARISH OF, LA PH II	4/23/08	
14	FAYETTE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/5/08	
15	FLORENCE, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	5/9/08	
16	MARION, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	5/15/08	
17	HINDS, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	5/19/08	
18	MINT HILL, TOWN OF, NC PH II	6/2/08	
19	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II	6/4/08	
20	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	6/11/08	
21	CALCASIEU PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT PH II	6/11/08	
22	CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	6/30/08	
23	SULPHUR, CITY OF, LA PH II	7/1/08	
24	MOORESVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/9/08	
25	TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/10/08	
26	DUKE UNIVERSITY PH II	7/16/08	
27	KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/24/08	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
28	MYRTLE BEACH, CITY OF, SC PH II	7/25/08	
29	SHELBY, CITY OF, NC PH II	9/3/08	
30	SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PH II	9/25/08	
31	LEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	9/29/08	
32	DELRAY BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	10/16/08	
33	CADDO PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DIST1, LA PH II	10/17/08	
34	SAINT CHARLES, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/19/08	
35	PITT, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	11/24/08	
36	CALCASIEU, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/26/08	
37	CHARLESTON, CITY OF, SC PH II	11/26/08	
38	DESOTO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12/2/08	
39	LENOIR, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	12/2/08	
40	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	12/8/08	
41	HORRY, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	12/8/08	
42	Hall, County of	12/15/08	
43	PINEVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	12/15/08	
44	EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II	12/15/08	
45	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	1/6/09	
46	PALM BEACH, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	1/14/09	
47	BOSSIER, PARISH OF, LA PH II	1/30/09	
48	SALISBURY, CITY OF, NC PH II	2/3/09	
49	Atlanta, City of, GA PH II	2/3/09	
50	Athens-Clarke County, GA PH II MA	6/15/09	
51	New Hanover, County of, NC PH II	6/29/09	
52	HOLLYWOOD, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/29/09	
53	FULTON, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	7/30/09	
54	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/7/09	
55	HICKORY, CITY OF, NC PH II	8/12/09	
56	FAYETTEVILLE, CITY OF, NC PH II	8/25/09	
57	LAFOURCHE, PARISH OF, LA PH II	9/14/09	
58	CHARLESTON, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	10/2/09	
59	AVOYELLES PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT, LA PH II	10/19/09	
60	BOSSIER, CITY OF, LA PH II	10/23/09	
61	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	10/28/09	
62	SAINT TAMMANY, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/11/09	
63	HIALEAH, CITY OF, FL PH II	11/20/09	
64	CRISP, COUNTY OF, GA	11/25/09	
65	CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL PH II	12/10/09	
66	Orange, County of, FL PH II	1/12/10	
67	Hillsborough, County Of, FL PH II	1/26/10	
68	THOMASVILLE, CITY OF, NC PH II	2/24/10	
69	Rocky Mount, City of, NC PH II	2/25/10	
70	MARION, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	3/1/10	
71	City of Sumter and Sumter County, SC PH II	4/7/10	
72	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	4/9/10	
73	Cary, Town of, NC PH II	4/21/10	
74	BIRMINGHAM, CITY OF, AL PH II	4/23/10	
75	YORK, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	4/28/10	
76	WINTER PARK, CITY OF, FL PH II	5/4/10	
77	GREATER ORLANDO PUBLIC SAFETY COOP, FL PH II	5/6/10	
78	LAKE CHARLES, CITY OF, LA PH II	5/20/10	
79	CALHOUN, COUNTY OF, AL PH II	5/27/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
80	PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	6/7/10	
81	OSCEOLA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	6/7/10	
82	West Baton Rouge Parish Comm Dist PH II	6/15/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		82
Wave 4			
1	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	3/21/08	
2	CLARK, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	4/29/08	
3	LIVE OAK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/25/08	
4	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/26/08	
5	YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	12/8/08	
6	HOBBS, CITY OF, NM PH II	12/19/08	
7	CLERMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	1/5/09	
8	VIA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT, TX PH II	3/20/09	
9	BENTON, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	10/5/09	
10	SAN ANGELO, CITY OF, TX PH II	10/20/09	
11	CUMBERLAND, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	1/4/10	
12	Signal Hill, City of, CA PH II	1/7/10	
13	CORPUS CHRISTI, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/25/10	
14	BEXAR METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, TX PH II	3/16/10	
15	ALBUQUERQUE, CITY OF, NM PH II	5/27/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		15
Canadian Border Region			
1	Canton, City of OH	1/7/10	
2	SAGINAW COUNTY 911 COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY, MI	3/22/10	
3	STARK, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	4/9/10	
4	PUYALLUP, CITY OF, WA PH II	4/9/10	
5	Grand Isle County Emer SvcsAssoc PH II	4/19/10	
6	SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY, WA PH II	6/14/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region		6
Total PFAs			222

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of June 30, 2010

Stage 2 PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1		
1 UCAN	5/4/10	
2 GRUNDY E-911, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	5/5/10	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		2
Wave 2		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3		
1 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT, FL PH II	6/29/10	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review		3

Appendix 9
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through June 30, 2010

	Quarter Ending March 31, 2010	Quarter Ending June 30, 2010	Year-to-Date
Fees			
Reconfiguration Management	\$652,878	\$617,812	\$1,270,691
Frequency Management	\$676,393	\$696,141	\$1,372,534
Financial Management	\$1,238,159	\$1,258,237	\$2,496,397
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,553,182	\$1,534,461	\$3,087,643
Stakeholder Implementation Management	\$2,311,651	\$2,267,807	\$4,579,459
TA Systems Support	\$546,397	\$515,767	\$1,062,164
Program Management Support	\$403,270	\$389,453	\$792,723
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$778,496	\$785,085	\$1,563,581
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$8,160,428	\$8,064,764	\$16,225,192
Expenses	\$109,527	\$99,467	\$208,994
Total Fees and Expenses	\$8,269,955	\$8,164,231	\$16,434,186