

[Letterhead]

[Date]

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: TV White Spaces  
ET Docket Nos. 04-186 and 02-380

Dear Ms. Dortch:

My company, NetsurfUSA, Inc., provides fixed wireless broadband service in Rural Southern Indiana. We rely primarily on unlicensed spectrum to deliver broadband services to consumers that have none to few broadband choices depending on population density. We built our network from scratch using devices authorized under Part 15 rules the FCC adopted to open up 900 MHz, 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz spectrum for unlicensed broadband devices. Thanks to the Commission's initiatives, consumers in the Rural Crawford, Dubois, Lawrence, Orange, Washington counties area can now get broadband service. We have 1250 subscribers with 45 tower locations in a hilly and forested area.

NetsurfUSA is very interested in utilizing television white spaces so that we can improve speed and service to our existing tower coverage and expanding coverage in partnership local economic development directors and schools wanting to make internet available to students and parents. Current unlicensed spectrum is limited in our area because of hilly terrain-50 to 300 foot elevation changes, additional users of 900Mhz for smart grid systems and GPS control of farm equipment, we have a limited number of water towers and a few radio stations to provide points of transmission. We are committed to deploying as soon as equipment for point-to-multipoint service is commercially available.

I am pleased that the FCC will be acting on TV white space petitions for reconsideration in the near future. There are several proposals that would help us to deploy service:

First, the FCC should allow WISPs to operate using base station antennas mounted higher than 30 meters, and we should be allowed to install customer antennas (CPE) at heights below 10 meters. If we could increase our base station antenna height to 100 meters, we could cover three times more area with a base station and reduce our equipment, tower acquisition and tower lease fees by a large amount – an amount that could be the difference between deploying and not deploying in an area. We support the WISPA and Motorola proposals to increase base station height. By removing any minimum CPE height restrictions, we would not have to put tall masts on residences and we would be able to provide service at a lower cost.

Second, we believe we should be allowed to operate with power in excess of 4 Watts EIRP in rural areas. As is the case with tower height, operating with higher power will give us a greater coverage area and we will not need to spend as much money on infrastructure.

Third, we are very concerned about a proposal made by FiberTower and others to license white space spectrum for point-to-point wireless backhaul. Not only would adopting this proposal take six channels (36 MHz) and perhaps more channels away from us, but WISPs also

would have to protect these licensed links. Moreover, channels and areas far beyond the links would be blocked because the signals from the licensed links would overshoot the path and the endpoints. This is due to the low-cost, low-gain antennas FiberTower wants to use. We also would not deploy if a licensed point-to-point user could come along later and put us out of business with a licensed link. We support the views expressed by WISPA in their September 8 letter and ask the FCC to reject the FiberTower proposal.

Your work on the White Space area is appreciated so much.

Sincerely,

Donald L. Renner  
Vice President  
NetsurfUSA, Inc.