

William F. Crowell

September 27, 2010

Received & Inspected

OCT - 4 2010

FCC Mail Room

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Application of William F. Crowell to renew Amateur Service license W6WBJ
WT Docket No. 08-20; FCC file no. 0002928684


Dear Secretary Dortch:

I am the applicant-licensee in the above-entitled case.

Enclosed you will please find the original and six (6) copies of my Reply to the Enforcement Bureau's Opposition to my Motion to Oppose Deposition therein.

Please file and docket this document and direct it to ALJ Sippel in the manner that you deem appropriate. Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours very truly,



WILLIAM F. CROWELL

WFC:wfc
encls.

cc: P. Michele Ellison, Chief, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications
Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission, Enforcement Bureau, Investigations and
Hearings Division, ATTN: Judy Lancaster, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554

1110 Pleasant Valley Road, Diamond Springs, California 95619
telephone: (530) 295-0350; fax: (530) 295-0352

No. of Copies rec'd 0+6
List ABCDE

Received & inspected
OCT - 4 2010
FCC Mail Room

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	WT Docket No. 08-20
)	
WILLIAM F. CROWELL)	FCC File No. 0002928684
)	
Application to Renew License for)	
Amateur Service Station W6WBJ)	

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Richard L. Sippel,
Administrative Law Judge

**APPLICANT'S REPLY TO ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S
OPPOSITION TO MOTION OPPOSING THE TAKING OF
APPLICANT'S DEPOSITION**

1. Title 47 C.F.R., Part 1, Subpart A, §1.45(c) permits the filing of replies to opposition to motions. The reply is limited to the scope of the opposition.

2. The Bureau's Opposition to my motion simply proves what I am claiming: that I never agreed to said date and time. It should be noted that *before* Ms. Lancaster sent the deposition notice, I had informed her that I was not agreeing to the specified date until we agreed on the ground rules for the deposition. However, she simply ignored me and sent the deposition notice anyway. This is an abuse of process. Ms. Lancaster claims she is willing to voluntarily discuss deposition arrangements, but given her demonstrated lack of character, her rudeness and her

unwillingness to cooperate, that is merely an empty promise and is entirely meaningless.

I need the ALJ to protect me from being tag-teamed by Ms. Lancaster and other Bureau Counsel. If I am to be prevented from consulting anyone else during my deposition, then it is only fair that Ms. Lancaster not be allowed to consult with other Bureau Counsel. Ms. Lancaster is the Bureau attorney who has been handling this case, and to bring other Bureau Counsel into the deposition in order to feed her questions, or to alternate the questioning between Counsel, would only serve to try to improperly intimidate, harass and browbeat me.

Ms. Lancaster has offered no reason whatsoever for so rudely refusing to discuss the ground rules of the deposition before sending the notice thereof. One is therefore left to conclude that it was the result of her abuse of process and her poor character. It is therefore respectfully requested that Ms. Lancaster's improper conduct not be rewarded. The deposition should remain off calendar, and the notice thereof quashed, until Ms. Lancaster attempts to cooperate with me in setting the ground rules thereof.

Accordingly, Applicant again respectfully requests that the Enforcement Bureau's Notice of Deposition be quashed until the parties can agree upon the ground rules thereof.

Dated: September 27, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William F. Crowell".

William F. Crowell, Licensee/Applicant

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
[47 C.F.R. Part I, Subpart A, §1.47]

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of El Dorado County, California. I am the Applicant-licensee herein. I am over the age of 18 years. My address is: 1110 Pleasant Valley Road, Diamond Springs, California 95619-9221.

On September 27, 2010 I served the foregoing Applicant's Reply to the Enforcement Bureau's Opposition to Applicant's Objection to the September 21, 2010 Notice of Taking Deposition on all interested parties herein by placing true copies thereof, each enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in a United States mail box at Diamond Springs, California, addressed as follows:

Marlene S. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554
(original and 6 copies)

Federal Communications Commission, Enforcement Bureau
Investigations and Hearings Division, ATTN: Judy Lancaster
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554

P. Michele Ellison, Chief, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20554

I further declare that, on this same date, pursuant to the April 2, 2008 Order of Presiding Administrative Law Judge Steinberg, I today emailed copies of this document to all of the above parties.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this proof of service was executed on September 27, 2010 at Diamond Springs, California.



William F. Crowell