

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
THE TENNIS CHANNEL, INC.)	MB Docket No. 10-204
)	File No. CSR-8258-P
v.)	
)	
COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC)	

**To: Chief Administrative Law Judge
Chief, Enforcement Bureau**

**SECOND JOINT NOTICE CONCERNING STATUS OF
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

Pursuant to Paragraph 25 of the Hearing Designation Order and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing for Forfeiture,¹ The Tennis Channel, Inc. and Comcast Cable Communications, LLC hereby notify the Commission that they were unable to resolve the above-captioned dispute through mediation.


¹ *The Tennis Channel, Inc. v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC*, Hearing Designation Order & Notice of Opportunity for Hearing for Forfeiture, MB Docket No. 10-204, File No. CSR-8258-P, DA 10-1918 (Oct. 5, 2010) (the "Order").

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen A. Weiswasser
Paul W. Schmidt
Robert M. Sherman
Leah E. Pogoriler
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2401

Counsel to The Tennis Channel, Inc.



Michael P. Carroll
David B. Toscano
Edward N. Moss
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

*Counsel to Comcast Cable
Communications, LLC*

November 18, 2010