FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 19 2010

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	WT Docket No. 08-20
	)	
WILLIAM F. CROWELL	)	FCC File No. 0002928684
	)	
Application to Renew License for Amateur Service Station W6WBJ	)	

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Richard L. Sippel,
Administrative Law Judge

APPLICANT'S STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to the Presiding Judge's Order, FCC 10M-11 (released October 22, 2010), Applicant WILLIAM F. CROWELL hereby submits this report to the ALJ regarding the status of discovery and readiness for trial herein.

1. Completeness of production of documents and answers to interrogatories. Applicant has fully complied with discovery by answering all of the interrogatories, and producing all of the documents, which the Bureau propounded to him and which he has been ordered to answer and produce.

Applicant appeared for his deposition by the Bureau in Sacramento, California on October 14, 2010 and answered virtually all of the questions that were propounded to him. To Applicant's knowledge, the Bureau will not be required to compel further answers to any questions to

No. of Copies rec'd 0+1
List ABCDE

which I objected. The transcript thereof is still being prepared. Applicant intends to read, and possibly correct, said transcript before it appears in the Commission's docket.

At said deposition, I agreed to provide the Bureau with any ham radio-related recordings that I have made, or that have come into my possession, since April, 2010, when I filed my Supplemental responses to the Bureau's Interrogatories and Documents Production Requests. I am now assembling said recordings; I have told Bureau Counsel that I waive any objection to producing them, and will produce them within a few days. These recordings show my fellow amateurs lying about other hams on the air; defaming them; jamming; and refusing to share the frequency in violation of §97.101(b), so it is clearly wrong for the ALJ to conclude that hams don't lie. I have already provided the Bureau with a couple of ham radio-related songs that I published since April of this year. I would like it to be clear that I am producing these recordings only because I am being compelled to do so pursuant to the Bureau's exercise of its discovery rights. I don't want anyone to think that in providing said recordings to the Bureau I am registering any kind of complaint against other amateurs because I believe hams should be self-policing.

The Bureau now states in its recent filings that it wishes to conduct more discovery. Applicant believes this would be beneficial to both parties because the more information the Bureau obtains, the sooner it will realize that Applicant is not the jammer and illegal operator that he is claimed to be by the prevaricating amateurs who complained against him, but is instead a perfectly legal amateur operator who follows Part 97.

Applicant has one outstanding discovery request. I wish to obtain a copy of "the Kowalski report", a report written by Raymond A. Kowalski, a former FCC Official and attorney (who is now employed by the law firm of Eckert Seamans Cherin and Mellot, LLC in Washington, D.C.), concerning his investigation into the interference claims of the California amateur radio association known as "WESCARS" on the 40-meter amateur band. I believe this report is quite relevant and probative herein, concerning the issue of whether or not amateur operators lie to the Bureau when lodging complaints against their fellow hams. One of the major findings that Mr. Kowalski made in said report was, essentially, that in complaining about the alleged jamming of their "net", the WESCARS organization lied to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau concerning the extent of public service which the net really provided. Kowalski found the net provided virtually *no* public service, yet falsely used "public service" as a rationale justifying themselves in monopolizing and maintaining complete control of the net's frequency, in violation of

the requirements of Section 304 of the Act and Part 97 that they share the frequency with their fellow amateurs.

On October 15, 2010 I requested that the Bureau provide a copy of said report, but Bureau Counsel objected that it is only available pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act. I therefore request reasonable leave to obtain same pursuant to the F.O.I.A. I believe this would take approximately 90 days.

2. Date estimated when all discovery is expected to be completed. Since the more discovery the Bureau is permitted to engage in, the sooner it will find that Applicant is a perfectly legal operator, and therefore the more likely this case is to resolve itself without the need for a hearing, Applicant requests that the ALJ give the parties until October 31, 2011 in which to complete discovery. That way the Bureau will be able to do all the discovery it wants to do. Applicant now understands that it is highly productive to give the Bureau all the discovery it wants, and not object to producing same even if he deems it irrelevant, because all the evidence which will be thus adduced will be favorable to my case, and then maybe then the Bureau will stop trying to claim that I am a jammer.

3. Readiness for trial including any agreement on receiving any non-party testimony via teleconference. The case is not ready to be set for trial because Applicant has filed a a Petition to Disqualify the ALJ which remains undecided. In the event said Petition is denied, Applicant has the right of interlocutory appeal to the Commission.

Therefore this case is not ready for hearing because discovery has not been completed and the pending Petition to Disqualify ALJ has not been decided.

The parties have not yet entered into any agreements concerning the receipt of non-party testimony via teleconference.

4. Proposed trial dates at the Federal Communications Commission in Washington, D.C.: If it indeed eventually proves necessary to hold a hearing herein, Applicant wishes to propose hearing dates in the Spring of 2012.

Dated: October 28, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

William F. Crowell

William F. Crowell, Licensee/Applicant

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL **[47 C.F.R. Part I, Subpart A, §1.47]**

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of El Dorado County, California. I am the Applicant-licensee herein. I am over the age of 18 years. My address is: 1110 Pleasant Valley Road, Diamond Springs, California 95619-9221.

On October 28, 2010 I served the foregoing Applicant's Status Report on all interested parties herein by placing true copies thereof, each enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at Diamond Springs, California, addressed as follows:

Marlene S. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554
(original and 6 copies)

P. Michele Ellison, Chief, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission, Enforcement Bureau
Investigations and Hearings Division; ATTN: Judy Lancaster
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554
(Bureau Counsel)

I further declare that, on this same date, I emailed courtesy copies of the foregoing document to the Office of Administrative Law Judges and to Bureau Counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this proof of service was executed on October 28, 2010 at Diamond Springs, California.

William F. Crowell

William F. Crowell