

ELAN FELDMAN
1050 Northwest 21 Street
Miami, Florida 33127
EMAIL: comcastwent2far@gmail.com

December 23, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twenty Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Notice of email Ex Parte Communication in Applications of Comcast Corporation, General Electric Company and NBC Universal, Inc. For Consent to Assign Licenses Or Transfer Control of Licensees, MB Docket No. 10-56

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, I Elan Feldman ("Feldman"), hereby submit this Notice regarding an ex parte communication in MB Docket No. 10-56, Applications of Comcast Corporation, General Electric Company and NBC Universal, Inc. For Consent to Assign Licenses or Transfer Control of Licensees, MB Docket No. 10-56

This email was sent to all 5 of the Commissioners through the Staff emails,

As a member of the public, whose property and business was seriously and unlawfully harmed by Comcast in 2005, and continues to be harmed presently. By denying their admitted and undisputed section 621 obligation to me and others, Comcast has taken the position that the FCC does not have any jurisdiction over Comcast's required obligations, in essence stating that the nation's largest cable TV provider is above the law in its dealings with individual citizens.

I was surprised and dismayed to learn that the FCC, in recent ex-parte meetings, implied it had jurisdiction over section 621 violations. If the FCC incorrect refused my formal complaints as stated in the email, and letter I received recently, (denials submitted in the petition and recent ex parte) I ask for a quick investigation as requested by my formal complaints asking for an investigation in Comcast section 621 violations (Rules that were *mandated by congress and contained the strong words "the cable provider shall ensure)* and a declaratory statement.

Because the NBC acquisition will have a profound impact on the American public, if in fact the FCC did have the jurisdiction it is essential for the FCC to investigate Comcast's

actions now— showing a clear indication of its corporate character — prior to making such an important decision. This would show that the FCC has done the best job possible to make a truly educated, impartial decision.


I believe a 30 minute face to face meeting with Comcast officers David Cohen, Brian Roberts, and or Marna Salimena, Counsel(the three Comcast employees I had the most contact with, and or others) FCC staff and I would expedite this investigation and would show any basis for need of further investigation of Comcast unlawful actions.

I believe the Communications Act also says that the FCC has an obligation to approve only transfers that are "in the public interest. Is it in the public interest if Comcast knowingly ignores and violates the laws with the public?

I understand the Commission has discretion while dealing with the public and I beg that you use that discretion if I lack certain formalities.

Thank you and have a happy holiday

Sincerely,
Elan Feldman
1050 Northwest 21st Street
Miami, FL 33127
email : comcastwent2far@gmail.com
305-545-6680 Fax-325-1966

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Elan Feldman', written in a cursive style.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

March 10, 2009

Mr. Elan Feldman
1050 NW 21 Street
Miami, Florida 33127

In re: Formal Complaint: Elan Feldman and
Family against Comcast Corporation

Dear Mr. Feldman:

On February 19, 2009, you filed a formal complaint against Comcast Corporation, seeking compensation for damages to your property allegedly caused by Comcast and requesting the Commission "to investigate the behavior of providers that infringe on the rights of property owners and the safety of their properties."

Please be advised that the type of investigation you are requesting and the compensation you are seeking are not matters that are within the jurisdiction of the Commission. As such, we are returning the copies of your complaint so that you may more properly seek redress through your local courts. If you wish to seek a refund of the fee associated with your complaint, you may contact our Financial Operations section at (202) 418-1925.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Broeckaert", written over a horizontal line.

Steven A. Broeckaert
Senior Deputy Chief
Policy Division, Media Bureau



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

March 10, 2009

Mr. Elan Feldman
1050 NW 21 Street
Miami, Florida 33127

In re: Formal Complaint: Elan Feldman and
Family against Comcast Corporation

Dear Mr. Feldman:

On February 19, 2009, you filed a formal complaint against Comcast Corporation, seeking compensation for damages to your property allegedly caused by Comcast and requesting the Commission "to investigate the behavior of providers that infringe on the rights of property owners and the safety of their properties."

Please be advised that the type of investigation you are requesting and the compensation you are seeking are not matters that are within the jurisdiction of the Commission. As such, we are returning the copies of your complaint so that you may more properly seek redress through your local courts. If you wish to seek a refund of the fee associated with your complaint, you may contact our Financial Operations section at (202) 418-1925.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Broecker", written over a horizontal line.

Steven A. Broecker
Senior Deputy Chief
Policy Division, Media Bureau

From: Nancy Murphy
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 4:13 PM
To: 'hunterb1@doacs.state.fl.us'
Cc: 'erica.farago@gmail.com'; Monica Desai
Subject: FW: Comcast/FCC/ LFA

Gmail - FW: Comcast/FCC/ LFA

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=7abbd58143&view=pt&sear...>

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me this afternoon. As discussed, I am providing you with the complainant's information – Erica Farago (see Cc for email address) - which is highlighted below in her email so that you or other appropriate state representative may contact her directly. The documents attached include a copy of their 2008 FCC complaint (by Mr. Elan Feldman who is the owner of the property and Ms. Farago's father) and a copy of their 2006 complaint that was filed with Miami-Dade Cable Telecommunications Licensing before Florida enacted their state legislation covering cable television services.

Property damage complaints fall outside of the jurisdiction of the FCC, but under Section 621(a)(2)(C) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it falls squarely within the jurisdiction of the franchising authority – in this case, the State of Florida. Section 621 is entitled *General Franchise Requirements* and subsection (C) states:

that the owner of the property be justly compensated by the cable operator for any damages caused by the installation, construction, operation, or removal of such facilities by the cable operator.

Ms. Farago has been trying to get this issue resolved for over two years now and we would like to see this matter resolved in a timely and equitable manner. Your efforts in this regard will be greatly appreciated!

Nancy Murphy
Associate Chief, Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ph: (202) 418-1043
e-mail: nancy.murphy@fcc.gov

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me this afternoon. As discussed, I am providing you with the complainant's information – Erica Farago (see Cc for email address) - which is highlighted below in her email so that you or other appropriate state representative may contact her directly. The documents attached include a copy of their 2008 FCC complaint (by Mr. Elan Feldman who is the owner of the property and Ms. Farago's father) and a copy of their 2006 complaint that was filed with Miami-Dade Cable Telecommunications Licensing before Florida enacted their state legislation covering cable television services.

Property damage complaints fall outside of the jurisdiction of the FCC, but under Section 621(a)(2)(C) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it falls squarely within the jurisdiction of the franchising authority – in this case, the State of Florida. Section 621 is entitled *General Franchise Requirements* and subsection (C) states:

that the owner of the property be justly compensated by the cable operator for any damages caused by the installation, construction, operation, or removal of such facilities by the cable operator.

Ms. Farago has been trying to get this issue resolved for over two years now and we would like to see this matter resolved in a timely and equitable manner. Your efforts in this regard will be greatly appreciated!

Nancy Murphy

Associate Chief, Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

From: Nancy Murphy
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 4:13 PM
To: 'hunterb1@doacs.state.fl.us'
Cc: 'erica.farago@gmail.com'; Monica Desai
Subject: FW: Comcast/FCC/ LFA

Gmail - FW: Comcast/FCC/ LFA

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=7abbd58143&view=pt&sear...>

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me this afternoon. As discussed, I am providing you with the complainant's information – Erica Farago (see Cc for email address) - which is highlighted below in her email so that you or other appropriate state representative may contact her directly. The documents attached include a copy of their 2008 FCC complaint (by Mr. Elan Feldman who is the owner of the property and Ms. Farago's father) and a copy of their 2006 complaint that was filed with Miami-Dade Cable Telecommunications Licensing before Florida enacted their state legislation covering cable television services.

Property damage complaints fall outside of the jurisdiction of the FCC, but under Section 621(a)(2)(C) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it falls squarely within the jurisdiction of the franchising authority – in this case, the State of Florida. Section 621 is entitled *General Franchise Requirements* and subsection (C) states:

that the owner of the property be justly compensated by the cable operator for any damages caused by the installation, construction, operation, or removal of such facilities by the cable operator.

Ms. Farago has been trying to get this issue resolved for over two years now and we would like to see this matter resolved in a timely and equitable manner. Your efforts in this regard will be greatly appreciated!

Nancy Murphy
Associate Chief, Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ph: (202) 418-1043
e-mail: nancy.murphy@fcc.gov

Subject: Re: comcast problem, dep agriculture, city county, fcc no authority
From: jrefrigeration
Date: Thursday, August 14, 2008 3:22 AM
To: Charlia.Crist@eog.myflorida.com

Warren Davis August 13, 2008
Office of Citizen Services

Thank you for the letter Mr. Warren.
But I address this letter again to Governor Christ.

I assure you that if I entered your property without right, refused to leave and damaged your property Governor Christ I would not be enjoying the freedom to go home to my family. Also you would not be told that your only redress of this grievance would be to get a lawyer. In Florida the only place to redress our grievances against Comcast is the Department of Agriculture. I enclose their response. You yourself defended property rights and implied the creation of the Office of Open Government within the Executive Office of the Governor.

I am defending the liberties that I enjoyed for over 50 years so my children will not be deprived of them. *We the people* clearly are not equal before the law with Comcast, do not have the right to protect property under state status, and allowed to be stripped by them of our reward for industry. I do not stand alone as victim in Florida. Dade County Cable and Department of Agriculture records will show this. No company or person should be above the law. Yet, they are.

Regarding the FCC, Chairman Kevin Martin's office made it clear that this is a state issue and do not have authority over Comcast.

I kindly beg you again for a meeting so that my children will have the right to enjoy and defend life and liberty, to pursue happiness, to be rewarded for industry, and to acquire, possess and protect property.

cc: bsp; Elan Feldman
Phone 305 924 8555

Mr. Feldman, I did read your complaint and it was sent to Comcast to be address. We only have the authority to informally mediate cable complaints. If Comcast response is still not satisfying to you then the last alternative would be to consult an Attorney. Please visit www.800helpfla.com for more information on DOACS.

Thank you.
Richard M. Otway
Regulatory Consultant Florida Department Of Agriculture and Consumer Services Division Of Consumer Services

-----Original Message-----
From: Governor Charlia Crist <Charlia.Crist@eog.myflorida.com>
To: jrefrigeration@aol.com
Sent: Wed, 13 Aug 2008 1:24 pm
Subject: RE: comcast problem, dep agriculture, city county, fcc no authority

Monday, August 18, 2008 America Online: