



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY CONTROL

March 28, 2011
In reply, please refer to:
UR:PAP

Received & Inspected

APR - 4 2011

FCC Mail Room

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: WC Docket No. 09-109 Petition of Telcordia Technologies Inc. to Reform or Strike Amendment 70, to Institute Competitive Bidding for Number Portability Administration and to End the NAPM LLC's Interim Role in Number Portability Administration Contract and CC Docket No. 95-116 Telephone Number Portability

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Please accept the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control's (CTDPUC) reply comments filed in response to the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) Order and Request for Comment dated March 8, 2011, in the above noted dockets.

In the March 8, 2011 Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) in part, sought written comments on the specific process that the North American Numbering Council (NANC) and North American Portability Management LLC (NAPM) should use in the Local Number Portability Administrator (LNPA) selection process. In particular, the Bureau seeks comment on a proposal by the NANC Chair and the NAPM regarding their respective roles in that process.

The CTDPUC supports the proposed "NANC/NAPM LLC Consensus Proposal Clarification of the FCC's Rules Regarding the LNPA Selection Process" (Consensus Proposal). The CTDPUC notes that the Consensus Proposal is endorsed by the NANC and the NAPM LLC.¹ More importantly, the CTDPUC recognizes that the Consensus Proposal represents the industry consensus of the best process for selection of an LNPA(s) to provide the neutral, technologically proficient, and cost-effective administrative services that are necessary for achieving the important pro-consumer and pro-competitive purposes of local number portability (LNP).²

¹ Consensus Proposal, p. 3.

² Id.

No. of Copies rec'd 0

List A B C D E

The CTDPUK is aware that the Consensus Proposal is very similar to one adopted by the Commission in the First LNP Order.³ The CTDPUK is also aware that most, if not all NANC industry members, support the proposal. In the opinion of the CTDPUK, adoption of the proposed LNPA selection process is in the public interest and should be adopted.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY CONTROL



Kimberley J. Santopietro
Executive Secretary

³ Telephone Number Portability, First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 11 FCC Rcd 8352 (1996) (First LNP Order).