

May 11, 2011

Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Amendment of Part 27 of the Commission's Rules to Govern the Operation of Wireless Communications Services in the 2.3 GHz Band* (WT Docket No. 07-293) NOTICE OF ORAL EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am writing pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's Rules to notify the Commission that yesterday Jennifer McCarthy of NextWave Broadband, Inc., Rajendra Singh, Serge Martin and Ron Olexa of Horizon Wi-Com, LLC, Michael Goggin and Brian Benison of AT&T Services, Inc., Kurt Schaubach of Conexus Technology Advisors and the undersigned, on behalf of the WCS Coalition, met via conference call with Linda Chang, Paul Moon, Roger Noel and Moslem Sawez of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, and Ron Repasi of the Office of Engineering and Technology to discuss the pending petitions for reconsideration of the Wireless Communications Service ("WCS") performance requirements adopted in the *Report and* in the above-referenced proceeding.

During the conference call, the WCS Coalition reiterated that there is a diminishing interest among vendors in developing WiMAX mobile products for the 2.3 GHz band as a result of the emerging dominance of Long Term Evolution ("LTE") in the band. The parties discussed the timing required for 3GPP adoption of an LTE 2.3 GHz band standard consistent with the U.S. technical rules and the efforts by members of the WCS Coalition to develop a consensus behind such a standard before moving forward within 3GPP. The WCS Coalition indicated that while it believes mobile equipment will become available for use in the 2.3 GHz band in the U.S., mobile equipment availability is not imminent because the vendor community is currently focused on major markets such as China and India that are deploying LTE. The WCS Coalition agreed to further examine 3GPP timing issues and to report further to the Commission. In addition, the WCS Coalition reiterated its belief that a "substantial service" approach is most appropriate for the C and D Blocks because of its inherent flexibility, but agreed to consider the possibility of identifying alternative performance requirements that are sufficiently flexible to accommodate the variety of business plans being considered for the C and D Blocks.

WILKINSON ) BARKER ) KNAUER ) LLP

Marlene H. Dortch

May 11, 2011

Page 2

Pursuant to Sections 1.1206(b)(2) and 1.49(f) of the Commission's Rules, this letter is being filed electronically with the Commission via the Electronic Comment Filing System. Should you have any questions regarding this presentation, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul J. Sinderbrand

Paul J. Sinderbrand

Mary N. O'Connor

Counsel to the WCS Coalition

cc: Roger Noel  
Linda Chang  
Paul Moon  
Moslem Sawez  
Ron Repasi