

I understand there are promises of broadband to underserved communities. This is a distraction, and should not be considered in deciding if this merger is in the interest of the people. Having service in remote areas is useless if there is only one provider to choose from, and you are forced to pay whatever price they want.

*

*

It is also useless if your phone service is on the wrong bandwidth for the one company that services you. If there are less choices, that is more likely to be the case.