



TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

HEADQUARTERS

2500 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 300
Arlington, VA 22201-3834
+1.703.907.7700

D.C. OFFICE

10 G Street, N.E., Suite
550 Washington, DC 20002
+1.202.346.3240 MAIN
+1.202.346.3241 FAX

tiaonline.org

August 10, 2011

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: *Ex Parte* Submission – CC Docket Nos. 10-213 and 10-145; WT
Docket No. 96-198**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules the Telecommunications Industry Association ("TIA") submits this *ex parte* letter to address the current state of development of "interoperable video conferencing service" and the implementation of the Twenty-First Century Communications Accessibility Act ("CVAA")¹ with respect to such service.

As TIA noted in its comments, "[a]lthough the *NPRM* implies that the term "interoperable" does not change the meaning of the definition,² the Commission cannot ignore the term's existence and its significance, which is uniformly understood in FCC rules and precedent to entail inter-platform, inter-network and inter-provider communications.³ This understanding of "interoperable" as reflected in the FCC's rules and precedent is consistent with the IEEE definition which defines "interoperability" as the "ability of a system or a product to work with other products without special effort on the part of the consumer." As noted by CEA the IEEE definition captures "interoperability" from an end user/consumer point of view.⁴ The Commission should assume that Congress did not intend for it to adopt an entirely different and more

¹ Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-260, 124 Stat. 2751 (2010) ("CVAA").

² See *NPRM* ¶ 45.

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 90.7 (defining "interoperable" in the public safety wireless context as "An essential communication link within public safety and public service wireless communications systems which permits units from two or more different entities to interact with one another and to exchange information according to a prescribed method in order to achieve predictable results."); *Telecommunications Relay Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities*, Declaratory Ruling and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 21 FCC Rcd 5442 (May 9, 2006) (imposing an interoperability obligation such that "All VRS consumers should be able to place a VRS call through any of the VRS providers' service, and all VRS providers should be able to receive calls from, and make calls to, any VRS consumer."); see also 47 C.F.R. § 51.325(b) (defining "interoperability" as "the ability of two or more facilities, or networks, to be connected, to exchange information, and to use the information that has been exchanged.").

⁴ CEA Written *Ex Parte* Submission, CG Docket Nos. 10-213 & 10-145, WT Docket No. 96-198 (July 18, 2011) at 3.

expansive definition for purposes of the CVAA. Thus, as part of the definition, the term “interoperable” is not meant to require interoperability, but instead, to narrow the scope of services covered by the definition.”⁵ During TIA’s June 14, ex parte meeting with the Commission’s staff, TIA’s representatives emphasized that by mandating accessibility for interoperable video conferencing, Congress looked to the future time when interoperable video conferencing would become available. At that point, the CVAA requires accessibility. The Commission’s staff inquired as to the current state of standards development for interactive video conferencing. TIA said that it would review this matter and follow up with the commission on this issue.

Having now conferred with various stakeholders in the interactive video conferencing industry, TIA reaffirms that while substantial progress has been made toward achieving the sort of operating environment that would achieve interoperability, truly interoperable video conferencing has not yet been implemented.⁶ As such, the Commission should refrain from imposing accessibility requirements on such video conferencing in this proceeding at this time.

TIA notes several pre-standards initiatives of industry. More than a dozen telecom providers including AT&T, Verizon and Global Crossing have joined the Open Visual Communications Consortium (“OVCC”), an initiative fostered by Polycom.⁷ This is an effort by participating companies to work together, using open based standards, to achieve a measure of interoperability between and among consortia members using various technologies. While these efforts could lead to a de facto standard, it is not a standards development initiative.

In addition, there are efforts underway to achieve a large measure of interoperability through the cloud. Thus, the Blue Jeans Network, a third party service, has announced that it offers inter-platform bridging of disparate video conferencing services using cloud computing. As the company explains:

To do this it must be able to transcode between different video and audio protocols, mix video and audio streams together, insert a wide range of security and controls for both the meeting host and meeting participants, and scale to meet growing demand worldwide.⁸

As promising as such a service is, it still does not signal the arrival of interoperable video conferencing services in which end users of disparate platforms and services can achieve interoperable video conferencing without the need for third party conversions. For such an era to arrive, additional standards development work will need to be done if users are

⁵TIA Comments at 11.

⁶ Thus, interactive video conferencing in which participants freely converse among themselves with audio and video from each participant is certainly a reality. The missing aspect is interoperability across networks and platforms so that such conversations may occur without special effort on the part of consumers.

⁷ http://www.polycom.com/company/industry_affiliations/ovcc/index.html (last accessed August 3, 2011).

⁸ <http://bluejeans.com/how> (last accessed August 2, 2011).

to have true “plug and play” interoperability. Ultimately, the customer premises equipment will need to negotiate with that used by others in the conference to utilize a standard protocol. The challenge facing those who develop standards and those who develop hardware is to come up with such protocols without unduly freezing the state of innovative systems development.

TIA also notes the work underway by the Unified Communications Interoperability Forum (UCIF).⁹ UCIF is working to facilitate the use of H.264/SVC and to develop a testing certification program to determine when equipment meets this standard. The National Emergency Numbering Association (NENA) through its i3 standards initiative to facilitate the handling of “video calls” as part of NG 911 is also working to facilitate interoperable video conferencing.¹⁰

In sum, efforts are underway on multiple fronts in the quest to bring interoperable video conferencing to consumers. Notwithstanding the progress that has been made, *interoperable* video conferencing as described in TIA’s comments has not yet arrived, although that day does not appear to be far off. Given that there are not yet standards developed that would offer “the ability of a system or a product to work with other products without special effort on the part of the customer, and given the fact that Section 716(e)(1)(D) of the CVAA prohibits the Commission from mandating a technical standard on ACS providers, the Commission should refrain from mandating interoperability for interactive conferencing when it adopts rules to implement the CVAA.

⁹ <http://www.ucif.org/Home.aspx> (last accessed August 2, 2011).

¹⁰ http://www.nena.org/sites/default/files/08-003%20Detailed%20Functional%20and%20Interface%20Specification%20for%20the%20NENA%20i3%20Solution%20-%20Stage%203_1.pdf (last accessed August 2, 2011).

Respectfully submitted,

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Danielle Coffey

Danielle Coffey
Vice President, Government Affairs

Mark Uncapher
Director, Regulatory and Government Affairs

Brian Scarpelli
Manager, Government Affairs

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
10 G Street N.E.
Suite 550
Washington, D.C. 20002
(202) 346-3240

cc: David Hu
Jane Jackson (WTB)
Elizabeth Lyle (WTB)
Renee Roland (WTB)
Brian Regan (WTB)
Jeff Tignor (WTB)
Karen Peltz Strauss (CGB)
Rosaline Crawford (CGB)
Eliot Greenwald (CGB)