

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Federal-State Joint Board on	)	WC Docket No. 09-197
Universal Service	)	

**ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS  
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF EXHIBITS TO 2011 ELIGIBLE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER CERTIFICATION AND ANNUAL REPORT  
FOR THE STATE OF GEORGIA**

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.  
Mark J. Ayotte  
Matthew A. Slaven  
2200 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 977-8400

VERIZON WIRELESS  
Elizabeth Kohler  
302 Mountain View Drive  
Suite 200  
Colchester, VT 05446  
(802) 654-5093

For the reasons stated herein, Alltel Communications, LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless (“Alltel” or the “Company”) hereby requests that **Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2** (Progress Report on Service Improvement Plan), **Confidential Exhibit C** (Coverage Map), **Confidential Exhibit D** (Updated Service Improvement Plan for 2010-2012), **Confidential Exhibit E** (Projected Support From Federal Universal Service Fund for 2011 and 2012), and **Confidential Exhibits F-1 and F-2** (Outage Reports) to the attached 2011 Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Certification and Annual Report for the State of Georgia, be treated as confidential and not routinely available for public inspection pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.457(d) and 0.459. Redacted copies of **Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2, Confidential Exhibit C, Confidential Exhibit D, Confidential Exhibit E** and **Confidential Exhibits F-1 and F-2** are being filed separately today for inclusion in the public record.

**Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2, Confidential Exhibit C, Confidential Exhibit D, Confidential Exhibit E** and **Confidential Exhibits F-1 and F-2** contain information that qualifies as “commercial or financial information” that “would customarily be guarded from competitors,” regardless of whether or not such materials are protected from disclosure by a privilege. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d); *see also Critical Mass Energy Project v. NRC*, 975 F.2d 871, 879 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (“[W]e conclude that financial or commercial information provided to the Government on a voluntary basis is ‘confidential’ for the purpose of Exemption 4 if it is the kind that would customarily not be released to the public by the person from whom it was obtained.”).

The confidential information contained in **Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2** and **Confidential Exhibit D** relates to the status of historical and proposed infrastructure expenditures and capital improvements by Alltel in its designated areas in Georgia, as well as

operating and maintenance expense information. **Confidential Exhibit C** is a signal coverage map that illustrates, among other things, the location of infrastructure improvements in the designated areas. The Commission has previously determined that information in a Service Improvement Plan is “Confidential” and has granted a Protective Order to allow access to and to protect against the dissemination of such “Confidential” information.<sup>1</sup> **Confidential Exhibit E** reflects Alltel’s internal universal service support estimates based on the Company’s proprietary line count projections and forecasted subscriber base.

The Commission routinely grants Protective Orders to protect the confidentiality of such information.<sup>2</sup> Since the information in **Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2** is a Progress Report on Alltel’s previously filed Service Improvement Plan, **Confidential Exhibit C** is a map showing the location of infrastructure improvements, **Confidential Exhibit D** is an update to the Service Improvement Plan, and **Confidential Exhibit E** sets forth the amount of projected support used in the updated Service Improvement Plan based on subscribership projections, the Exhibits should be afforded “Confidential” status.

**Confidential Exhibits B-1 and B-2, Confidential Exhibit C, Confidential Exhibit D, and Confidential Exhibit E** contain sensitive information that if disclosed could place Alltel at a

---

<sup>1</sup> See *In the Matter of High-Cost Universal Service Support, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Alltel Communications, Inc. et al. Petitions for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers, RCC Minnesota, Inc. and RCC Atlantic, Inc. New Hampshire ETC Designation Amendment*, WC Docket 05-337, CC Docket 96-45, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 8834 (2008); *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, RCC Holdings, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier*, CC Docket 96-45, Order, 17 FCC Rcd 23532 (WCB 2002).

<sup>2</sup> See *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Petition of Cingular Wireless, LLC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Georgia*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 15244, ¶¶ 1-3 (WCB 2007); *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service NEP Cellcorp, Inc. Application for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 15252, ¶ 2 (WCB 2007); *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Petition of Centennial USVI Operations Corp. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the United States Virgin Islands*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 16988, ¶ 2 (WCB 2007).

competitive disadvantage, including specific information regarding capital and operating expenditures, future construction plans, and anticipated funding levels that warrant protection under 47 C.F.R. § 0.459. Alltel would be placed at a significant disadvantage if this information were revealed to competing service providers, who stand to benefit competitively from any knowledge of the redacted commercial and financial information included in these materials. If the redacted commercial and financial information were disclosed to competitors of Alltel, they could use such information to compete against Alltel or take other action that would place the Company at a substantial competitive disadvantage. In addition, Alltel's future ability to negotiate with vendors could be prejudiced by the disclosure of this information. The redacted material is not and has not previously been made available to the public, and Alltel has taken internal precautions to ensure that such information is not disclosed to the public.

Finally, **Confidential Exhibits F-1 and F-2** contain highly sensitive network outage information required by 47 C.F.R. § 54.209(a). Commission Rule 54.209(a) was modeled on the outage reporting requirements in 47 C.F.R. Part 4. When it adopted the Part 4 reporting requirements, the Commission found that such data presents national security and competitive concerns and should be deemed confidential when filed with the Commission. *In the Matter of New Part 4 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications*, ET Docket No. 04-35, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 19 FCC Rcd 16830, ¶ 3 (2004) ("This data, though useful for the analysis of past and current outages in order to increase the reliability and security of telecommunications networks in the future, could be used by hostile parties to attack those networks, which are part of our Nation's critical information infrastructure. The disclosure of outage reporting information to the public could present an unacceptable risk of more effective terrorist activity. We therefore will treat the information that


will be provided as confidential.”) The information in **Confidential Exhibits F-1** and **F-2** is entitled to be treated as confidential for these same reasons.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

September 29, 2011

By

  
Mark J. Ayotte  
Matthew A. Slaven

2200 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 977-8400

VERIZON WIRELESS  
Elizabeth Kohler  
302 Mountain View Drive  
Suite 200  
Colchester, VT 05446  
(802) 654-5093

4285963v1