

William McGhee  
1235 S. Birmingham Ave  
Tulsa, OK 74104-4314

October 18, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

William McGhee  
918-381-0336

Terry Bean  
4714 S. 174 E. Ave.  
Tulsa, OK 74134-7128

October 18, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Terry Bean  
918-724-8500

Marsha Casebeer  
412 S 13th Street  
Collinsville, OK 74021-3416

October 18, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers. My family is primarily farmers or lives in the country and I am very concerned how this will impact them.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created. I personally was impacted by the economy a year ago and we almost lost everything before I found work. My brother has been impacted and just found work this week. We need the jobs in rural America, in my opinion this is the livelihood of our Country.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,  
Marsha Casebeer  
918-381-7977

Norman Baldeon  
15123 E. 112th CT N  
Owasso, OK 74055-5282

October 18, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Norman Baldeon  
9186945190

Jason Bougetz  
1009 W Inglewood ST  
Broken Arrow, OK 74011-4433

October 17, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Jason Bougetz  
9188557474

Coby Callahan  
14304 E. 37th Street  
Tulsa, OK 74134-5703

October 17, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Coby Callahan  
9184373340

Ryan Maxwell  
521 W 10th Street  
Edmond, OK 73003-5723

October 17, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Respectfully,

Ryan J. Maxwell  
405-614-2110

Amber Martinez  
2024 S Main St  
Broken Arrow, OK 74012-7117

October 12, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Amber Martinez  
9189483439

Ashley Richards  
105 W 4th St  
Owasso, OK 74055-2711

October 12, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Ashley Richards  
9182705000

Daniela Chapman  
6152 S Madison Pl, Unit A  
Tulsa, OK 74136-1381

October 12, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Daniela Chapman  
9183811069

Terrill Stormont  
8721 NW 74th St  
Oklahoma City, OK 73132-3735

October 12, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Terrill D. Stormont

Jenifer Garcia  
8921 E 100th St  
Tulsa, OK 74133-6185

October 12, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Jenifer Garcia  
9183812438

Emily Kinman  
310 w. galveston st  
broken arrow, OK 74012-5333

October 12, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Emily Kinman  
9183816699

Lavada Lewis  
8203 S. 77th E. Ave. Apt 2034  
Tulsa, OK 74133-3947

October 11, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Lavada Lewis  
9187526633

Chelsea Henderson  
4620 E. 31st Pl.  
Tulsa, OK 74135-2019

October 11, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Henderson  
9183818555

Elias Garcia  
8921 E 100th ST  
Tulsa, OK 74133-6185

October 11, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Elias Garcia  
9183811313

Kimberly Kelly  
8408 E 24th St. Apt. 171  
Tulsa, OK 74129-2943

October 11, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Kelly  
9186367379

Deyra Pope  
8725 E 60th PL  
Tulsa, OK 74145-8741

October 11, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Deyra Pope  
9182705000

Elisabeth Riggs  
8307 N 123rd e ave  
Tulsa, OK 74055-2127

October 10, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Riggs  
9186363398

Courtney Hingey  
4433 s santa fe place  
tulsa, OK 74107-6913

October 10, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned that an upcoming decision by the FCC to reform the Universal Service Fund to provide improved access to broadband in rural America may not do enough to promote the deployment of mobile wireless broadband Internet. All Americans need dependable access to broadband, both wired and wireless, to compete in this fast-changing world. But we need to make sure this reform is done right. There needs to be a fair share of funding allocated for mobile broadband expansion.

A recent CTIA study found that mobile broadband expansion can work successfully with an investment of \$1 billion per year - that's less than one-quarter of the total Connect America Fund. Yet, I understand there are proposals under consideration that would seriously underfund mobile broadband deployment with up to 93 percent of available funds being directed to wireline broadband.

Right now, mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. First responders and law enforcement depend on wireless networks to quickly pinpoint the location of a victim or a crime; farmers use mobile broadband to track shipments and complete transactions without having to leave the fields; and businesses use it to stay connected to employees and customers.

Furthermore, the economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invest \$1 billion per year in mobile broadband over the next 10 years, 150,000 new American jobs could be created.

Inadequate investment in mobile broadband will cost our nation jobs and compromise the ability of first responders and law enforcement to act quickly when timing is critical. If the Connect America Fund does not appropriately account for wireless, the safety and prosperity of our rural citizens will be diminished.

Rural citizens pay into the Universal Service Fund and deserve to see the benefits of mobile broadband investment. Utilizing \$1 billion out of a \$4.5 billion fund for wireless broadband is not too much to ask.

I urge you to ensure that reform of the Universal Service Fund includes a fair share for mobile wireless broadband networks. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Courtney Hingey  
9189383200

Robin Hoppes  
1602 S Knoxville Ave  
Tulsa, OK 74112-6838

October 7, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Robin Hoppes  
9186375019

Philip Hartweg  
311 s 201st e ave  
Tulsa, OK 74108-8125

October 6, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Philip Hartweg  
9185041200

Sarah Thompson  
2024 w. 57th  
Tulsa, OK 74107-8439

October 6, 2011

Dear Public Comment Manager:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Sarah Thompson  
9186364292

Lori Rodriguez  
1017 Richmond Rd  
Edmond, OK 73034-3233

October 4, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Lori Rodriguez

Judy Ogden  
PO Box 581296  
Tulsa, OK 74158-1296

September 28, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Judy Ogden

William McGhee  
1235 S. Birmingham Ave  
Tulsa, OK 74104-4314

September 13, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

William Scott McGhee  
918-381-0336

terry wright  
rt # 1  
fort cobb, OK 73038-9801

September 10, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

terry wright  
405 643 2881

Ryan Maxwell  
521 West 10th Street  
Edmond, OK 73003-5723

September 9, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Respectfully,

Ryan J. Maxwell

Joey Williams  
504 W. Birch Ave  
Wilburton, OK 74578-3817

September 9, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Joey Williams

Kathy Curtis  
PO Box 156 810 Cedar Dr  
Laverne, OK 73848-0156

September 9, 2011

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am concerned about a proposal under consideration by the FCC that would severely limit mobile wireless broadband expansion in rural communities in favor of outdated landline technology. While it is critical to provide broadband service to more Americans, we cannot afford to waste scarce resources on yesterday's technology.

As I understand it, \$42 billion of universal service support would be used for landline broadband, leaving just \$3 billion to invest in mobile broadband over the next 10 years. That's \$14 spent on landline networks for every dollar invested in mobile broadband networks. At a time when our President and FCC Chairman have repeatedly spoken about how important it is for rural Americans to have access to high-quality mobile broadband networks, this allocation of resources is unacceptable.

Making matters worse, the proposal would allow the landline companies to pick and choose rural areas they want to serve with wired broadband, and those areas would not be eligible for funding for mobile broadband. Landline companies should not be able to choose what broadband technology is made available to rural consumers. That decision should be made by consumers.

Right now mobile broadband is the fastest growing way of accessing the Internet. If you consider where we could be 10 years from now, why would our government propose to spend \$42 billion building fixed wires in rural America? These funds could instead be used to build mobile broadband that would deliver more services, to more places, and be of more use, to more people.

The economic impact of mobile broadband is astounding. A recent report by Deloitte projects the creation of 15,000 jobs for every \$1 billion invested in mobile broadband. If we invested the same \$42 billion in new wireless broadband networks, 630,000 new American jobs would be created. Rural businesses need to have mobile wireless access to compete in this global economy.

Furthermore, emergency responders depend on wireless broadband networks to pinpoint and rescue victims in emergencies. Every time one mobile broadband site is built, our nation's Emergency Response Network is strengthened.

I urge you to prioritize mobile wireless broadband over outdated landline technology in any reform of the Universal Service Fund. Rural America simply cannot afford to be left behind.

Sincerely,

Kathy Curtis  
580-921-5525