

"New Days Are Dawning For A New And Prosperous America"

By James R. Morgan II

and

Janhett T. Windglows

I believe that some out there in this country are so unhappy and misguided that they can actually be swayed and coerced into frivolous causes such as the Al Qaeda movement, to which is about as twisted as you can get when looking at the makeup of this particular ideology even from afar.

The many leaders of this and other violent movements have fallen over the last eight months thanks to the activities orchestrated by certain world leaders including the United States. I would say that this whole thing can now be looked at as a bad dream and dark chapter in our history.

However, the remnants of such a movement may linger around for a while longer simply because of being likened to any other group that propagates hate and intolerance, they will always hang around to feed off of the weak of mind looking to vent a violent message to the masses before committing the act of suicide.

I also believe that this same type of mentality will continue on in looking for new causes that are conducive with a particular negative mindset such as can be seen propagated by some half-baked political and religiously based extremist sites that seemingly are promoting hate and intolerance over the web.

That are more so operating under, and are protected by the first amendment while abusing free speech via pushing propaganda geared to harm, or to even endorse and or condone killing while apparently committing acts of sedition or treason against the American government in some cases. Of course this is just my opinion.

I know, I know... I'm a dinosaur in the fact that I believe that words whether written or spoken, can be just as deadly of a weapon such as a gun or sword, and that whatever one says must be backed up

with fact to be considered truth and credible. Yes, a old and distorted way of looking at things on my part, as some may believe.

I believe in equal and factual criticism when it comes to any organization or group and not, a one sided criticism, or a one sided and twisted criticism to fit one's own political or religious hates. I also believe, that even though a person has the right to vent opinion over the Internet, they simply need to be made responsible for the statements that they make and or the events that occur as a result of their particular statements made.

Social media is a world forum that needs to be taken seriously, as we all know, and that when on a global stage such as this, accountability needs to be invoked by the governing authorities and individual site owners; is this asking too much?

In other words, if you have a hate site spewing out hateful and seditious messages against one's own country and government, then that person making such statements should be required to take full responsibility for their statements and stand behind the statements they make on whatever forum it was made, or held accountable to the body of government or person the statement was directed at.

The old saying of " we are as good as our word" has stood true long ago and still stands true today. If a person cannot make an honest statement and stand behind it, in my opinion, they should not state lies and or un truths especially on the Internet to a global medium. In my opinion, honesty is the best policy in this case, and once words are out there whether in spoken or written form, one cannot take them back, once they are heard or read, am I not correct?

If a particular site promotes a violent view and further encourages it's members to act out in a violent way against an individual or group, this type of behavior far exceeds the boundary of having the American priveledge of free speech, or the freedom of expression in a given opinion, as far as I'm concerned. It goes beyond view or freedom of expression when it is directly linked to any violent actions taking place in society on whatever level, or seeks it's own merely to do damage to an innocent person, group, business and so forth.

For example: Anwar Awlaki promoted hate speech and activity against the American government and people to which was considered sedition and treason by the American government because he was an American citizen.

An American citizen, who took it past free speech via taking it a step up by encouraging and promoting violence and civil unrest against the people of the United States, by the American people and foreign insurgence alike, to accomplish the mission of mass murder on U.S. soil etc.

When this American born citizen propagated, condoned and took part in these negative and murderous activities against the innocent past the realms of free speech, he was then considered to be an enemy of the state and federal government especially, when he decided to conspire with a premeditated enemy action within a hostile region of the world etc.

The government was well within its right to terminate this man with extreme prejudice as far as I'm concerned. Individual's as the one in question; should they be captured? Should be tried in a military court? As their activities are of a military nature and considered a crime against a Country or Nation.

To try the enemy soldier otherwise in a civil court is folly. Civilian Criminal and Civil courts aren't equipped to handle military actions against the United States no more so, then a military court could address a matter of a lower federal status or civil nature.

For example, when a foreign soldier or civilian is acting in the capacity of a soldier that further takes up arms in a unrighteous and harmful cause against an innocent civilian population, then this is a military action, be that person a part of a regulated army, or civilian group acting in a military capacity against a government or the civil population.

In short, when a person picks up a gun or straps a block of bomb to themselves to strike out at, or kill any armed or unarmed person in society, or a part of society such as government, then this should be considered an act of war on whatever level, and that person or group should be tried in a court that deals with military matters.

Again, this is just what I think. In essence, we're splitting hairs so to speak in what we should do with an enemy soldier or group when we should take it for what it really is; which is an attack by enemy forces with the intentions of causing extreme damage to the civilian population and government structure on the whole.

The world is heading in the right direction concerning the termination of violent factions in the world yet, we still have a ways to go before this problem is fully eradicated. I think the war on terrorism has many fronts to it and the Internet being its primary front in the distribution of hate and intolerance speech that leads to psychological as well as physical harm in some instances against the innocent.

Many negative things have been said about President Obama but he at least, had the fortitude to

approach the problem of Terrorism head on as did other leaders in the world, and to this my hat is off to all. Again, kill the ideology of terrorism and you kill the movement of terrorism.

Concerning the issue of Internet Terrorism, free speech and weak minded people or on a collision course with personal destruction. I think that all people are created equally with the intrinsic rights to be treated fairly and humanly but, I don't believe all people are created equally emotionally or intellectually and hence the problem.

The folks I'm speaking directing in reference to are those whom obviously have psychological issues from the start, and those whom are easily lead by suggestive or direct speech. It is these persons, whom are affected for the most part as they can be induced to commute violent acts via the twisted and many times untrue reasoning given and or propagated by hate sites.

In short, the big draw is in that a person with an existing death wish can go out and do something violent while thinking it beneficial for the world that moreover God allegedly approves of, and will reward as a result, as some corrupt ideologies teach over the open air ways.

There will always be a pool of deranged idiots teaching perverse and twisted ideologies over the Internet that will cater to another pool of mixed up, misguided and confused pathological personalities, that are all too willing to vent out in a violent and heinous ways to make a point, or merely just to make their point, no matter how insane that point might be.

When we allow hate sites and groups to exist in America, this is likened to giving a gun to mass murderers especially, when some of these teachers of genocide possess some level of charisma about themselves and come off as quite likeable in the start.

What comes to my mind in particular concerning this is the rate of suicide with many of America's young people that have suffered being bullied in their schools, their own neighborhoods, and relentlessly bullied over the Internet and many times by groups of people that make the victim's suffering and ridicule public which only amplifies all the other arenas of bullying that particular young individual has had to deal with on a daily basis, which many times, especially and sadly to say, with especially being shamed and or ridiculed over the public Internet, is in many of these suicide victims "the straw that broke the camel's back" so to speak, as that victim still has to make themselves get up in the morning and attend school, and even more sadly upon arrival to classes is publicly laughed at and ridiculed, hated by their classmates that have read Internet posts by school clubs or groups, etc. Is it then, any wonder or unusual that young person commits suicide?

The rate of suicide in America's younger population is to say the least astronomical and extremely heinous. In years past, we would never see this type of vicious behavior and hatred that is steadily

growing rampant among our young.

If you like someone enough sometimes what they say doesn't have to make sense and or, people are willing to take what they say as truth at face value, even though they know better deep within. It's all about sick minds that want to belong to something whom are more so, willing to go out with a bang for the sake of glory. In other words, all one has to do is read it in print on the Internet. Another old saying "do not believe everything you read in print" well sadly to say, many people take what they read in print as true and literally at face value especially if there is no other person out there to prove the statements un true.

Some folks will simply turn their heads or shut down their conscience and accept what is said simply because they wish to go with the flow, or find personal glory in what another says even through there might be a chance that it's not true and thus the problem.

Many in our societies today have become accustomed to the graphic written cruelty and even lies not honesty being backed up with proof of the writer's statements that the Internet allows under the First Amendment of Free Speech. Imagine if you will, the great men who wrote The United States Constitution just for a few moments, then decide if you feel or think that the forefathers of our great nation would be pleased with some of the horrendous things that are actually being allowed on the Internet today, grossly abused under the Free Speech Act, what would they think has become of America, honesty, and the integrity that Free Speech is really all about?

I feel that when our forefathers wrote the Constitution that they never dreamed that the liberty of Free Speech would be so abused and misused even to the point of the American people and people abroad destroying each other under the guise of written words, "The Free Speech Act."

To put this into more detailed perspective, the definition of the word honesty means, "fairness and straightforwardness of conduct" "adherence to the facts" "sincerity" which was a word that began in the 14th century, which in essence means, "uprightness of character or action", it means to set the standard and refusing to lie, steal, or deceive in any way, it implies to be trustworthy and responsible, to take responsibility in one's personal as well as professional life, INTEGRITY.

That is what the "Free Speech Act" was based on when created originally, but the American people have abused and grossly misused and corrupted it.

Yes, the hate sites and groups are a problem however, not one without resolve and resolution, in my opinion. If limitations were placed on speech in making a person or group responsible for what they preach, condone and take part in, without hindering free speech itself, much of the ideology

promoting violence, civil unrest and destructive vigilantism would greatly diminish if not disappear completely.

Free speech is like anything else that can be misused or abused in promoting things of a un-benifisial nature in society and yes, we can protect freedom of speech and expression to such a degree that we actually become guilty of violating the laws in which we are attempting to enforce, how? By allowing some to actually harm others through the abuse of a given medium such as free speech.

If speech just stayed on the level of opinion and or, expression of opinion through speech, art, music and other non-harmful modes of expression, there wouldn't be a problem yet, it doesn't stop there and that's the over all dilemma.

Like I said, abuse of speech has many facets to it and often times it's used to oppress, or even incite radicalism amongst a normally peaceful population, and that's why we as a Nation, a global society, should get a handle on this problem that faces us on the Internet today.

As far as I'm concerned, hostile and oppressive speech only leads to eventual violence that doesn't belong on the Internet, or on any other public forum be it in the form of a demonstration, rally, debate, or found through the technical mediums such as radio, T.V. etc. Moreover, anything else used as a vehicle that can be used to reach and harm innocent people anywhere, in any way, shape or form should be included as a governed medium.

I think that we as a global community need to stop and reassess what we allow to be put out over the Internet and further, draw the line in what is acceptable and what isn't concerning what is good and or, that serves us as the global society and what doesn't.

In other words, it's ok to blow the whistle on negative goings ons in a particular company, state or government as long as the information is factual. The intent is effective to some degree in stopping corruption however, to take it past that in inciting a riot, or to cause other civil unrest isn't condoned, or acceptable protocol on any level.

Citing the case of Mubarak and the Egyptian demonstrations: In this instance, the Egyptian people took their grievances to the Internet to expose what was generally considered to be caused by a Tyrant, they primary did this through Twitter and other social networking sites, to which turned out to be a pretty efficient form of communication that organized and mobilized a Nation.

The driven force to the people was seemingly the concern of a Nation in which felt that a real threat

existed in the governing body, to which in the end turned out to be a clear and present danger to all the citizens of that particular country, judging from the film footage. A clear and brutal regime that did in fact, cause the death of many innocent people in it's attempt to retain power.

When the Internet was used in this way to orchestrate, organize, mobilize and to spread the word of alarm, it not only made the world aware of the problems that existed in this part of the world, but also placed political pressure on others in foreign power to aid the oppressed people in need.

Basically, there was no more covert or hidden actions that the cell phone couldn't capture and upload to the Internet and thus, the dirty laundry was airing out on the global stage. In short no more hiding or concealing the tyrants murderous acts, as had been the case years ago.

The carnage and torture that had been leveled against the local inhabitece of a region for thousands of years was no more with the flick of a switch and the press of a button, and this was a good thing. The Internet has also played a part in getting rid of tyrants in other ways via the spreading of information concerning the whereabouts of wanted criminals such as Bin Laden and others whom are no longer with us lol. Again, an awesome thing.

However, even though this put the Egyptian people on the road to freedom it was not without the great cost of life yet, is a good model to use in referring the positive uses of the Internet and social media, as we all know.

The former Egyptian government recognized this to be a threat and attempted to shut it down as a result, but to no avail. With the use of social media combined with peaceful assembly, this was the formidable opponent to the tyrannical government that eventually convicted it in the eyes of the world and hence, the tyrannical regime ordered the slaughter and torture of numerous innocent civilians as a result.

The downside to any gathering, or public mass demonstration is in that it does command the attention of all concerned, including the ones being protested against to which may use unjustified force as a option, and their will be some factions that will look to spark off riots within the civilian population mixed in with those opportunist whom only seek to pilferage, burn or loot.

In my observation, I would conclude that governments over all might find it in their interest to take a proactive part in Internet communications to stay in touch, or to keep their finger on the pulse of the world especially, since this seems to be the weapon of choice for the Internet Terrorists. To know what and how the people are feeling so that a government may respond accordingly in a beneficial way.

I also believe that the Internet should be governed to regulate its activities as was the case when it was first created and used years ago, when the F.C.C. was the main form of enforcement etc. People for the most part will not approve of this suggestion however, it is needed in order to get rid of the crap and undesirable persons that make the Internet a bad place to be as it stands now.

Really, the only persons that I can see resisting the control of the Internet would be those that plan to misuse it, or engage in some unlawful activity through it, plain and simple. In essence, the Internet should be a enjoyable place full of fun and learning, and not a place filled with hate sites or terrorist who's only desire is in creating anarchy or mayhem.

The F.C.C. In America

Eventually, the governing bodies of the world will have to regulate the Internet much like the F.C.C. regulates telephones, C. Bâ€™s, radio and television. I really don't see why the Internet isn't incorporated into the jurisdiction of the F.C.C as the computer is in fact, a communication device.

The way it worked years ago was in that a person, or operator was required to file for a license and was issued a call number that was in turn registered with the F.C.C. This in itself not only made operators identifiable, but kept operators ethical in operating their communication devices.

If we were to go back to the old system of communications regulations then this would in fact, get past the issues of the first amendment as operators could still offer their opinions however, they would have a license number displayed upon making any post much like a IP address; except this number would be listed with the F.C.C. connecting directly back to the operator.

Concerning anonymity and whistle blowing: Even though whistle blowing has its uses on the Internet concerning the activities of a government or otherwise, whistle blowing on a company, or government office should be taking place through the federal chain of command to be effective and thus, not the Internet. Even though the Internet is the people's court unfortunately, it's not a court of law to which needs to exist in order to prosecute.

So, here's a way around the free speech issue, or helpful in not impeding a persons free speech but making them accountable for any statements they might make, unlawful speeches, or activities, A way around whistle blowing in that it would allow whistle-blowers to sound the alarm through federal offices or sites instead of the generalized boards to which no one reads any way .

These suggestions are a viable way to make the Internet safe while cleaning up the criminal element such as Internet Terrorism and other unlawful activities. Of course, should other governments choose to implement such a set of planes, this would be a good thing as well.

There's always the chance that unethical governments will take advantage of such a system however these, and most governments currently have the capability to shut down mass communication devices as it stands. The governments that have attempted to do this in the past for unethical reasons have been exposed and came under stringent political pressure to re-activate the services of a innocent population, to which they did etc.

Collectively, there's always the potential for misuse, or abuse of any prescribed resolution to a problem as human history reflects, and it's only a matter of time before something created for the good of the public will be turned against the public.

Unfortunately, regulation is as only good as the regulators and their ethical intent yet, the Internet as it stands, can be a very good thing in light of social media and the folks that use it ethically and correctly. But also a thing with the potential for gross damage at the same time. Again, why? Simply because there will those whom will always use this wonderful creation with ill intent, or for reasons of greed, power and control over others.

One thing is for sure, and that is, the Internet isn't long for this world and or, its days are numbered in the way that it's currently set up. Why? For the reason that some will eventually come along and find a technical way to fully control it to the negative and so, unless certain and effective ways are found to regulate it beforehand, it will in fact, spiral out of control as a result of ending up in the wrong hands.

Further, unless the governments understand that not all of speech is a good thing and that the criminals have moved off the street and onto the Internet, it will only get worse via technical crimes, or Internet Espionage.

Governments must also understand that these high tech criminals need to be pursued aggressively not only through interlope but throughout the states and provinces in order to weed out these hostile factions that could harm the Internet and its users overall.

Eradicating The Tyrant

It really causes me great joy in seeing the Tyrants of the world go down in flames as this is justice at its best. I've also noticed President Obama's proactive policies in going after terrorism world wide, and not leaving out the positive actions of other even minded and competent leaders that have in turn aggressively pursued terrorism to it's end.

This is only good business for the world as it eliminates those who only wish to cause anarchy through militant actions against both a perceived enemy or their own people, as we all know. I would like to congratulate everyone involved in the bringing down of these oppressive dictatorships, from the leaders to the average guy who took to the street in bringing down these evil men at great cost to themselves.

It's only after we've totally removed these harmful and or, deadly personalities from the world's populace can we achieve total peace in the world to relish for as long as we see fit. I believe that if we keep pursuing peace via ridding ourselves of these violent and delusional individuals, then we have a very good chance at obtaining a lasting peace in the world, to which has always been the dream from the start.

The courage and selfless actions exhibited by street Soldier on the many battle fields have said to the tyrant (we will not tolerate this abuse any longer). The firm resolve of the Leaders of the world have also sent a clear and decisive message to the criminal leader in that, (the tyrannical days of old are over now, to never be again).

Never again will we subject our loved ones to the rule of a unjust king, nor will be be made to bow down out of the fear of torture to any man. Yes, a clear and decisive message has been sent to the oppressor and lowly king turn tyrant that says: "Your time has now past," and "a new dawn is upon us".

The Armageddon has come and gone and the new age of knowledge and grace covers not only the graves of the dead, but the new days of our future. For now and in this hour, the people of the world have said: "Never Again shall this happen in the civilized world" , "Never again will this occur in the generations that follow", "Never again will we let this evil take hold of our bodies and souls".

The world must stay ever vigilant to ensure that this evil never raises it's ugly and murderous head up again for evil never dies, it only sleeps while awaiting the day of Resurrection. Evil slumbers in the darkened places of time and lays in wait for the arrogant heart and the forgetful mind so that it may take refuge in a place of power once more. Never forget this, lest you doom yourselves to yet another evil.

For the just in spirit under Divinity's grace, is far stronger than the evil heart can ever be, and the grace of Heaven knows in whom belongs to it and so, will give courage and strength to see them out of the darkest of times.

For who can oppress the righteous forever? Lest the righteous rise up in the divine spirit of humanity and all things to bring down the Goliath as did David. For sometimes we must stand alone in learning to stand together in strength. And yes, a hard and bloody lesson to learn but necessary for the growth of any Nation. As I've said before in that freedom comes at a great cost.

For no Nation has the exclusive union with the Force Of Creation or God and yet, all Nations have equal partnership with that of the Creator and so, you will know the right leader to lead you by his relationship with the spirit and through his prudent rulership in accordance with Heaven's laws.

Yes, the world is changing and our prayers are with those who have given their lives in the pursuit of freedom, and with those who were left behind to carry on the good fight. Our prayers are with those who lay in the street gravely wounded and bleeding, and with the little children and elderly who were caught up in the crossfire.

Our prayers are with the child without parent and the husband without wife, the wife without husband, the brother without sibling and the parents without child. A deep and scarring toll for the price of freedom, but necessary when fighting against the merciless evil of the world.

Our prayers and best wishes go out to the tortured who will feel the pain of war for years to come, and to the one who saw things that no human being should ever see that further, has been etched into the core of spirit and mind. Our prayers go out to all of you. Your honor and courage shines brighter than Heaven's brightest star and thank you so much for making the world a better place in the midst of your heartfelt pain of loss.

Tyrant... "The Bully"

Tyrant's aren't born evil in the beginning of their lives more so than taught this as they move along through life by those around them. Really, the only difference between a street bully and a Tyrant is in the level of offense and moreover the level of atrocity.

When we take a closer look at the bully temperament, we can see that he has a lot of baggage ranging from wanting to be popular to the insecurity of failure, or abandonment over all and thus this would explain all the theatrics and larger than life appearance that we see with most tyrannical leaders etc.

I think it very important to discern what really makes a bully tick because if we can understand this, then we can know what to look for when choosing a particular leader. I've notice that ego and self entitlement plays a big part in the life of a bully or tyrant, just as much as the bully's desire for power and acclaim.

I also believe that the advanced bully turns blood thirsty and heartless in the torment, torture and death he deals out to others under the excuse of setting an example for the rest to follow yet, this is nothing but a person crossing over into psychopathic behaviors while developing a sadistic taste for the bazaar, as far as I'm concerned.

If one tyrannical personality popped up here and there throughout time, then I would say it was a freak occurrence however, tyrannical behavior is pretty common from the looks of it and it doesn't appear to be a genetic anomaly more so, then a person being a product of their own environment.

Tyrants are nothing more then a huge case of "Bullys Gone Wild" through the enabling of others and social upbringing. I would surmise that the reason we see so much tragic behavior in the near East and the Middle Eastern countries are for the reasons listed above being the environment that they were raised in, the baggage they carry, and due to the enabling that this type of personality feeds off of, for the most part.

The good news is that it's not so much a genetic condition or physical anomaly more so, then a set of learned behaviors and this makes it avoidable, preventable and fixable. I think it's safe to say that the Tyrant Bully lives in a world of their own making that primarily revolves around their own needs with great disregard for the needs of others, unless it brings the bully recognition and or glory.

What I'm saying is that we actually create these tyrants by saying, "Yield to us the privileged things of our desires and we shall turn our heads from your evils committed against the less fortunate". In

essence, when you have a group of people singing the praises to the Bully Tyrant in saying, "Oh how great, true and marvelous are you," for a forty-two year period of time, then I would assume that that particular person would start believing the false phrase given him, anyone would.

When you have the elite populace and other governments engaging in this negative behavior over the span of years then of course, the out come will be the murderous Tyrant.

Another way of putting it, if you and I were surround from birth by people telling us how great we were and never really set us straight on any matter regarding our lives, then we would tend to think that we were all most God like, or beyond reproach.

The problem is, when a person lives in this delusional state for a prolonged period of time and then one day they see half a Nation show up on their door step charging them with all kinds of crimes against the people etc., it's a big surprise even though they know that they've carried out heinous things against many in the past.

When someone's emotionally half gone anyway, or their mind is only working at half its capacity, of course their always going to justify their atrocities and absolve themselves by saying, "I only did what I had to do in running the country and order must be preserved."

I think that the Tyrant fights hard to maintain power and control in the face of the great odds simply because he's been so conditioned by those around him in believing in the lie, to disregard the truth of the real suffering that's going around him.

And yes, ego and clinging on to a lifestyle that one always known has a lot to do with it in the end simply because the tyrant is still living in the world of fantasy. So in essence, when the tyrant is subjugated to the reality that he's avoided, or been guarded from over the years, surrealism and shock set in with a unending sense of denial.

The fact of the matter would be, that some people are placed into office that have hidden and deep seeded psychological problems from the start while others develop these issues over time and through the natural progression of their environmental conditions.

The thing that makes it really bad concerning the near and far eastern regions of the world in particular, would be in both the religious and political structure itself as these promote, and even encourage a wrong headed way of dealing with matters of state from time to time.

Often times, people without training are thrust into political situations, or office via the people with little to no restrictions in how they should govern the people of that region and thus, it is left up to the individual to deal out justice as he or she sees fit to which can be, and often times is taken past the realms of sanity and or, compassionate rational and fair judgment.

Again, in these specific regions of the world, a ruler or potential ruler, is subject to a tribal environment from the start where conflict is common, control over another is second nature, little regard is given to human life, woman are still considered property and kingshipâ€™s are defended with excessive violence that is enforced and condoned via political and religious belief systems.

In essence, violent religious and political ideology gives way to fertile ground in producing the tyrant as it has for thousands of years. Not like this isn't bad enough, but when we have someone as arrogant and nuts as Gaddafi in a place of authority, then this is just disaster waiting to happen as we've all seen over the last seven months.

We are all at the cross roads now as a global society in that we have succeeded in ridding ourselves of most of the tyrants who have caused the world so many problems over the last forty or fifty years. We are rid of them due to the hard work and great sacrifice of the people and leaders of the world.

This is a joyful time in history as evil has been brought to its knees, let's keep it there. Let us keep our foot on the neck of evil so that our children never have to face such a monster. Simply put, there isn't any place or value found in pride, arrogance and ego in the civilized world.

And so, wherever this is found in the qualities of ANY leader in the future, steps should be taken to safeguard humanity from the scourges of such a leader lest we find ourselves witnessing mass genocide once more in our lifetimes. Let this be a instance where history doesn't repeat itself by using good judgment in choosing our leaders.

A Personal Congratulations To President Obama And World Leaders

I must say that I'm surprised and impressed in the leadership qualities displayed in this matter of terrorism as every Nation and Leader that came together in eradicating this menace or scourge to humanity.

Not only did the world come together on this issue but handled it prudently with caution and with text book accuracy. My hat goes off to all those who stepped up to the plate and took the necessary steps in resolving this tyranny inflicted on the innocent people of the world.

In this present day, America finds itself in a economical quandary, and there still seems to be a lot of finger pointing going on, not to mention saber rattling and groaning amidst the ranks yet, if we all put as much effort into solving these problems in all working together and acting as one people, as we did on the matter of terrorism, then their shouldn't be a problem in effectively addressing the economical problems we face currently.

It's all about working together, diplomacy and combining, and re-directing our forces towards the problems at hand rather than scattering our energies to the wind and getting nothing done as a result. We can see what occurred when we started working with each other and our friends over seas, correct? Why can't we continue this process here at home?

Terrorism, was a major problem for the last decade plus yet, by working together we pretty much beat it down in a matter of eight months, and how awesome is this? It is very apparent that America can still be a great Nation when we put our backs into it by cooperating with one another, agreed?

I personally haven't felt such hope and joy for this Country in a long time as I do right now given this last, and most current accomplishment. I can only hope that we can continue to move into the future in this same positive way with the previous conflicts that we have left behind us.

Again, I would like to commend President Obama and his entire administration for their exemplary and professional handling of the ongoing problem of terrorism. Even though I despise war and all the atrocities that comes with it, I understand that it is sometimes it's necessary to fight fire with fire when all else fails.

Even though the job of Presidency isn't as easy as some might think it to be, and even in light that he has received unwarranted criticism from all sides, I find myself hoping that he will be re elected for another term for a couple of different reasons as follows.

The first reason being that he is a man that listens to reason and acts out on it with courage. He is a compassionate and respectful individual who considers all things before jumping into any matter headlong and lastly, a man that is dedicated in resolving the issues we face in a fair and mutual way for all the classes that exist here in America.

I believe President Obama to be a honest and hard working President whom faces great opposition everyday from those who do not have all the facts and further, fail to see the big picture at times. A president who has been disrespected time and time but nevertheless, keeps his eye on the prize despite this harsh criticism coming from the less informed.

But there again, not every leader will be loved or hated yet, this isn't the leader's number one priority as much as it is getting the job done. As I've said time and time again, in that I don't agree with everything this President proposes but there again, I'm not privy to the facts he sees before him, and I'm only going off of half the story concerning the issues that confront us as a Nation.

I do however wish that transparency would be more fourth coming so that I, like so many others could be better informed in our outlook concerning various matters that effect us all directly. I also earnestly hope that the American people could be given a larger part in deciding our futures via being given the facts and the opportunity to vote frequently on the matter of these given issues.

Basically, I think we have a wonderful President however, one can only do so much a four year period of time and not everything can be fixed, or changed overnight as so many would have it. As far as I'm concerned, everyone needs to get out of the way and allow this President to do his job without blocking, being overly critical of his efforts, and or hindering him at every turn, as this only

harms the Nation instead of helping it out of the predicament we now find ourselves in.

I'm all for disagreeing with the President if we find something that will actually harm the Country over all, but we have to keep personality conflicts out of the the game, or severing our own self interests. Actually, it would be more helpful to the American public to know why a bill was blocked specifically instead of just being told that the bill was blocked and not given a reason why.

I also believe, that a given bill should be posted in its entirety so that the public could read, and vote on it in a effort to give the Nation better access in the things of great importance to us, what is beneficial to us and what isn't.

But over all, I think we have a great President that needs America's full support rather than being so critical of everything the man says and does at every turn. I'm sure he ran for office simply because he was interested in making the United States a better place to live, a stronger and equal country for all the classes, and not for any other reasons.

It's a absolutely crazy notion that he is there to destroy the Nation as some have stated and so, I won't even go into to this general paranoia as it's not even worth dictating. In my opinion, I've seen this President giving it his all and yes, his actions or accomplishments speak louder then words, so let's not be so quick to judge him until he's at the very least, finished with his term.

Now that we've pretty much beaten down "Al Qaeda" and hence we can now fix our eyes on more important matters such as employment and other equally important issues. Hopefully the members of Congress and the senate can work with the President instead of working against him in the future days to come, as the very survival of this country is at stake.

Besides, were not choosing up sides for a game of valley ball out on the beach somewhere moreso than attempting to save the land that we all love, correct? A united Country was the way it was the last time I checked here not to long ago. Have the game rules changed? I sincerely hope not.

Lastly, to the Congress and Senate, it would most certainly help if you could educate your constitutes in why your rejecting a policy, or suggested bill rather than just leaving us all in the dark. In short, if

something isn't good for the nation then you should take it to the press or Internet, and let us know why it isn't good for us, public opinion is the game changer as you all know.

CNN Reports

Greetings to Anderson Cooper, Pierce Morgan, John King, Randi Kay, Wolf Blitzer, Don Lemon, and the entire CNN team. Thank you all so much for your'e informative programs regarding bullying and abuse of religious practice and further, your coverage on the conflicts abroad. It's nice to know what's going on around us.

I believe that the press should be given access in every country to cover anything that will effect the world either directly or indirectly but lately, this hasn't been the case in a few of the near and or far eastern countries, unfortunately.

Wherever, and whenever, the press is discluded from any country, the rest of the world should sit up and take notice of that a particular country because chances are, it isn't on the up and up with their dealings with others, as we all know.

I think the media is the largest defense against the tyranny of a people regarding human rights violations to child abuse, or in reporting on a nation stricken by natural disaster, and so fourth. Many people just kind of get fed up with the news because it always seems to be on the negative side but, if this is what the world is producing then it should be reported, as I see it.

People never get anywhere by hiding their heads in the sand, or ignoring the problem by saying it doesn't effect me and thus, I won't worry about it. But the fact of the matter is, it does effect us all as we are the human family who has the power to avert and alleviate human suffering wherever it's found.

As I always say, knowing is have the battle and addressing the issue is the other half and that's why I'm so happy your there to put things of concern in check by airing the issues at hand. I'm sure, your

all ready aware that what you do has a profound effect on the unfolding of events and by this, has changed the course of history to the positive.

You are the very element of discovery that makes sure that business isn't conducted as usual in the political arena be it in this country or another. Yes, the force that sheds light on the evil and misgivings of the world whom further, adds the pressure of global opinion concerning an given matter.

I don't think the world really gives much thought to this and that's why I'm bringing this up in the post today so that people will realize this fact, if they don't already. I just think that your doing the world a great service every time you air your programs and hence, I give credit where credit is due.

Of course, this goes for all the news agencies around the world to which I sometimes watch when I'm not watching CNN. I really appreciate your particular news services CNN, as it keeps me up to date to which in turn inspires me to write these posts, otherwise I wouldn't for some reason, or to the extent that I do.

Usually, I'm really not into politics as my areas of research mainly move off in the areas of religion and phenomena as if you couldn't tell lol... yet, the current events effect me on occasion both personally, spiritually and professionally at times and I feel a great need to vent my opinions as does my beautiful and caring wife Jan.

It's really my hope, that my theories and opinions give everyone that reads them a different perspective on all the various things that effect us in life, I know your programs have given me food for thought along with changing some views of others in my household.

So again without further adieu, may Jan and myself once again extend out thanks to you and yours for all that you do in the world in making it a far better place for all of us. We consider you the Champions to the little guy and those who have suffered at the hands of others on every level of life. Keep up the good work and.... " see you all in the movies ".

Withdrawal Of American Troops

As I've said in the past pertaining to the stabilization of near and far Eastern countries, it will never happen. Whether we stay or go, I'm afraid that this region of the world will by its very nature remain a violent geographical part of the world full of political and religious unrest and conflict.

I believe that to withdraw our troops is the best way to go especially, when these same troops are needed on our own borders to enforce national security. Some feel that these eastern countries will become Communist block countries and some will stay semi democratic and this is a real possibility.

However, Cuba, China and Russia are red block countries to which we still have reasonable relationships and trade with that operate on the laws of fairness. People are people no matter the language, flag, or political structure they stand under.

Some of these Countries aren't democracies yet, they are still modern and resealable people who have a good grasp of what it is to be a civilized Nation and so, the persistent stigma of the cold war socialism must be allowed to die if Nations are to co exist with each other on a peaceful plateau.

The time must eventually come when we as a Nation must accept other political systems and further, leave the smaller progressive Nations alone for the reason that they can never grow with us there acting in the capacity of the international policeman keeping them apart, or impeding them in an way.

I think it's not only wonderful but smart, in bringing most of our Troops home as this will serve our Nation in many different positive ways and further, stop the un-needed and unwanted expenditures of foreign occupation.

It's one thing to dispatch a peace keeping force to a Country when wanted by that Country, and when we can afford it yet, the fact of the matter is, is that we can't afford it, and they really don't want us there and hence, this gives us every reason to pull out of such lands.

Another point that I'd like to make, is in that we really haven't done much good in these Countries over the last nine to eleven years, and I don't believe that any other Nations will have much success with them either should they decide to take a stab in shoving their system of government down their throats.

As far as the cold world thinking goes, in that we should leave these troops in place all over the world to safe guard America's interests, we can do that from home without having a physical presence in a foreign land via technology.

Now even though there's a rather large, and sought after natural resource in this area of the world, we should respect the ownership of this resource and negotiate when necessary while persistently pursuing green energy concepts here at home. To pursue SAFE and excitable ways in extracting these national resource pockets without harming the environment.

To keep researching alternative green flues that will ultimately power America and free us from our dependence on foreign oil and gas. You know, we're going to have to have to this at some point in time be it now or later, but it will have to get it done just the same, there's just no way around it.

And so, it's better to take the bull by the horns and get it down now while we still float on the resources of others, rather than pay our soul to the company store after we've totally exhausted our own natural resource in the next fifty to one hundred years.

When you look back into history at some of the greatest Empires that ever were, you can see that one of the biggest mistakes they made were in spreading their Troops to thin that seriously weakened not only their troop strength but their regional borders as well.

Again, looking at the demise of certain Empires, the causes were found to be inward conflict and thinly spread troops along with bad economic and trade practices that contributed greatly to their downfalls. In short, a countrie's national security is as good as its troop concentration around it's borders, as can be seen more specifically in the case of the ancient Empires such as Greece and Rome.

The Empires in question expanded their reach past their capability to govern and became fragile as a result and moreover, by leaving their actual borders insignificantly unenforced and open to attack.

Having strategically placed troops in the world is a good thing in those areas of high risk, or countries that could possibly be considered a threat, and the input of the Generals should be taken to heart and weighed against what is frivolous for the country and what's in the country's best interest.

Troops left in the capacity of reconnaissance or training for a specific amount of time would be acceptable but not in the capacity of peace keeping or otherwise, in my opinion. Also, we must start the process of being fiscally responsible in what is realistically affordable militarily and what is merely unnecessary and irresponsible in national spending.

It is unlikely that other Communist based countries would formally attack the United States in light of the debt that is owed and the trade that exist back and fourth. Unlike the United States in its past administrations, these countries aren't seemingly interested in governing and feeding 300 million additional mouths. Basically they wish to collect the debt and prosper mutually off of trade deals etc.

Besides, why attack a nation formally engage in conflict, or declare all out war with a certain country when you can take it legally at anytime by taking possession of that country through its own legal process in obtaining leaned properties and so fourth.

So, the threat of a perceived supper power declaring war is again Unlikely especially when their economy is doing well and they have a viable tap for natural resource. Even should a potential strike be looming out there in the shadows, it wouldn't do any good to have the consideration of American troops dispersed throughout the world and away from our national borders.

Concerning the matter of strategically places military bases, I would say that this might be a prudent move yet, these bases could be worked with skeleton crews and again, leaving just enough troops there to run the base while sending the rest home to guard the borders etc.

As far as the potential threats are concerned in the middle eastern regions of the world, these

countries simply don't have the technology at this time to make long range strikes to American soil and thus, more apt to strike out at each other rather than ourselves.

Even though we would withdraw the main body of our troops to which isn't really doing any good currently in these east block countries, they could always return back in force should they take part in terrorism against us, or openly strike out at the United States.

Years ago, the United States could afford to keep up its operations in the world however, today is a different matter and set of circumstances that disallow us from carrying out this same strategy without causing ourselves fiscal harm in the process.

I personally believe that the Middle East block countries can be considered as volatile regions in the world that should be monitored concerning their production, and usage of, long range strike vehicles or missiles, especially when any nuclear devices could be fitted to a given weapon that changes it to what is commonly considered to be a weapon of mass destruction with a long range strike capacity.

Even though some would disagree with me on the outlines given above it's important to understand that I preferably operate on the premise of neutrality, fairness and condone the complacency of foreign laws when in the realms of a country as a guest via their written or expressed consent be it a base or a personal visit.

But on the other hand, I also believe that the world and its leaders should act in both a prudent and responsible fashion when considering the rights of another nation and the stable, or volatile condition of a particular country especially when it comes to long range weaponry that has the capability of striking foreign soil.

In short, a sovereign country has the the right to do pretty much as it will as long as it doesn't pose a viable and or, eminent risk of harm to another nation or group of people. If the country is shaken by revolution on a frequent basis and hence, a good chance may exist that deadly technology could fall into the wrong hands then of course, any responsible world leaders should intervene to avoid such risk to all concerned.

Nuclear Disarmament

We all know that excessive armament has gone on for way to long and that something needs to be done immediately about it least this vehicle of death fall into the hands of a ignorant mentality. Again, I hate to seem like I'm picking on some of the more volatile regions of the world however it is, what it is by the very conduct that is exhibited year after year by certain countries.

Unfortunately, some in the world have a do or die complex quite literally, and it is those who will continue at all costs to human life that concerns me. When I see a guy that thinks nothing of strapping a bomb to his chest for some twisted cause that doesn't even make sense, be it for political or religious reasoning, it's cause for concern.

It's a concern quit simply because here's a guy that thinks nothing of killing himself along with countless others via some extremist ideology that he feels good about, telling him to die and kill others for God and country at any cost.

What makes us think it's going to stop with the underwear bomber? And why couldn't this extremist ideology resonate with the leader of such a country as after all, it is a wide spread notion that's heard on every level of various societies.

Further, why wouldn't a leader with a Gaddafi type mentality think anything about using such a weapon against anyone he construed as being the enemy of his government. I think we all get the drift here in what I'm trying to convey as some of us have entertained this scary thought for quite some time now.

I personally think that we just need to get rid of this weapon globally as it's over kill by it very nature, something that should have never been created in the first place but was out of necessity just the same.

I'm a strong believer in peace through strength but there is such a thing as excessive strength that could result in the annihilation of the world, or a weapon built in the interest of maintaining peace that could eventually be the ultimate weapon of peace by bringing extinction to every living thing on the earth.

We all know, that it is a matter of time until some Nation drops their guard in keeping this weapon close to them and so, resulting in some extremist idiot in getting a hold of one of these things. It's coming guys, in that some whack job will use one of these missiles against his enemies to which could be you and myself.

I think it's time for the world to sit down and have some real serious talks about the matter of nuclear disarmament before it's too late. I believe that the world leaders need to sit down and discuss the potential of disaster should the wrong people get a hold on this technology, who care nothing of life itself.

A Nation's strength can be looked at in two ways, the traditional way of military strength or in the way of its leadership qualities that leads by example, In some instances it can be looked at in both ways. Since we have achieved the limits of military strength on both sides, there's nothing to really gain by pushing military superiority over another Nation through an arms race, agreed?

The only thing left to pursue is diplomacy past this and to lead by example in doing what good for not only the singular Nation, but for the entire world, correct? Your answer should be "yes" but if not, then you don't belong in power.

I would say that there are many alternatives in resolving disputes be they on a local level or the international level to which have nothing to do with violent conflict or war. Really, were at a impasse in the world as nuclear weapons present such a dangerous and have the capacity to wipe out the earth inhabitation in a flash, their simply not considered a viable weapons system any longer and more or less a toy of death for mad men.

Not only will they extinguish life but destroy real estate and thus, leaving a lifeless and charred world to which wouldn't be of any use to the survivors. My question is, why are we keeping something this deadly and destructive around that would not only kill the enemy but its user as well ?

Even if a Nation fired one of these missiles off to rid themselves of an enemy Nation wouldn't this eventually poison the water and food supply for everyone left beyond the blast zone? And what about the fall out of such a weapon? Yes, your enemy would be gone along with half of the world's real estate food and water supplies, but what about the effects on the Earth itself?

Nuclear winters, habitat extinction, mass loss of resource, a way to refine it and so fourth, not to mention the disease it would cause to whomever made it through the blast....NOT GOOD. Yes, there would be nothing around to salvage or build on to, all the archives would be lost and humanity would be set back to day one of existence, in light that any of us made it through such a global catastrophic event.

Again world I ask you, why do we still have these high grade weapons of mass destruction? As the only person that would have use of these things would be that of a person with a death wish. In short, these weapons can't be used against anyone with hitting eventually yourself in the face with your own destruction.

As I've stated previously, the only people that have use for this type of weapon are the people that have a "do or die" mentality, who care nothing about life, or anything else but in how the world perceives them, even they are shortsighted in that they have forgotten one thing, and that is no one will be around to remember them, lol.

There's a level and degree of seriousness between destruction and annihilation and hence, a difference between annihilation and extinction. We as world leaders better give this some deep thought in our decision making processes in deciding if we really want to keep nuclear weaponry or not.

I know that this is a major concern of many countries around the world but there doesn't seem to be anything being done about it. Seldom do you hear anything being said about it these days when it should be the main topic of discussion amongst the world's leaders.

In the end, we as a humanity should really start thinking about what's good for us as a family and put aside the fears and daunting's of our fathers as this world belongs to us now and no other, It is up to

us solely in how the world will spin from this day forward.

It's up to us to decide in what type of a world that we want to live in and further, if we as a people wish to reside ourselves to always living under threat of mass extinction. Get rid of the damn bombs people and let's move forward as the human family that we are; let's start thinking with our minds and hearts instead of with our fears and insecurities.

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad Statements Regarding U.S. Withdrawal

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad says and I quote: "the withdrawal of U.S. troops from Iraq will not increase Iran's involvement with Iraq" end of quote. I guess we will have to take this statement at face value yet, on the flip side he does make some valid points in his statements following below.

He was quoted as saying, "U.S. troops should have left sooner and that Iraq should decide for itself how to provide training for its military personnel" and he's correct in saying this as all Countries have the right to govern themselves without pressure from any outside Countries especially when there's no imminant threat present that a Country might present to another Nation.

If Iran intends to act as a arbitrator for peace between Syrian and its opposition then this is the proper in every way and something that all governments should encourage. However, I don't agree with the statement that the "U.S. and other Western powers involved in the NATO mission in Libya had exacerbated the conflict in the country", but that's a matter of personal opinion.

Quote: "Ahmadinejad told CNN Iran has never had intentions of hurting Saudi Arabia". He was responding to a question about U.S. accusations that members of Iran's military elite were involved in an assassination plot on the Saudi ambassador to the United States. Who's to know what another's intentions were and only time will tell regarding this?

Quote: "A U.S. grand jury has indicted two Iranian men who are accused of being involved in the

alleged plot against Saudi envoy Adel al-Jubeirâ€. â€œThe U.S. says one of the suspects is a member of the Iranian Revolutionary Guards' Quds forceâ€. Well, this would certainly have long reaching ramifications if the connection were made by the United States in linking this situation back to Iran.

I think that we have to realize that there are many factions within the typical middle eastern government and that a President may, or may not be aware of everything going on within that government, Iran is no exception to this rule as this even occurs in larger more established governments like our own.

Of course, if this action was linked back to Iran and or directly back to Ahmadinejad, then this would most certainly be a problem that could be approached in several different ways ranging from charges applied to him and administration on a International stage to sanctions.

Even though this was a act of war on another country this incident wasn't intended to be a act of war against the United States by whomever perpetrated the event. However it does show a brazened and daring show of disrespect towards the U.S that shouldn't be tolerated on any level as Diplomats of any country should be safe from attack when on our soil.

The thing about a nasty situation as this would be that we have to be very slow in pointing the finger of blame at any leader before we have the hard proof of that leaders involvement and to do so otherwise, is just to cultivate harsh feelings between the two and possibly cause a International incident as a result.

The Internet, F.C.C. And Job Creation

We use the Internet everyday and think nothing of it as we haven't really considered it to be the vast financial resource that it really is. What I'm about to purpose is a rough plan in utilizing the Internet as a source of income that would in turn, leave governing agencies less dependent on the general public for mandatory taxes, or in raising taxes in order to keep itself running on every level from

federal, state, county to city municipalities.

As I've stated previously in the paragraphs above when referring to the F.C.C. , not only would I clean up the F.C.C. , but empower it to oversee every aspect of our communication mediums by issuing licenses at its discretion to any Users, or private companies wishing to use the Internet for business purposes here in the United States.

Revenue could be obtained by the governing powers via charging for special licenses to the search engines, foreign engines, on line companies and average users. It could also require special courses to obtain the required licenses that a operator would need to conduct searches through, or in operating business on the Internet.

I believe that cities, all the way up to the federal level, could benefit immensely from a refined plan concerning the usage of the Internet as a revenue resource and thus, the need for tax revenue would be lessened in one way, or in a mandatory tax and regained again through the taxâ€™s collected off of the courses and licensing itself.

In essence, the people that don't use the Internet would see their over all taxes decreased and the people who chose to use the Internet would see a small tax on the classes and licenses they would have to acquire before they could be an operator on the Internet.

Hypothetically speaking, if we charged one dollar a piece for everyone living in the United States for technical classes and Internet license, it would come to 300 million dollars lol, and this wouldn't include all the other stuff that would come with it should a person need to upgrade a license etc.

The fact of the matter is in that this, if constructed correctly could bring in billions of dollars, if not, trillions of dollars over time that would reduce the over all local, state and national deficits. Most people pay about \$20 to \$60 a month to be on the Internet currently and most businesses gravitate to the Internet as they can reach a mass targeted audience and pay little to no overhead and so, maximizing profit.

Hypothetically speaking, if it took \$100 a year, per person, 300 million strong, to get on the air through classes, licensing and tax, then this would be in the neighborhood of an extra \$30,000,000,000 Billion that the governing bodies could take in for operational cost of running the government on the whole.

Of course, let's not forget the small to medium business, national cooperationâ€™s and foreign engines and or, foreign companies that need U.S. licenses that will generate even more capital for state and government agencies.

Just playing with the numbers, lol..... I'm not that great with math but I can tell you that it sounds like a lot of money to me, that just might help in pulling our butts out of the fire of economic disaster and national deficit.

Of course, the governing powers could make additional financial resource off of fines as well lol, as stated above in another previous article, this would also be a means in cleaning up the Internet via regulating it to a lessor or greater degree.

For example, such as making it easier in spotting terrorist activity or applying pressure to hate sites via levied fines for hateful or malicious speech over a governed air way. Again, this doesn't impede free speech however, it does make one accountable for what they say or encourage, write, etc., on the Internet.

Regarding the anonymity so highly sought after by it's users, I would submit that every User would have an I.D. number registered with the F.C.C. that all sites would be legally obligated in turning over to any federal agency upon request, especially when that site, or government agency suspected unlawful activity to any degree coming from a individual or company.

If you stop and think about it for a moment, anonymity on the Internet is a cover for criminal activities as much as it has anything to do with blowing the whistle on a given company and thus, anonymity doesn't need to be a part of the Internet as it harms and hinders more then it serves the public.

If someone has a legitimate complaint against a person or company, then why would they want to

hide? I've made many charges against some on the Net, and never felt the need to hide anywhere over the last fourteen years.

The second part of the plan consists of job creation in that lots of people will be needed in the many different areas having to do with schooling, testing, issuing and revoking licenses, collecting tax, filing new fictitious company names and so fourth.

Also, new companies will tend to spring up in a regulated environment more so than something that resembles the wild, wild west such as the Internet is currently looked upon. More and more companies large and small alike are taking up residence on the Internet to reach a global client bass and so, I don't see it slowing down.

For the most part, this would either revitalize existing government agencies or create new ones, plus bring a huge amount of monies in to be used for payroll, health plans and other needs regarding these city, countyâ€™s, states and federal employees.

As was stated before, this would also have untold benefits to the public as well in that their sales taxes would be reduced on the state and federal level and picked up by those who choose to use the Internet in the capacity of a consumer or for cooperate use.

The sky is the limit in what the Internet could bring in financially for the Cities, Counties, States and federal government, and I personally don't know what their waiting on. It just makes good sense to explore the options while taking advantage of the opportunities in this area of the private sector.

We pay high sales tax on everything, our groceries are high, gas is way too expensive, clothing is costly, tobacco and alcohol has been taxed to death, and the cost of living is crazy over all, simply because the governing powers need revenue to keep things running.

Now, I'm not sure how effective a plan like this would be in its refined state after the professionals were through with it however, the rough outline is there to consider. Also, I don't know how much this plan would produce and or, if the funds from such a project would be used for its correct purposes, or misappropriated by whomever gets all the tax dollars that seems to disappear without a trace, but I

still think it's worth pursuing just the same.....

~Jim Morgan & Jan Windglows ~

208-714-4348