

Protect broadband our last mile telecommunications service for high speed internet and the future of our media which is delivered via the internet with competition mandates and Network Neutrality rules (under Title II) to preserve and foster an open Internet. To maintain wireless competition deny AT&T T Mobil.

This article "Life in the Fast Lane" explains why we need to have an open internet. SaveTheInternet.com also has an article "Saving The Democratic Internet" worth a read.

Opponents of Net Neutrality often argue that Internet Service Providers need to divide the Internet into fast and slow lanes ? providing a fast lane for those who can pay, a slow lane for everyone else ? to invest in a fast Internet for all.

But Dr. H. Kenneth Cheng and Dr. Subhajyoti Bandyopadhyay, two researchers at the University of Florida, and Hong Guo of the University of Notre Dame, have a new study that shows the opposite. Without Net Neutrality, the authors conclude, ?ISPs actually have less incentive to expand infrastructure.?

Using the well-worn highway analogy for the Internet, the authors explain that ISPs have an incentive to keep the roads, i.e., Internet connections, clogged. ?ISPs profit more when the roads are congested,? they write. ?[If] traffic is cruising, no one would feel the need to pay for faster service.?

The researchers? conclusion is simple. In the absence of open Internet rules, ISPs would be able to charge extra for a fast lane and pocket the difference, since they wouldn?t feel motivated to spend that money on infrastructure.?Without Net Neutrality,? the authors write, ?ISPs [would] likely be better off and content providers worse off.? That's what Net Neutrality advocates have argued all along.