

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

December 14, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES
Abu Dhabi Moscow
Barcelona Munich
Beijing New Jersey
Boston New York
Brussels Orange County
Chicago Paris
Doha Riyadh
Dubai Rome
Frankfurt San Diego
Hamburg San Francisco
Hong Kong Shanghai
Houston Silicon Valley
London Singapore
Los Angeles Tokyo
Madrid Washington, D.C.
Milan

Re: Submission of Supplemental Information, *Application for the Transfer of Control of Insight Communications Company, Inc. to Time Warner Cable Inc.*, WC Docket No. 11-148

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Time Warner Cable Inc. (“TWC”) hereby submits the following responses to questions from Wireline Competition Bureau staff regarding the above-captioned application.

1. On October 4, 2011, TWC and Insight Communications Company, Inc. (“Insight”) identified the telecommunications competitors in Insight’s geographic service areas, including the following providers of residential telecommunications service: AT&T, CenturyLink, Frontier Communications, Windstream, and WOW.

2. The rates that TWC offers for telephone service to customers in the areas where its network overlaps with Insight network are the same rates that TWC offers in the larger geographic area in the vicinity of Columbus, Ohio.

3. The Public Interest Statement filed in support of the proposed transaction referred to the Commission’s decision approving the acquisition of Adelphia Communications Corp. (“Adelphia”) systems by TWC and Comcast.¹ More specifically, in that decision, the

¹ Applications and Public Interest Statement, *Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Insight Communications Company, Inc., Transferor, to Time Warner Cable Inc. Transferee*, WC Docket No. 11-148 at 19 (filed Sept. 6, 2011), citing *Applications for Consent to the Assignment and/or Transfer of Control of Licenses, Adelphia Communications Corp., Assignors, to Time Warner Inc., Assignees; Adelphia Communications Corp., Assignors and Transferors, to Comcast Corporation, Assignees and Transferees; Comcast Corporation, Transferor, to Time Warner Inc., Transferee*;

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Commission addressed complaints of Marco Island Cable (“MIC”) that the proposed transaction would eliminate a competitor in two large developments in Collier County, Florida representing up to 6,000 and 2,000 residences, respectively, that MIC alleged were overbuilt by TWC in Comcast’s franchise territory.² The Commission concluded that the potential harm to competition from these two instances of “overbuilding” was “not sufficient to create a material risk of public interest harm.”³

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Matthew A. Brill
Elizabeth R. Park

Counsel for Time Warner Cable Inc.

cc: William Dever
Lisa Gelb
Jodie May
Tim Stelzig
Jim Bird
Joel Rabinovitz
David Krech
Karl Kensinger
Jeffrey Tobias

Time Warner Inc., Transferor to Comcast Corporation, Transferee, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 21 FCC Rcd 8203 ¶ 91 (2006) (“Adelphia Order”).

² *Ex Parte* Submission of Marco Island Cable, MB Docket 05-192 (filed Feb. 13, 2006).

³ *Adelphia Order* at ¶ 91.