

REDACTED—FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 23 2012

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

April 23, 2012

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: *Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses; Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cox TMI Wireless, LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses, WT Docket No. 12-4, Response to Information and Discovery Request*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Bright House Networks, LLC (“Bright House Networks”) hereby submits the enclosed material in response to the “Information and Discovery Request for Bright House Networks” issued by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau on March 8, 2012.^{1/} This material contains Confidential and Highly Confidential Information and is being submitted pursuant to the Protective Order and Second Protective Order in this proceeding.^{2/} This Highly Confidential version of the filing will be made available for inspection pursuant to the terms of the Protective Orders. The redacted, public version of this letter has been filed under separate cover.

Pursuant to the FCC’s request earlier today, Bright House Networks is submitting a replacement load file for its previously produced volume BHNFCC002.

The disk is password protected and the password will be provided via email.

^{1/} See Letter from Rick Kaplan, Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, to Cody Harrison, Sabin, Bermant & Gould LLP, WT Docket No. 12-4 (Mar. 8, 2012) (“March 8 Letter”) (attaching the “Information Request”).

^{2/} *In re Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses, Protective Order, WT Docket No. 12-4, DA 12-50 (WTB Jan. 17, 2012) (“Protective Order”); In re Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses, Second Protective Order, WT Docket No. 12-4, DA 12-51 (WTB Jan. 17, 2012) (“Second Protective Order”)* (collectively, “Protective Orders”).

0+1

Ms. Marlene Dortch
April 23, 2012
Page 2

Bright House Networks has endeavored conscientiously to ensure that none of the documents it is submitting herewith is privileged under the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine. To the extent that any privileged documents may have been inadvertently produced, such production does not constitute waiver of any applicable privilege. Bright House Networks requests that any privileged documents inadvertently produced be returned to Bright House Networks as soon as such inadvertent production is discovered by any party, and reserves all rights to seek the return of any such documents.

Please feel free to contact me if you have questions concerning this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert G. Kidwell

Robert G. Kidwell
Counsel to Bright House Networks, LLC

Enclosure

cc: John Spencer
Sandra K. Danner
Joel Rabinovitz
Joel Taubenblatt