REDACTED FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In re the Matter of Complaint of SKY ANGEL U.S., LLC Against Discovery Communications, LLC *et al.* for Violation of the Commission's Competitive Access to Cable Programming Rules	)))))))))	12-80 File No. CSR-8605-P

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 21 2010

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

ANSWER TO PROGRAM ACCESS COMPLAINT

Howard J. Symons
Christopher J. Harvie
Tara M. Corvo
Robert G. Kidwell
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 434-7300

April 21, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY 1

FACTUAL OVERVIEW 4

A. Negotiation of the Sky Angel Agreement 5
B. Discovery's Concerns About Sky Angel's Distribution System 7
C. Discovery's Decision to Terminate Sky Angel 9
D. Discovery's Concerns About Internet Distribution 11

ARGUMENT 12

I. SKY ANGEL IS NOT AN "MVPD" ENTITLED TO RELIEF UNDER THE PROGRAM ACCESS RULES 12

A. Sky Angel Does Not Offer "Video Programming." 13
B. Sky Angel Does Not "Make Available" Programming Over MVPD Facilities 16

II. SKY ANGEL'S COMPLAINT IS BARRED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS APPLICABLE TO PROGRAM ACCESS DISPUTES 18

III. DISCOVERY'S EXERCISE OF ITS TERMINATION RIGHT DOES NOT CONTRAVENE SECTION 628(B) SINCE IT WAS NOT UNFAIR AND DID NOT HAVE THE PURPOSE OR EFFECT OF SIGNIFICANTLY HINDERING SKY ANGEL'S COMPETITIVE VIABILITY 21

IV. DISCOVERY HAS NOT ENGAGED IN UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION 26

V. GRANTING THE RELIEF SOUGHT BY SKY ANGEL WOULD VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT 29

VI. RESPONSES TO NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS 31

CONCLUSION 36