

Holland & Knight

2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202.955.3000 | F 202.955.5564
Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

Bill LeBeau
(202) 862-5965
bill.lebeau@hklaw.com

May 2, 2012

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Marlene Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation
Entertainment Software Association
CG Docket No. 10-213 & ____**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This is to notify you that on Monday, April 30, 2012, Christian Genetski, Senior Vice-President and General Counsel of the Entertainment Software Association (“ESA”), Michael Warnecke, ESA Senior Policy Counsel, and Bill LeBeau, Holland & Knight LLP (collectively, the “ESA Representatives”), met with Angela Giancarlo, Chief of Staff and Senior Legal Advisor to Commissioner Robert McDowell.

The ESA presented an overview of its pending waiver petition, which was filed on March 21, 2012.¹ The Petition requests waivers of the rules governing advanced communications services (“ACS”) for three classes of equipment or services, as expressly defined on page 4 of the Petition, that may allow consumers to access and use some ACS, but are designed primarily to enable the playing of or access to video games. The ESA representatives reviewed the ESA’s prior participation in the related rule making proceeding, and explained how each of the proposed classes has a primary purpose of playing, enabling or distributing games.² The ESA representatives explained their understanding of key terms of the Commission order released in October 2011 relating to

¹ See ESA Petition for Waivers of 47 C.F.R. §§ 14.1 *et. seq.*, CG Docket Nos. 10-213 & ____ (filed March 21, 2012) (the “Petition”).

² See ESA Comments, CG Docket Nos. 10-213 & 10-145; WT Docket No. 96-168 (filed Apr. 25, 2011); ESA Reply Comments, CG Docket Nos. 10-213 & 10-145, WT Docket No. 96-168 (filed May 23, 2011).

ACS accessibility, including product lifecycle, and how the Order's obligations apply to ACS features or functions.³

The ESA representatives also addressed a number of other details of its Petition, including the duration of the proposed waivers and scope of the classes for which it is seeking waivers. The ESA representatives highlighted how the proposed classes do not incorporate general-purpose products or services but are limited to game industry products and services, as detailed on pages 18-21, 26-27, and 31-34 of the Petition. The ESA representatives emphasized the benefits of waivers with respect to the many offerings clearly within the proposed classes. In addition, the ESA representatives noted the start date for its proposed waivers, pursuant to pages 22, 27 and 34 of the Petition, and urged that any waiver should be renewable, subject to the circumstances at the time of the renewal request.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission rules, we are electronically filing this letter with your office and are electronically providing a copy of this submission to the meeting attendee. Please let the undersigned know if you have any questions relating to this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bill LeBeau

Bill LeBeau
Holland & Knight LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 100
Washington, DC 20006
202/862-5965

Attorneys for the
Entertainment Software Association

cc: Angela Giancarlo, Chief of Staff and Senior Legal Advisor, Commissioner McDowell
(via electronic mail)

³ *Implementation of Sections 716 and 717 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Enacted by the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010*, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 14557 (2011).