

# St. Lucie County Schools

4204 Okeechobee Road  
Fort Pierce, FL 34947

---

May 14, 2012

Petition for Reconsideration

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
9300 East Hampton Drive  
Capitol Heights, MD 20743

## CC Docket No 02-6

**Petition for Reconsideration** of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Order "Central Technology Center Drumright, Oklahoma, et al.", DA 12-732, May 9, 2012

### Authorized person who can best discuss this Petition with you

Richard Larson  
eRate 360 Solutions, LLC  
322 Route 46W, Suite 280W  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Phone: (888) 535-7771 ext 102  
Fax: (866) 569-3019  
Email: [rlarson@erate360.com](mailto:rlarson@erate360.com)  
(preferred mode of contact)

### Application Information

<u>Entity</u>	St. Lucie County School District
<u>Billed Entity Number</u>	127892
<u>Funding Year</u>	FY11 (2008-2009)
<u>Form 471 Application Number</u>	593337
<u>Funding Request Number</u>	1651705
<u>Total Funding Commitment Request</u>	\$434,199.62
<u>Document to Be Reconsidered</u>	Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Order "Central Technology Center Drumright, Oklahoma, et al.", DA 12-732, May 9, 2012 <sup>1</sup>

### Items to Be Clarified:

Decision on Appeal: Appeals Denied

### Explanation for Denial:

Paragraph 1: ... we ... deny eight requests from petitioners.

Paragraph 2: ... we find that the eight petitioners listed in Appendix D have not demonstrated that good cause exists to justify waivers of the Commission's rules and we otherwise decline to grant these appeals.

### **Petition:**

St. Lucie County School District (St. Lucie CSD) respectfully requests the Commission to provide an explanation for its denial which is specific to St. Lucie CSD's appeal of July 14, 2010. We are unable to file an effective Petition for Reconsideration of so complex an appeal without a clear understanding of the Commission's reasoning and use of precedent without such explanation.

---

<sup>1</sup> DA 12-732, May 9, 2012, "Central Technology Center Drumright, Oklahoma, et al.", File Nos. SLD-785992, et al., Paragraphs 1 & 2 and Appendix D.

2

We understand from NaKisha Woodward of the Wireline Competition Bureau that the 30-day period for filing our full Petition for Reconsideration will be renewed with the Commission's explanation.

St. Lucie CSD appreciates the Commission's consideration of this letter. We are available to respond to questions or to provide any further information requested by the Commission.

**Authorized signature for this Petition<sup>2</sup>**



Richard Larson  
eRate 360 Solutions, LLC  
322 Route 46W, Suite 280W  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Date: 5/14/17

Phone: (888) 535-7771 ext 102

Fax: (866) 569-3019

Email: [rlarson@erate360.com](mailto:rlarson@erate360.com)

(preferred mode of contact)

---

<sup>2</sup> "Letter of Agency" from Terence O'Leary, Assistant Superintendent for ITS, authorizing employees of eRate 360 Solutions, LLC, to perform e-rate services on behalf of St. Lucie CSD.

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of )
Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the )
Universal Service Administrator by )
Central Technology Center ) File Nos. SLD-785992, et al.
Drumright, Oklahoma, et al. )
Schools and Libraries Universal Service ) CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism )

ORDER

Adopted: May 9, 2012

Released: May 9, 2012

By the Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. Consistent with precedent,1 we grant 13 requests and deny eight requests from petitioners2 seeking review of decisions made by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the E-rate program (more formally known as the schools and libraries universal service support program).3 In each decision, USAC found a violation of section 54.503(c)(4) of our rules which requires an E-rate applicant to wait "at least four weeks from the date on which its description of services is posted on [USAC's] website before making commitments with the selected providers of services."4 Based on our review of the record, we find good cause exists to waive section 54.503(c)(4) of the Commission's rules

1 See Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Al-Ihsan Academy, South Ozone Park, New York, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File No. SLD-575979, 582051, 582081, et al., Order, 26 FCC Rcd 16415 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2011) (Al-Ihsan Academy Order) (waiving the Commission's competitive bidding rules for certain petitioners that demonstrated good cause for such a waiver); see also Application for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Aberdeen School District, Aberdeen, WA, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-297249, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 8757 (2007) (Aberdeen School District Order) (denying an appeal for an applicant that requested E-rate program funds without posting an FCC Form 470 for the services); Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Henrico County School District, Richmond, Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, File No. SLD-209204, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 17 FCC Rcd 706 (Com. Car. Bur. 2002) (denying a request for review where applicant relied upon an FCC Form 470 posted in Funding Year 3 in support of its Funding Year 2 service requests).

2 The requests for review and waiver are listed in the appendices.

3 Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

4 47 C.F.R. § 54.503(c)(4). The Commission has interpreted this rule to require an applicant to wait 28 days after the public availability of an RFP as well. Request for Review by Ysleta Independent School District of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, 26424, para. 40 (2003).

for the petitioners listed in Appendix A.<sup>5</sup> We also grant one petitioner listed in Appendix A, Kipp, Inc., a waiver of our 60-day filing deadline for appeals because we find the petitioner submitted its appeal to USAC within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC's adverse decision.<sup>6</sup>

2. We further find that the petitioners listed in Appendices B and C did not violate section 54.403(c) of the Commission's rules. Each of the petitioners listed in Appendix B made ministerial or clerical errors on FCC Form 471, which is the form that requests support for eligible services. The errors that the petitioners listed on Appendix B made on their FCC Form 471s created the mistaken impression that those petitioners had violated section 54.403(c)(4) of the Commission's rules. For the petitioners listed in Appendix B, we also find good cause to waive Section 54.504(a) of the Commission's rules which requires E-rate applicants to submit a completed FCC Form 471 to USAC.<sup>7</sup> As for the petitioners listed in Appendix C, we find USAC erred in finding them in violation of section 54.403(c) for a variety of reasons. USAC incorrectly changed Milton School District's category of service, making it appear that Milton School District violated the 28-day rule. Next, even though the Montgomery Public Schools' RFP was available for less than 28 days, there was no competitive bidding violation because it was selecting a service provider from a multiple award state master contract with contracts that had been competitively bid by the state. We also find Pittsburg Unified School District did not violate our rules because its communications with service providers merely directed potential bidders to already-filed FCC Forms 470. Finally, we find that the eight petitioners listed in Appendix D have not demonstrated that good cause exists to justify waivers of the Commission's rules and we otherwise decline to grant these appeals.

3. At this time, we find no evidence of waste, fraud and abuse in the record with respect to the petitioners for which we grant relief. Additionally, on our own motion, we waive section 54.507(d) of the Commission's rules and any USAC procedural deadline, such as the invoicing deadline, that might be necessary to effectuate our ruling.<sup>8</sup> We find good cause to waive section 54.507(d) because filing an appeal of a denial is likely to cause petitioners to miss the program's subsequent procedural deadlines in that funding year.

4. We therefore remand the underlying applications listed in Appendices A-C to USAC for further action consistent with this order. To ensure that the underlying applications are resolved expeditiously, we direct USAC to complete its review of each application listed in Appendices A-C and issue an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 90 calendar days from the release date of this order. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services or the petitioners' applications. We direct USAC to discontinue recovery actions against those parties listed in Appendix A-C based on our findings in this order.

---

<sup>5</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 54.503(c)(4). See *Aberdeen School District Order*, 22 FCC Rcd at 8763, para. 9 (waiving the Commission's competitive bidding rules for petitioners who, while not waiting the full 28 days before entering into a contract, missed that deadline by a minimal number of days).

<sup>6</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 54.720 (requiring appeal within 60 days of a decision by USAC). See also *Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by ABC Unified School District, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-584091, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 11019, 11019, para. 2 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2011) (granting petitioners waivers of our filing deadline for appeals because they submitted their appeals to the Commission within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC's adverse decision).

<sup>7</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(a). See *Aberdeen School District Order*, 22 FCC Rcd at 8761, paras. 6-7 (waiving our rules when petitioners inserted the wrong contract date, the wrong classification of service, or the wrong FCC Form 470 application number on the FCC Form 471, thus making it appear that the applicants violated the 28-day rule).

<sup>8</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 54.507(d) (requiring non-recurring services to be implemented by September 30 following the close of the funding year).

5. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review or requests for waiver filed by the petitioners listed in appendices A, B and C ARE GRANTED and their underlying applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration in accordance with the terms of this order.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that sections 54.503(c)(4), 54.504(a) and 54.507(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.503(b)(4), 54.504(a) and 54.507(d), ARE WAIVED for the petitioners listed in Appendices A-C to the limited extent provided herein.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review or requests for waiver filed by the petitioners listed in Appendix D ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Trent B. Harkrader  
Chief  
Telecommunications Access Policy Division  
Wireline Competition Bureau

**APPENDIX A  
Waiver of Section 54.503(c)(4) Granted**

<b>Petitioner</b>	<b>Application Number(s)</b>	<b>Funding Year</b>	<b>Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed</b>
Central Technology Center Drumright, OK	785992	2011	Nov. 14, 2011
Hempfield Area School District Greensburg, SC	750235	2010	June 24, 2011
KIPP Inc. Houston, TX	433110	2004	May 16, 2011, June 15, 2011
Minneapolis Public Schools Minneapolis, MN	517640	2006	Nov. 7, 2011
Northside School Whitestone, NY	820279	2011	Jan. 6, 2012
Oregon School District Oregon, WI	664675, 602196	2009	Oct. 14, 2009
Ossining Union Free School District Ossining, NY	486474	2005	Sept. 29, 2005

**APPENDIX B  
Waiver of Section 54.504(a) Granted**

<b>Petitioner</b>	<b>Application Number(s)</b>	<b>Funding Year</b>	<b>Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed</b>
Clinton-Glen Gardner School District Clinton, NJ	80327	2011	June 28, 2011
West Fargo Public School District West Fargo, ND	782828	2011	Feb. 1, 2012
Wisconsin Cooperative Educational Service Agency #11 Turtle Lake, WI	552615	2007	Oct. 16, 2007

**APPENDIX C  
Appeals Granted**

<b>Petitioner</b>	<b>Application Number(s)</b>	<b>Funding Year</b>	<b>Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed</b>
Milton School District Milton, WI	756126	2010	Jan. 30, 2012
Montgomery Public Schools Montgomery, AL	592679	2008	June 20, 2009
Pittsburg Unified School District Pittsburg, CA	696082	2009	Aug. 12, 2011

**APPENDIX D  
Appeals Denied**

<b>Petitioner</b>	<b>Application Number(s)</b>	<b>Funding Year</b>	<b>Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed</b>
Chicago Public Schools Chicago, IL 60603	819303	2011	March 13, 2012
Cobleigh Public Library Lyndonville, VT	391909	2004	Dec. 13, 2006
Martin County Public Library Inez, KY	791413	2011	August 11, 2011
Parkers Chapel School District El Dorado, AR	758096	2010	June 17, 2011
Sandhill Regional Library System Rockingham, NC	559697, 596639	2007, 2008	March 21, 2011
San Juan BOCES Durango, CO	689955	2009	Dec. 18, 2009
St. Lucie County School District Fort Pierce, FL	593337	2008	July 14, 2010
Williamsburg County School District Kingstree, SC	449180	2005	Nov. 6, 2006

# Letter of Agency

**St. Lucie County School District**  
**Billed Entity Number: 127892**

**Letter of Agency For FY 6 (2003 - 2004); FY 7 (2004 - 2005); FY 8 (2005 - 2006); FY 9 (2006 - 2007); FY 10 (2007 - 2008); FY 11 (2008 - 2009); FY 12 (2009 - 2010); FY 13 (2010 - 2011)**


I hereby authorize eRate 360 Solutions, LLC and its employees: Keith C. Oakley, Steve Tenzer, Rich Larson, Carlos Alvarez, Matt Hetman, Fred Josephs, Bert Garofano, and Al Arauz to submit FCC Form 470, FCC Form 471, and other E-rate forms, and to submit various change applications such as SPIN changes and service substitutions, to the Schools and Library Division of the Universal Service Administrative Company on behalf of **St. Lucie County School District** for all eligible services outlined in the most current "Eligible Services List" published by USAC. I understand that, in submitting these forms on our behalf, you are making certifications for **St. Lucie County School District**. By signing this Letter of Agency, I make the following certifications

- (a) I certify that **schools in our district** are all schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. §§ 7801(18) and (38), that do not operate as for-profit businesses and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million.
- (b) I certify that our **school district** has secured access, separately or through this program, to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, internal connections, maintenance, and electrical capacity, necessary to use the services purchased effectively. I recognize that some of the aforementioned resources are not eligible for support. I certify that to the extent that the Billed Entity is passing through the non-discounted charges for the services requested under this Letter of Agency, that the entities I represent have secured access to all of the resources to pay the non-discounted charges for eligible services from funds to which access has been secured in the current funding year.
- (c) I certify that our **school district** is covered by a technology plan(s) that is written, that covers all 12 months of the funding year, and that has been or will be approved by a state or other authorized body, or an SLD-certified technology plan approver, prior to the commencement of service. The plan(s) is written at the following level(s):
  - an individual technology plan for using the services requested in this application; and/or
  - higher-level technology plan(s) for using the services requested in this application; or
  - no technology plan needed; applying for basic local, cellular, PCS, and/or long distance telephone service and/or voice mail only.
- (d) I certify that the services the district purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. § 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value, except as permitted by the rules of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) at 47 C.F.R. § 54.500(et seq.).
- (e) I certify that our **school district** has complied with all program rules and I acknowledge that failure to do so may result in denial of discount funding and/or cancellation of funding commitments. I acknowledge that failure to comply with program rules could result in civil or criminal prosecution by the appropriate law enforcement authorities.
- (f) I acknowledge that the discount level used for shared services is conditional, for future years, upon ensuring that the most disadvantaged schools and libraries that are treated as sharing in the service, receive an appropriate share of benefits from those services.

- (g) I certify that I will retain required documents for a period of at least five years after the last day of service delivered. I certify that I will retain all documents necessary to demonstrate compliance with the statute and Commission rules regarding the application for, receipt of, and delivery of services receiving schools and libraries discounts, and that if audited, I will make such records available to the Administrator. I acknowledge that I may be audited pursuant to participation in the schools and libraries program.
- (h) I certify that I am authorized to order telecommunications and other supported services for the eligible entity(ies) covered by this Letter of Agency. I certify that I am authorized to make this request on behalf of the eligible entity(ies) covered by this Letter of Agency, that I have examined this Letter, that all of the information on this Letter is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that the entities that will be receiving discounted services under this Letter pursuant to this application have complied with the terms, conditions and purposes of the program, that no kickbacks were paid to anyone and that false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and civil violations of the False Claims Act.
- (i) I acknowledge that FCC rules provide that persons who have been convicted of criminal violations or held civilly liable for certain acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism are subject to suspension and debarment from the program. I will institute reasonable measures to be informed, and will notify USAC should I be informed or become aware that I or any of the entities, or any person associated in any way with my entity and/or the entities, is convicted of a criminal violation or held civilly liable for acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism.
- (j) I certify, on behalf of the entities covered by this Letter of Agency, that any funding requests for internal connections services, except basic maintenance services, applied for in the resulting FCC Form 471 application are not in violation of the Commission requirement that eligible entities are not eligible for such support more than twice every five funding years beginning with Funding Year 2005 as required by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.506(c).
- (k) I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the non-discount portion of the costs for eligible services will not be paid by the service provider. I acknowledge that the provision, by the provider of a supported service, of free services or products unrelated to the supported service or product constitutes a rebate of some or all of the cost of the supported services.
- (l) I certify that I am authorized to sign this Letter of Agency and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all information provided to eRate 360 Solutions, LLC for E-rate submission is true.

District: St. Lucie County School District

Date: 3/19/10

Signature: 

Printed Name: Terence O'Leary

Title: Assistant Superintendent for ITS