



June 14, 2012

*Via Electronic Filing*

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Ex Parte Notice*  
*Special Access for Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers; AT&T Corp. Petition for Rulemaking to Reform Regulation of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Rates for Interstate Special Access Services, WC Docket No. 05-25; RM-10593*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 12, 2012, Charles McKee and Chris Frentrup of Sprint Nextel Corporation (“Sprint”) and I met with Commissioner Clyburn, Angela Kronenberg, the Commissioner’s Wireline Legal Advisor, and Keia Johnson, the Commissioner’s intern. Sprint explained that: (1) the current pricing flexibility triggers have never measured last-mile competition effectively; and (2) suspending any new grants of pricing flexibility until the Commission establishes a new, more effective, framework for evaluating competition for channel termination services would be a positive first step toward special access reform.<sup>1</sup>

Sprint also discussed its Network Vision initiative and explained that notwithstanding the initiative lower-capacity services, such as DS1 and DS3 level services, will continue to be critical to Sprint’s wireline and wireless operations. Sprint noted that incumbent local exchange carriers (“LECs”) continue to dominate the marketplace for DS1 and DS3 services and have taken advantage of pricing flexibility to raise their rates for those services, without any apparent concern that their unilateral price increases would cause the incumbent LECs to lose customers to competitors.<sup>2</sup> In short, there are very few competitive alternatives for lower-capacity channel termination services, and the current pricing flexibility triggers are defective because they erroneously predict the existence of competitive options that have not materialized.

Finally, Sprint pointed out that the Commission has already assembled an extensive record demonstrating the lack of competition in the special access marketplace. Nonetheless, Sprint expressed its willingness to provide any additional data that the Commission feels it needs

---

<sup>1</sup> See letter from R. Paul Margie, Wiltshire & Grannis, Counsel to Sprint, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 05-25 (May 23, 2012) (explaining that the current pricing flexibility triggers do not provide a reliable assessment of competition and urging the Commission to repeal the triggers and to reject pending and future pricing flexibility petitions that rely on the current triggers).

<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., *id.* at 2 (explaining that the special access marketplace remains highly concentrated and discussing recent price increases by the incumbent LECs).

to collect before reforming its special access regulations. However, it is clear that additional evidence is not needed for the Commission to conclude that the current pricing flexibility triggers are should be suspended while the Commission develops a new pricing flexibility framework.

Pursuant to the Commission's rules, this letter is being submitted for inclusion in the public record of the above-referenced proceedings.

Sincerely,

/s/

Christopher J. Wright

cc: Angela Kronenberg  
Keia Johnson