

Anthony D. White

From: Anthony D. White <awhite@e-ratecentral.com>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2012 10:27 AM
To: mark.nadel@fcc.gov
Subject: Albany
Attachments: Tech Valley Invoices.pdf; Albany Invoices.pdf; Funding History.pdf; Spreadhseet.pdf
Importance: High

(If you could reply and state you received this email I would appreciate it)

Good Morning,

- The first attachment represents the tech valley invoices which they keep for their records. The second attachment are invoices mailed to Albany. The attachment titled – Spreadsheet, totals the invoices I supplied for six months leading up to filing of the Form 471 for FY 2011. Please note Tech Valley changed to this billing method at the start of the funding year. As you will also see there are two account numbers. ***There is only one bill mailed to the district.*** This is what caused the confusion while filing the Form 471.
- If you look at the spreadsheet you will see invoices that varied just a touch month to month. When a circuit is added or removed it causes the fluctuation of cost. I also attached the funding history from FY 2009 and FY 2010. You will see the average monthly cost between the two funding years sitting at \$7,425.
- When completing the Form 471 for FY 2011 the district requested \$1,400 month. Basically \$300 over the average of the previous six months. This provides the district coverage if they add circuits over the course of the next funding year. When filing the Form 471 the district in the past six to eight months never received the invoice for account number 9525703. Thus, creating a scenario where the district would obviously make a clerical/ministerial error when filing for FY 2011.
- When forecasting cost a year and half out when filing the Form 471, the district would always look at the two previous years and taking into account what is being billed presently.
- The Albany City School District clearly made a clerical error when filing their Form 471. When taking all the information presented in this email into account it is clear that they should have requested at the very least \$7,300 - \$7,400. Please note for FY 2012 the district requested \$7,400/month.

We appreciate so much the FCC taking our position into consideration. With the district's budget wrapped up for FY 2011, it would be nearly impossible at this point for Albany to come up with almost \$72,000. We also emphasis that the district has not committed any waste, fraud, or abuse with the associated funding requests for FY 2011.

Mark, thank you so much and enjoy the weekend.

Anthony White Jr.

E-Rate Central
400 Post Avenue
Suite 410
Westbury, NY 11590
Phone) 516-801-7804
Fax) 516-801-7814
web) www.e-ratecentral.com

Anthony D. White

From: Anthony D. White <awhite@e-ratecentral.com>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2012 10:30 AM
To: mark.nadel@fcc.gov
Subject: One more Item

Sorry Mark,

I just wanted to point out the reason by a \$300 increase. If you look at the circuit cost each circuit is \$300 - \$350/month. Thank you

Anthony White Jr.

E-Rate Central

400 Post Avenue
Suite 410
Westbury, NY 11590
Phone) 516-801-7804
Fax) 516-801-7814
web) www.e-ratecentral.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information or otherwise be protected by law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.*