

LAW OFFICES  
**GOLDBERG, GODLES, WIENER & WRIGHT**  
1229 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

HENRY GOLDBERG  
JOSEPH A. GODLES  
JONATHAN L. WIENER  
DEVENDRA ("DAVE") KUMAR  
LAURA A. STEFANI

(202) 429-4900  
TELECOPIER:  
(202) 429-4912  
[general@g2w2.com](mailto:general@g2w2.com)

HENRIETTA WRIGHT  
THOMAS G. GHERARDI, P.C.  
COUNSEL

THOMAS S. TYCZ\*  
SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR  
\*NOT AN ATTORNEY

July 30, 2012

**ELECTRONIC FILING**

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: *Ex Parte*, WT Docket No. 10-4**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This letter follows up the recent *ex parte* filing by Wireless Extenders, Inc. ("Wi-Ex") on July 18, 2012. In that filing, Wi-Ex discussed several concerns it had with the proposed consumer booster rules submitted in the above-captioned docket by Nextivity, T-Mobile, V-COMM, Verizon Wireless, and Wilson Electronics ("Joint Proposal").<sup>1</sup> In particular, Wi-Ex discussed its concerns with a possible booster registration proposal, the proposed requirement for warning labels, and with some of the proposed technical safe harbor protection standard requirements. Wi-Ex also strongly opposed a scenario, advanced by AT&T, under which consumer signal boosters that meet the requirements set forth in any eventual technical safeguards established in this proceeding nevertheless have to be approved or certified on a carrier-by-carrier basis.

Since the July 18, 2012 filing, Wi-Ex has had conversations with some of the parties to the Joint Proposal to better understand some of the proposed requirements

---

<sup>1</sup> *Ex Parte* Filing by Nextivity, Inc. et al., WT Docket No. 10-4 (June 8, 2012) ("Joint Proposal").

and the proposed technical safe harbor protection standard requirements. While Wi-Ex continues to have some concerns with a few of the specific proposed technical requirements, and continues to oppose the proposed registration requirement that failed to achieve consensus among the parties to the Joint Proposal, Wi-Ex would like to make clear that it supports the Joint Proposal (except for the Registration requirement) and urges the Commission to adopt rules in accordance with the Joint Proposal.

Finally, Wi-Ex continues to oppose the carrier-by-carrier approval process envisioned by AT&T and joins the numerous parties supporting a blanket licensing process under which all consumer boosters meet the safe harbor standards established pursuant to this proceeding.<sup>2</sup> As Wi-Ex has explained earlier, and as other parties have explained, a carrier-specific approval process will prevent the growth of a market for consumer-oriented signal boosters.<sup>3</sup>

Please direct any questions regarding this matter to the undersigned.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "T. Devendra Kumar". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underlining the name.

Devendra T. Kumar  
*Attorney for Wireless Extenders, Inc.*

cc: Roger Noel  
Joyce Jones  
Becky Schwartz  
Moslem Sawez  
Tom Derenge  
Ira Keltz  
Bruce Romano  
Rashmi Doshi

---

<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., Ex Parte Filing by Nextivity, Inc. T-Mobile, USA, Inc., V-COMM, L.L.C., Verizon Wireless, and Wilson Electronics, WT Docket No. 10-4 (June 8, 2012); Ex Parte Filing of Wilson Electronics (July 13, 2012); Ex Parte Filing of Public Interest Spectrum Coalition at 3-4 (July 19, 2012); Ex Parte Filing of Cellphone-Mate, Inc. (July 19, 2012); Ex Parte Filing of Public Interest Spectrum Coalition at 3-4 (July 19, 2012); Ex Parte Filing of Sprint Nextel Corporation (July 27, 2012).

<sup>3</sup> Ex Parte Filing by Wi-Ex, WT Docket No. 10-4, at 2-3 (July 18, 2012).