

There was the recent presidential election, and the president was re-elected. The coverage, notably by television news operations, was lacking. There was content that would indicate those persons in those outlets were interested to create the outcome.

That coverage, where in MO, there was the extensive coverage of the republican, and the conspicuous silence on the incumbent and theirs. It included the prospering under the president's stimulus plan, sexual harassment lawsuit, using government facilities for personal ends, and how much more?

There was the national media outlet, 3 networks, where persons associated had access, and there was the conspicuous absence of questions/actions to fully inform the public, where choices could thereafter be made.

Their asking the president's favorite color and favorite whatever team, where it was left to UNIVISION to actually make probing inquiries, which in itself became a story for the news outlets.

The killing of 4 americans in Libya and the rebuttable malfeasance of elected and appointed persons in government to take steps calculated for their safety and welfare was all but relegated to cable. As I understand it, you have no authority there, leaving the message controlled by the president's campaign.

There was the report AFTER the election that Iranian planes shot at an unarmed drone. It is to be determined if those outlets you have authority over knew, or not, of that prior to November 6 and failed/declined to report that.

AFTER the election, that report was aired and the dod released information related to the death of the 4 americans and the timeline.

You have no authority with regard to the actions of campaigns and what they do or not, BUT you do have, as I understand it,

the authority and responsibility to oversee the PUBLIC use of airwaves. That includes giving the PUBLIC the information, and wouldn't holding back and/or not reporting that be a violation?

Those stations and organizations which was granted the privilege and use of the PUBLIC airwaves are not subject to having their RIGHT to use those airwaves stopped? Let there be administrative hearings, and with confirmation strip the license holders of the privilege.

I am unable to say if their use of the airwaves would have have changed the outcome, but we will never know the degree of harm, to be determined. Their obligations are stated and in the absence of their compliance, what happens to them ? Anything, or is there a redefinition of issues to find harmless error, as has happened at doj?