

I don't support CSDVRS/ZVRS's video application proposal because my preferred VRS provider(s) would be ceased to serve Deaf/HH consumers. In my honest opinion, ZVRS wants to be only ONE VRS provider to provide a video application to all Deaf/HH consumers which I disagree with. Choosing a preferred VRS provider is Deaf/HH consumer's choices.

Interoperability is very important to all consumers because some VRS providers create their own system or perhaps they simply don't follow the standards in order to make video more interoperability.

I am also concerned about possibly a 3rd party would develop a video application and some important features such as e911, call blocking, etc would be eliminated. Some important features must be stay within VRS provider's video applications and devices.

I think e911 application applies to both work and home VRS device is an excellent idea due to the safety reasons such as fire, flood, or someone in danger.

FCC, please consider what I am trying to express my concerns about the public notice. Thank you.