



Sprint Nextel
Suite 700
900 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Office: (703) 592-5112
Fax: (202) 585-1940

Michael B. Fingerhut
Senior Counsel
Government Affairs
michael.b.fingerhut@sprint.com

November 16, 2012

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW, Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, CG Docket No. 03-123

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Sprint hereby withdraws its July 31, 2006 Petition seeking Limited Reconsideration of the Order (DA 06-1345), 21 FCC Rcd 7018, released June 29, 2006 by the Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau (“CGB”) as well as its Petition seeking Limited Reconsideration of the Order, (DA 07-2904), 22 FCC Rcd 11706, released June 29, 2007 by CGB in the above-referenced docket. In its petitions Sprint explained that CGB’s decision to accept the TRS Fund Administrator’s recommendation to disallow certain Sprint costs in setting the rate for traditional TRS for the 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 Fund years was without justification and should be reversed. Given the fact in the intervening years the FCC has adopted a new market-based methodology for setting the rates for traditional TRS and no longer relies on cost-submissions by the providers of TRS, a decision addressing the merits of Sprint’s arguments would have little, if any, precedential value. Thus Sprint believes that there is no need to commit the Commission’s limited resources to deciding Sprint’s petitions.¹

If you need more information, please contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

cc: Karen Peltz-Strauss (by email: Karen.Strauss@fcc.gov)

¹ Sprint believes that a formal motion seeking to withdraw these petitions is not required. If Sprint is mistaken in this regard, please consider this letter as a motion.