

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,



Name:

LYNDEL (LYN) SLOTNICK

Title:

RETIREE - Resident

Address:

2428 E. APACHE BLVD #309 TEMPE, AZ 85281

Telephone Number:

623-208-4263

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Irene M Harvin*

Title: *Retiree - Resident*

Address: *9428 E Apache Blvd #103
Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *520-445-8069*

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List: ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
NOV 28 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *PATRICIA BERNACKI*

Title: *RESIDENT*

Address: *2428 E. APACHE BLVD, # 308, TEMPE, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *623-207-8155*

No. of Copies rec'd. 0
List ABCDE

(LOOK OVER) →

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Arthur Habel*

Title: *RETIRED - Resident*

Address: *2428 E ARCHE BLVD TEMPE AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *602-903-6834*

No. of Signatures 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
NOV 28 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Sherman E. Horowitz

Name:

Retired - Resident

Title:

2434 E. Apache Blvd Apt 212 - Tempe, AZ 85281

Address:

Telephone Number:

480-347-9243

866-929-6396 (VRS)

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Raymond Dean Anderson*
Title: *Resident*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd #325 Tempe AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *480-347-9131*

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Vickey L. Svedin*

Title: *Resident*

Address: *2428 East Apache Blvd #318
Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number:
480-646-3566

By _____
List ABOVE



Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.


I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,



Name: Joyce STARN

Title: Resident

Address: 2824E Apache Blvd - #411

Tempe, AZ 85281

Telephone Number:

480-287-1737

Number of copies made 0
Date 11/28/12

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, *Howard Sturm*

Name: HOWARD STURM

Title: RESIDENT

Address: 2429 E. APACHE BLVD Tempe, AZ 85281 APT. 411

Telephone Number: VP (623) 207-8129

No. of Copies Held _____
Lib: ABCDE

0

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Tina S. Jodd*

Title: *RESIDENT*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd #417*
Tempe, AZ 85281

Telephone Number:

480-525-8832

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABOVE _____

0

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, *Carlos Ocasio*

Name: *Carlos Ocasio*

Title: *SSI - Resident*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd Apt-306*

Telephone Number: *(480) 347-9447 Tempe AZ 85281-5189*

Number of copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, SIGN

Name: Chris Martin

Title: RESIDENT

Address: ~~477~~ 242815 APACHE BLVD # 913

Telephone Number: 602-799-0657

No. of Copies rec'd 0
LIC/ASCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,



Name: MARY LOU FLESCHE

Title: Resident

Address: 2428 E. Apache Blvd. Apt. 303

Telephone Number: (480) 347-9036

No. of Copies rec'd 0
LBI/ASCE

Hey! Shame On You!! Hearing People has their own
Skype can talk + see other persons. What about
Deaf can keep use video phone can sign + see other
Reasons too. We are need video phone Badly

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

STEVEN DODSON

Name: *STEVEN DODSON*

Title: *Retired - Resident*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd Apt 303*

Telephone Number:

480-535-8154

NOV 28 2012
FCC MAIL ROOM

As we deaf need VP & smart phone that has video phone using 911 as emergency situations to understand clearly just like handicap people know what to do, in matter life & death! Please keep VP services going like

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
NOV 28 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Gavino G. Linares

Name: *Gavino G. Linares*

Title: *Resident - Retired*

Address: *2428 East Apache Blvd. #218 Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *623 208-4235 V.P.*

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
NOV 28 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, *Bernice A. Harmon*

Name: *Bernice A. Harmon*

Title: *Retire - Resident*

Address: *2428 E Apache Blvd. #425 Tempe AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *623-208-4217*

No. of Copies Rec'd 0
Lit. ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Smith

Name: *KATHLEEN A. SMITH*

Title: *Retired - Resident*

Address: *2428 E Apache Bl. #204
Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *480-559-9048*

I was surprised that FCC wants all VRS removed on videophones, but it's not fair. I need VRS Relay for my daughter, a doctor etc. I'm worried -

140... Copies rec'd
List ABCDE 1

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is considering making changes to the VRS program that would reduce the quality of, and access to, a service that is crucial for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. I hope that you will oppose these changes. I have submitted comments to the FCC and wanted to share them with you.

I am very concerned about the recent proposals to change the way VRS works.

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,



Name: ROBERT M SVEDIN

Title: Resident

Address: 2428 E. APACHE BLVD, TEMPE, AZ 85281

Telephone Number:

480 - 646 - 3566

Use rec'd 0
DATE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained. THANKS & PEACE!

Sincerely,

Name: LINDA HANCOCK

Title: RETIRED - Resident

Address: 2428 E. APACHE BLVD, APT 321, TEMPE, AZ 85281

Telephone Number: 480-264-0819

copies rec'd
LIC: AGODE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.


I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,



Name:

Mark Lowenstein

Title:

VRS / FCC - Pastor

Address:

5225 E Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85310

Telephone Number:

480 264 0162

No. of Copies rec'd. 0
Date: _____

It's wonderful to have full accessibility through 911 services. During my childhood years in 80's, TTY was the only means of communication and 911 cannot be dialed, only local #'s emergency.

see back →

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Katie Andrews*

Title: *Resident*

Address: *2428 Apache Blvd #108 Tempe Az 85281*

Telephone Number:

V.P. - (480) 428-4878

no. of copies rec'd 0
LIC. AGODE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Patricia L. Coupland*

Title: *S.S. / - Resident*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd # 416
Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number:

623-208-4800

No. of copies rec'd 0
LIC. ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: *Kinda & Kenneth Weaver*

Title: *Retired - President*

Address: *2428 E. Apache Blvd #101 Tempe, AZ 85281*

Telephone Number: *602-714-3763*

No. of copies read 0
DATE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: JEFF/DOUG WEEKLY

Title: VP/P3 - Resident

Address: 2428 E. APACHE BLVD #217, Tempe AZ 85281

Telephone Number: (480) 559-9448

Number of copies rec'd 0
Lit. ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

NOV 28 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) assured deaf people access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want options to choose products designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times and unreliable service. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Catherine Ann Phipps

Title: Resident

Address: 2428 E. Apache Bl. #207 Tempe, Az. 85281

Telephone Number: 661,952-7726

No. of Copies rec'd 0
LMA ABCDE

Secondly, hearing siblings whom have not heard from each other for years are thrilled w/ tears to "hear" their voices. In same way, deaf sister whom have not "seen" each other for over a year, after installation of videophone can finally see each other and both sisters were literally crying with joy. This is the joy of providing VRS services to the deaf and hard-of-hearing community!

Save the VRS!

Thank you.

Hank
(Deaf)

I, ~ DEAF, VIDEO PHONE IS
THE ONE IMPORTANT TO US. WE
CAN CALL FAMILY OFTEN TALK TO
INTERVIEWING. VIDEO PHONE IS
THE ONE ALL DEAF NEEDS. WE
LOVE TO HAVE YOU TO BE
-CONT- IN OUR FUTURE NEEDS.
WE APPRECIATED YOU TO HAVE
-CONT- IN OUR DEAF NEED
IN OUR SERVICES. AND OUR
911 emergency / SIM -
MARIL SULL

How do they think we can call
our Doctors? Or ^{when} we need 911 calls.
Please see that they don't take
our VRS. It is so important for all of us
deaf people ^{to have VRS.} If hearing people have the
the deserve to have access to same
products + ~~services~~ ^{services}, why are we different.
We hear through our eyes, therefore we
need the VRS.

Thank you for your
Kindness
God Bless

I ^{still} want to keep (V.P) videophone as my life because our
grown up kids (children) communicate between parent (deaf
and hearing children) Also we still keep touch + talk with
Doctors and specialistic (hearing) Also important call
to 911 Emergency call. V.P. is very useful for
deaf + ~~hearing~~ hard hearing as real ~~life~~
life

always as of today and in the future without cuts,
reduced services. Thanks.

50

① My grown children & my grandchildren lives in New York. They can't afford to fly here to see me here in ARIZONA. I can't afford to fly there cuz of low income. That's why I need Videophone to talk with them during the holidays. If without videophone, I don't know what to do without seeing my children & grandchildren. Hope FCC will have soft heart to let us all have videophone for good.

② ALL hearing people use Telephone or cellphone.
ALL BLIND people use WALKING CANE & Braille to read books, etc.
ALL DEAF people use videophone & cellphone with videophone init. They can't hear but only see it. THAT'S why we ALL need to have videophone for our communications with Friends & Family, AND Also depend on "911" calls.

I'm Deaf Person

I Love up much please

Save VRS & VP very Important, 911 Emergency.

future keep ~~the~~ videophone & Video Relay Service

~~stay~~ stay up, and Concert to my son & Family

friends. cherish keep still videophones & Video Relay Service future

thanks,
Vickey Sweden

use for 911, call family and others and use
for emergency! Stop cutting on us!

MLF

I'm Deaf Raymond Dean Anderson

I love it Vedio phone & iPad 2

I love it Email & facebook

any travel around into Arizona

I can keep it Vedio phone stay & life

I can keep it my's family's & friends in

Iowa, Iowa, Texas, Neb, Calif, South Car

Cherish all my family's & friends' & ~~Just~~ Just call save

VP for Emergency 911

Hi

I really need VP cause how emergency 911
cruel doctor, Bank & family & relatives
also very important everything the futures.
help us share hearing people provide VRS,

Thank you

Catherine Ann Phipps

Please don't turn off everything it is very
important thank you - 