

1 gentlemen next to you, because he's nodding in
2 affirmative, so --

3 MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your
4 Honor, I'm John Reardon.

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you, Mr.
6 Reardon. I recognize you but I didn't have
7 the name. Now, backing up to where we were,
8 based on the last definitive definition that
9 Mr. Keller gave, are you satisfied with that?

10 MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor.

11 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now, I
12 would just ask you, what about his later, I'm
13 not even going to call it a qualification, but
14 his later characterization?

15 MS. KANE: We have always
16 understood, Your Honor, that they were willing
17 to stipulate that as to those licenses for
18 which there were no lessees, and we've always
19 made that distinction, that service to end
20 user customers had stopped as of December
21 31st, 2007. And if that is what they are
22 willing to stipulate to based on their

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 previous statements, then we would be
2 satisfied.

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I heard that
4 loud and clear. What about what Mr. Keller
5 said after that? He said you were going to
6 raise a legal argument, he thought you would
7 be raising a legal argument that would go
8 beyond that somehow. I don't want to try and
9 repeat it because I don't want to muddle it
10 up.

11 MS. KANE: I'm not sure that I
12 understood that, other than to be Mr. Keller's
13 speculation, as to what may or may not
14 constitute service. But I think that's more
15 of an issue for when we talk about the
16 glossary.

17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Also, fair enough.
18 Let's leave it here then, let's leave it on
19 the table, okay? But please, while it's fresh
20 in everybody's mind, please get it in writing
21 and get it filed and get it into everybody.
22 Okay, so then that will take care of the first

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 item of business. Oh, no, that doesn't. What
2 about the state of the record. If you have
3 that stipulation, does it make any difference,
4 the trouble that, what you say is the
5 confusion that you had with these dates at
6 depositions or after depositions? In other
7 words, would we have to extend discovery in
8 any way?

9 MS. KANE: Well, I think we, right
10 now, because there was this dispute, and also
11 because of the hurricane that occurred, we
12 were not able to take the depositions of Mr.
13 and Mrs. DePriest, which had been scheduled
14 for the week after the hurricane. So those
15 two depositions are still outstanding, and we
16 would need additional time, which is something
17 I think we also raised in the request for the
18 prehearing conference, to proceed with those
19 depositions and also to build in any sort of
20 time that might be necessary after those
21 depositions, to take additional written
22 discovery. At least after the last two

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 depositions, there's been questions that have
2 been raised that necessitated written
3 discovery.

4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that can be
5 interrogatories.

6 MS. KANE: Right, exactly, written
7 discovery --

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: We'd be pressed to
9 admit.

10 MS. KANE: Interrogatories or
11 document requests, things that have arisen
12 from the deposition. So we wanted to build in
13 some additional time after the date of the
14 depositions. Mr. Keller has not provided us
15 with dates. He understood our statement in
16 the prehearing conference request to say that
17 he didn't need to at this point since we
18 weren't going to proceed until we had the
19 first issue resolved.

20 But there is also another issue
21 that was raised in conversations with Mr.
22 Keller that we thought you should be aware of,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Your Honor, and this has come up in other
2 contexts as well. We have a protective order
3 in place in this case as you recall, and all
4 parties, including the SkyTel Entities and Mr.
5 Havens signed onto that protective order.
6 There's been, probably I think we're up to
7 three FOIA requests from Mr. Havens
8 personally, seeking the information that's
9 been designated confidential or highly
10 confidential under the protective order in
11 this case, under a FOIA.

12 Now Mr. Havens personally, under
13 the protective order, is not permitted to see
14 that information. And that includes
15 depositions and documents that other Maritime
16 and third parties have produced. The concern
17 that Mr. Keller raised with me is that the
18 DePriest depositions, like some of the other
19 ones we've taken, may in part, be designated
20 confidential or highly confidential. And
21 they're concerned about proceeding with those
22 depositions if Mr. Havens is simply going to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 try to do an end run around the protective
2 order and seek that same protected information
3 under a FOIA request.

4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm shocked
5 to hear that you would think anybody would
6 make an end run in this case. Mr. Havens, let
7 me say it this way, that's not for you to
8 worry about right now, okay? FOIA requests
9 are being handled by the General Counsel's
10 Office. And they have their own section up
11 there that does FOIA requests. I don't have
12 a FOIA section in my office, so that's their
13 job. So let that run its course, okay?

14 Now we have a development in this
15 case which is, I mean, this is like manna from
16 heaven. And we now have an attorney
17 representing Mr. Havens and the SkyTel
18 Entities. So that will eliminate that problem
19 completely. He'll sign on for the protective
20 order, he's signing on to he's going to enter
21 his notice of appearance. He's a qualified
22 attorney, licensed in two jurisdictions, so

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we're back to where, you know, we're back to
2 normal.

3 MR. KELLER: Yes, Your Honor --

4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sir?

5 MR. KELLER: I'm sorry, I don't
6 want to cut you off but --

7 MS. KANE: Your Honor, I think
8 that, I'm not sure that that does resolve the
9 issue if Mr. Havens is simply going to have
10 his attorney get the information for the
11 SkyTel Entities, and then seek a FOIA request,
12 you know, Mr. Keller has raised concerns and
13 other parties in this case have raised
14 concerns about their willingness to cooperate
15 in discovery. And obviously Mr. Keller's
16 concerned about putting, you know, Mr. and
17 Mrs. DePriest, the principals of the very
18 company that's at issue here, make them
19 available for deposition, depriving the Bureau
20 of information that we are certainly entitled
21 to if Mr. Havens is just going to get the
22 information through a FOIA request.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: How do you know
2 he's going to get it?

3 MS. KANE: Well I don't know that
4 he is, Your Honor, but there's already been
5 one FOIA granted and, you know, that wasn't
6 confidential information. I don't know what
7 the Office of General Counsel's going to do
8 but, you know, this is raising a flag for us
9 where other parties have raised concerns with
10 us if we were to seek additional discovery
11 from them. And now Mr. Keller's, you know,
12 informed us that he's reluctant to put the
13 DePriests on for deposition.

14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you don't
15 think that the Bureau knows, I mean that the
16 General Counsel's Office knows about their
17 being a protective order in this matter?

18 MS. KANE: We have informed them,
19 Your Honor.

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I think
21 they're capable of doing their job the right
22 way. And Mr. Havens has made his request, but

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it doesn't mean he's going to see anything
2 that's confidential, no matter which way you
3 cut it. He's at the deposition, he's going to
4 be at depositions with counsel. Counsel is
5 going to be subject to that protective order.
6 So Mr. Havens is now back in the box the same
7 way any other party is.

8 MR. KELLER: Your Honor?

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes Mr. --

10 MR. KELLER: I just want to be
11 heard on this because first of all, I don't
12 necessarily agree that having an attorney
13 resolves the problem. Having an attorney
14 obviously means the attorney is subject to the
15 protective order, et cetera, but that doesn't
16 stop Mr. Havens from separately going to
17 request a FOIA for those documents. The last
18 FOIA request he filed, and it wasn't just this
19 Maritime, it was as to Maritime, it was as to
20 Pinnacle Wireless, and one other party. I
21 can't remember whether it was Duquesne or
22 Puget Sound, but at any rate, he requested all

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 documents submitted to the Bureau under a seal
2 of confidentiality or highly confidential.

3 And the protective order has a
4 procedure built into it that contemplates how
5 parties will go about challenging confidential
6 designation for Your Honor, and then you'll
7 look at them and you'll decide either they are
8 or they aren't. This, as I say, is an end
9 around that.

10 The previous FOIA request that Ms.
11 Havens referred to, she's correct. Those
12 documents were not under confidentiality, but
13 this was the infamous twelve boxes. We had
14 not requested confidentiality on those, but
15 Your Honor had directed that they could be
16 produced to Mr. Havens if he paid half of our
17 printing costs. He refused to do that and
18 instead sought them under FOIA.

19 So at any rate, simply having an
20 attorney who is subject to the protective
21 order, doesn't stop Mr. Havens individually
22 from seeking FOIA. And he's filed other FOIA

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 requests while at least some of his entities
2 were also represented by counsel. So that's
3 still a concern that we have that we have no,
4 now I have another concern, however --

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well wait a minute,
6 how is that your concern? Because he couldn't
7 agree with the dollar amount between you and
8 him for the documents, he knows that somebody
9 has them, I guess the Bureau had them, and he
10 makes a FOIA request. There's an end run,
11 he's trying to get around paying the money.

12 MR. KELLER: Yes.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. If he
14 succeeds but he's getting non-confidential
15 documents, you know, the purpose of this case,
16 I say so what.

17 MR. KELLER: No, I'm just pointing
18 that as an example. But now what he's
19 requesting are confidential --

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know that, but
21 what makes you think that he's going to get
22 confidential documents from the General

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Counsel's Office? What makes you think that?

2 MR. KELLER: I don't know what the
3 General Counsel's Office is going to do, but
4 I know that we have a protective order in
5 position and in place, and if Havens is going
6 to be able to just turn around and go for
7 that.

8 Secondly, this is a bigger concern
9 I have too, is the role of the Bureau here.
10 As I have always understood these hearings,
11 the Bureau is a fully separated party, subject
12 to the ex parte rules. That means the Bureau
13 cannot communicate about merits, et cetera,
14 with decision makers, which includes not just
15 the Commission and Your Honor, but also the
16 General Counsel's Office, cannot communicate
17 on an ex parte basis.

18 It would be my expectation that
19 documents produced to the Bureau, pursuant to
20 a protective order in this restricted
21 proceeding governed by the ex parte rules,
22 would not be disclosed to decision makers,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 absent an order specifically authorizing that.
2 So my concern is, from the last communication
3 I got from General Counsel's Office, they're
4 apparently already reviewing the
5 confidentiality of these documents in
6 consideration of the FOIA request. So that
7 means they must already have them if they're
8 reviewing them. So I just have some concerns
9 all the way around. I mean, what's the point
10 of having a protective order with specific
11 procedures in it if we're going to then allow
12 Mr. Havens to just go and use FOIA and go
13 under alternate procedures?

14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you think that
15 the Bureau would be correct in just turning
16 down the FOIA requests as a matter of policy
17 or whatever because of all the things that you
18 say?

19 MR. KELLER: The Bureau doesn't
20 have the FOIA request. The FOIA request --

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, I meant
22 to say General Counsel.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KELLER: Well, I think the
2 General Counsel, and I have argued to the
3 General Counsel's Office, that as one of my
4 arguments, that I don't think these are Agency
5 records because I don't think they're properly
6 under possession of the Agency. They're in
7 the possession of the Bureau as a fully
8 separated party subject to the ex parte rules.
9 They're not documents generated by the
10 Commission, they were documents provided by
11 Maritime pursuant to the protective order and
12 discovery request.

13 Now what the General Counsel's
14 Office is going to do, I don't know. But we
15 entered into the protective order in good
16 faith, understanding that that was going to be
17 the governing charters to how we deal with
18 confidential matters in this proceeding. And
19 if there was a question or a dispute as to
20 confidentiality, Your Honor would rule on
21 that.

22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's true.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But if the, well, I don't want to go any
2 further down the road, you know, and I'd
3 allow, the fact that there happens to be this
4 additional remedy, which I'm not happy with as
5 a general matter, was to why they allow these
6 two roads to be open when, you know, when
7 there's a proceeding going on, you know, I
8 think that the rules of practice could be
9 amended to preclude a FOIA request for
10 anything related to an ongoing litigation,
11 particularly when the parties haven't seen
12 that yet, by the way, but we're you know,
13 we're stuck with what we have.

14 And I can't, I mean, there's
15 nothing I can do. I can't control what the
16 General Counsel is authorized to do. They're
17 not authorized to do it, well --

18 MR. KELLER: I would suggest, Your
19 Honor, two things. I would say two things.
20 One is I think that at least implicitly, the
21 rule that you suggest is there because there
22 are general discovery rules for how discovery

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 against parties are concerned in these hearing
2 rules. There is a separate rule that says in
3 the discovery portion of the hearing rules, it
4 says for requests for Commission documents,
5 requests of the Commission, you have to go
6 through FOIA.

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

8 MR. KELLER: I say this is not a
9 matter of going for a Commission document.
10 This is a matter of getting documents that,
11 Mr. Havens knows if he requested in the
12 discovery request these documents directly
13 from us, you would say well, they're subject
14 to confidentiality. So he's not getting
15 discovery against the Commission pursuant to
16 FOIA, he's getting discovery against us
17 pursuant to FOIA. And so that's just not the
18 way it should be.

19 And, you know, finally I would
20 also point out that Mr. Havens and his
21 entities as a party, not them personally, but
22 as parties, do already have access to these

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 documents. They are provided to their counsel
2 pursuant to the protective order. All the
3 protective order says is Mr. Havens personally
4 can't see them and his principals can't see
5 them. But in that sense, he's on the same
6 footing as everybody else. We're going to be
7 discussing later a whole issue about these 99
8 boxes.

9 And Mr. Reardon sitting here as a
10 principal of Maritime can't see those
11 documents right now. But they're available
12 under protective order. So Mr. Havens is not
13 being denied these documents. He's only being
14 denied personally the ability to view them.
15 And on that sense he's in the same boat as
16 every other principal in this proceeding.

17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I agree with
18 everything you've said, but the nub of the
19 problem that you have is that he's filed a
20 FOIA request. And apparently the General
21 Counsel is processing it in the course of
22 business. I can't control that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KELLER: Well, what we can do,
2 I think there's two things we could do, that
3 you could do. One is, you could issue an
4 order saying that it's not consistent with the
5 protective order to do an end around to get
6 FOIA requests for anything other than
7 Commission documents. And the second thing we
8 can do is maybe we need a different protective
9 order to provide for this.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now it's just been
11 pointed out to me, the protective order, the
12 very first paragraph says, "The protective
13 order is not intended to constitute a
14 resolution of the merits concerning whether
15 any confidential information or highly
16 confidential information will be released
17 publicly by the Commission upon proper request
18 under FOIA."

19 MR. KELLER: Yes?

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's part
21 of the protective order, it's part of the
22 agreement.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KELLER: But again, it begs
2 the question of whether these are Commission
3 documents in the first place. I mean, how
4 would the Commission have gotten these
5 documents but for them being provided to the
6 Commission by the Bureau? And is that not a
7 violation of the ex parte rules in the fully
8 separated trial staff nature of the Bureau in
9 these proceedings?

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, those are
11 arguments to make to the General Counsel's
12 Office.

13 MR. KELLER: We've made those
14 arguments to the General Counsel.

15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Have they replied?

16 MR. KELLER: No, they're still
17 under advisement. And since, a second FOIA
18 request has come in I believe. But as Ms.
19 Kane correctly states, until this matter is
20 resolved one way or the other, we're reluctant
21 to, especially provided the DePriests through
22 deposition because it's also our experience

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that the Havens Entities use information in
2 one proceeding to then go and do their
3 litigation in various other proceedings,
4 whether it be the bankruptcy, whether it be
5 the New Jersey litigation, and so the
6 DePriests are going to be very sensitive to
7 this and they're going to be very concerned
8 about this.

9 I'm not sure what all questions
10 Ms. Havens is going, I mean, is going to ask,
11 but I'm sure there's a very high, reasonable
12 possibility that some of it is going to be
13 sensitive and highly confidential. And we're
14 just not going to take a crap shoot and say
15 well, we'll go ahead and do the depositions,
16 but we don't know what the General Counsel's
17 going to do later on. At a minimum, we're
18 going to wait and see what the General Counsel
19 does with this one it's got under advisement.

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well suppose the
21 documents are delivered to me, okay --

22 MR. KELLER: Well, that's what I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 would prefer, that in terms of the
2 confidentiality, you make that call.

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- and the
4 depositions can be taken here and, you know,
5 we'll see to it that the Bureau gets the
6 documents it needs but it won't leave this,
7 whatever you want to call it, this venue.

8 MR. KELLER: Yes.

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you think I'm
10 authorized to do that?

11 MR. KELLER: I believe you're
12 authorized to do that.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: What do you think,
14 Ms. Kane?

15 MR. KELLER: So the problem is
16 then there's no documents to be produced to
17 anybody, they've just been viewed here.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: I haven't gotten a
19 raise in three years, so I don't know if this
20 is going to help. What do you think about
21 that, Ms. Kane?

22 MS. KANE: We're okay with that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 process. I am not aware of a FOIA request
2 being served on Your Honor, I don't know
3 whether that's possible but, I mean, as long
4 as the discovery continues and we can continue
5 to proceed with depositions and get the
6 cooperation of the other parties regarding
7 confidential information, we're okay with
8 that.

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well --

10 MS. KANE: And obviously, as long
11 as we get the documents as well, but --

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what I'm
13 trying to shortcut is, I really don't agree
14 with Mr. Keller's concerns, although I can
15 certainly understand how when it gets back to
16 clients they would be concerned. I'm just
17 simply trying to short circuit, you know, a
18 glitch and give you an opportunity to move
19 things forward a little bit.

20 MS. KANE: My only concern with
21 your process would be that we would still have
22 a copy of the documents as the Bureau, and we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 would still be requested copies of those
2 documents from the FOIA group in the Office of
3 General Counsel, whom at least I can say I do
4 understand, are not part of the decision
5 makers ultimately for this. I mean the Office
6 of General Counsel generally advises the
7 Commission on initial decisions, but they have
8 enough folks up there that the FOIA folks who
9 are working on this would obviously be
10 segregated from any decision making role from
11 an initial decision in this case.

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't think
13 you're worried about the, you're not worried
14 about the initial decision part of this, are
15 you?

16 MS. KANE: He is worried, if I
17 understand him correctly, that these documents
18 are somehow breaching an ex parte
19 communication. We're not having an ex parte
20 communication with the OGC FOIA folks by
21 providing these documents to them.

22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KELLER: Your Honor --

2 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- go ahead, go
3 ahead.

4 MR. KELLER: -- let me put it this
5 way --

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: What concerns you?
7 What is your concern?

8 MR. KELLER: A FOIA request to the
9 Commission, would first of all only produce or
10 only succeed in obtaining documents that are
11 properly in the possession of the Commission,
12 okay?

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: And meet the
14 standards. I mean it's about, you know --

15 MR. KELLER: But I'm not, I'm
16 setting aside the question of whether they're
17 confidential or not right now.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Subject to an
19 investigation, there's a whole slew of these
20 things.

21 MR. KELLER: If I were to request
22 a document from the Commission, the Commission

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 can only provide me for that document if the
2 Commission has it, okay? Now if the
3 Commission has it, you're right, there may be
4 confidentiality concerns but obviously, if the
5 Commission does not have a document it can't
6 produce that document in response to a FOIA
7 request. My view is that again, the Bureau,
8 in this proceeding, has to be treated as a
9 fully separated trial stat, subject to FOIA,
10 not part of the Commission for purposes of
11 things, matters of this proceeding.

12 If I receive documents in
13 discovery from say Pinnacle Wireless, that are
14 subject or designated under protective order
15 as highly confidential, and without a ruling
16 from Your Honor to the contrary, I went and
17 showed those documents and gave copies of
18 those documents to the General Counsel's
19 Office or the Wireless Bureau, I would be
20 deemed to be in breach of the protective
21 order. And I don't see why the Bureau should
22 be treated any differently.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701