

LAW OFFICES
BLOOSTON, MORDKOFKY, DICKENS, DUFFY & PRENDERGAST, LLP
2120 L STREET, NW, SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

(202) 659-0830
FACSIMILE: (202) 828-5568

HAROLD MORDKOFKY
BENJAMIN H. DICKENS, JR.
JOHN A. PRENDERGAST
GERARD J. DUFFY
RICHARD D. RUBINO
MARY J. SISAK
D. CARY MITCHELL
SALVATORE TAILLEFER, JR.

ARTHUR BLOOSTON
1914 – 1999

December 6, 2012

AFFILIATED SOUTH AMERICAN OFFICES

ESTUDIO JAUREGUI & ASSOCIATES
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

ROBERT M. JACKSON
OF COUNSEL

PERRY W. WOOFER
LEGISLATIVE CONSULTANT

EUGENE MALISZEWSKYJ
DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

WRITER'S CONTACT INFORMATION

(202) 828-5528
gjd@bloostonlaw.com

FILED VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: WC Docket Nos. 10-90, 07-135, 05-337 and 03-109; CC Docket Nos. 01-92 and 96-45; and GN Docket No. 09-51 and WT Docket No. 10-208

Dear Ms. Dortch:


On December 5, 2012, Paul Kelly of Cordova Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; Doug Neal of OTZ Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; and Derrick Owens and Gerard Duffy representing the Western Telecommunications Alliance met with Michael Steffen, Legal Advisor to Chairman Julius Genachowski, and Rebekah Goodheart and Joseph C. Cavender of the Wireline Competition Bureau, to discuss various universal service fund ("USF") and intercarrier compensation ("ICC") matters affecting Alaskan rural telephone companies and their customers.

The topics of discussion included: (1) the high costs of constructing, maintaining and operating wireline and wireless telecommunications networks in the harsh climate and sparsely populated areas of Alaska (Mr. Neal indicated that his service area was the size of Indiana and contains approximately 2,800 customers); (2) the reliance by some Alaskan rural telephone companies upon USF and ICC for as much as 80-to-84 percent of their revenue streams; (3) the immediate dangers to the financial viability of Alaskan rural telephone companies from the scheduled 5 percent per year reductions in eligible recovery for ICC (which comprises the major portion – often more than 60 percent -- of the total USF and ICC revenues of such companies); (4) the unpredictability of future USF support due to regression model uncertainties; (5) the manner in which the scheduled ICC revenue reductions and unpredictable future USF support have deterred investment projects and hiring by Alaskan rural telephone companies; and (6) the likelihood of significant service losses and public safety hazards if Alaskan rural telephone companies lose their ability to sustain operations in areas that no other carriers have ever shown a substantial desire to serve.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
December 6, 2012
Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules, this submission is being filed for inclusion in the public record of the referenced proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,


Gerard J. Duffy

cc: Michael Steffen
Rebekah Goodheart
Joseph C. Cavender