

In reference to comments submitted by Free Press regarding diversity in media ownership, I oppose any relaxation of cross-ownership rules. There has been no significant movement in this area in the past decade; thus the 2003 and 2007 rulings of the 3rd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals should stand.

Oligopoly and monopoly markets are indications of market failure, which means that where there is increased concentration, society does not benefit. Only the monopolist benefits.

Do not move forward with a proposal to loosen cross-ownership rules or to allow a single entity to own a newspaper, two television stations, and up to eight radio stations in some markets.