

## **Appendix I**

(Affirmative Statements of the AL, DC, DE, NH, and TX Commissions)

# Alabama Public Service Commission

## Orders

PINE BELT CELLULAR, INC. and PINE BELT PCS,  
INC.,

Joint Petitioners

PETITION: For ETC status and/or clarification  
regarding the jurisdiction of the Commission to grant  
ETC status to wireless carriers.

DOCKET U-4400

### ORDER

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

In a joint pleading submitted on September 11, 2001, Pine Belt Cellular, Inc. and Pine Belt PCS, Inc. (collectively referred to as "Pine Belt") each notified the Commission of their desire to be designated as universal service eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") for purposes of providing wireless ETC service in certain of the non-rural Alabama wireline service territories of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") and Verizon South, Inc. ("Verizon"). The Pine Belt companies noted their affiliation with Pine Belt Telephone Company, a provider of wireline telephone service in rural Alabama, but clarified that they exclusively provide cellular telecommunications and personal communications (collectively referred to as "CMRS" or "wireless") services in their respective service areas in Alabama in accordance with licenses granted by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). The pivotal issue raised in the joint pleading of Pine Belt companies is whether the Commission will assert jurisdiction in this matter given the wireless status of the Pine Belt companies.

As noted in the filing of the Pine Belt companies, state Commissions have primary responsibility for the designation of eligible telecommunications carriers in their respective jurisdictions for universal service purposes pursuant to 47 USC §214 (e). The Commission indeed established guidelines and requirements for attaining ETC status in this jurisdiction pursuant to notice issued on October 31, 1997.

For carriers not subject to state jurisdiction, however, §214(e)(6) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides that the FCC shall, upon request, designate such carriers as ETCs in non-rural service territories if said carriers meet the requirements of §214(e)(1). In an FCC Public Notice released December 29, 1997 (FCC 97-419) entitled "Procedures for FCC designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers pursuant to §214(e)(6) of the Telecommunications Act", the FCC required each applicant seeking ETC designation from the FCC to provide, among other things, "a certification and brief statement of supporting facts demonstrating that the Petitioner is not subject to the jurisdiction of a state Commission."

The Pine Belt companies enclosed with their joint pleading completed ETC application forms as developed by the Commission. In the event the Commission determines that it does not have jurisdiction to act on the Pine Belt request for ETC status, however, the Pine Belt companies seek an affirmative written statement from the Commission indicating that the Commission lacks jurisdiction to grant them ETC status as wireless carriers.

The issue concerning the APSC's jurisdiction over providers of cellular services, broadband personal communications services, and commercial mobile radio services is one that was rather recently addressed by the Commission. The Commission indeed issued a Declaratory Ruling on March 2, 2000, in Docket 26414 which concluded that as the result of certain amendments to the Code of Alabama, 1975 §40-21-120(2) and (1)(a) effectuated in June of 1999, the APSC has no authority to regulate, *in any respect*, cellular services, broadband personal communications services and commercial mobile radio services in Alabama. Given the aforementioned conclusions by the Commission, it seems rather clear that the Commission has no jurisdiction to take action on the Application of the Pine Belt companies for ETC status in this jurisdiction. The Pine Belt companies and all other wireless providers seeking ETC status should pursue their ETC designation request with the FCC as provided by 47 USC §214(e)(6).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED BY THE COMMISSION, That the Commission's jurisdiction to grant Eligible Telecommunications Carrier status for universal service purposes does not extend to providers of cellular services, broadband personal communications services, and commercial mobile radio services. Providers of such services seeking Eligible Telecommunications Carrier status should accordingly pursue their requests through the Federal Communications Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That this Order shall be effective as of the date hereof.

DONE at Montgomery, Alabama, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2002.

ALABAMA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Jim Sullivan, President

Jan Cook, Commissioner

George C. Wallace, Jr., Commissioner

ATTEST: A True Copy

Walter L. Thomas, Jr., Secretary



**STATE OF DELAWARE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

861 SILVER LAKE BOULEVARD  
CANNON BUILDING, SUITE 100  
DOVER, DELAWARE 19904

TELEPHONE: (302) 736-7500  
FAX: (302) 739-4849

August 18, 2010

**VIA E-MAIL**

Lance J.M. Steinhart, P.C.  
1720 Windward Concourse  
Suite 115  
Alpharetta, Georgia 30005

Dear Mr. Steinhart:

I received your letter on behalf of i-wireless, LLC requesting clarification on Delaware's competitive eligible telecommunication carrier process. This is to confirm that Delaware is a "default" State and, therefore, it is the FCC, not Delaware, that determines eligibility to receive the federally-subsidized price reductions. I am attaching the October 11, 2005 order in PSC Docket No. 05-016T that discusses this issue in a Verizon Delaware, Inc. docket.

I will attach these documents to an e-mail so that you will receive them expeditiously. If you would also like a hard copies of the documents by mail let me know by e-mail and I will forward them to you.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Janis L. Dillard".

Janis L. Dillard  
Acting Executive Director

DOCKET COPY  
DO NOT REMOVE FROM OFFICE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
VERIZON DELAWARE INC., TO MODIFY THE )  
LIFELINE SERVICE BY ADDING AN INCOME ) PSC DOCKET NO. 05-016T  
QUALIFIER TO THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA )  
(FILED JUNE 17, 2005) )

ORDER NO. 6736

This 11<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005, the Commission determines and Orders the following:

1. In the jargon of the federal Lifeline/Link-Up program, Delaware is a "federal default State." Delaware has never, by either state law or state regulation, ordained, nor funded, a stand-alone program to provide discounts on basic telephone services charges for low-income subscribers. Consequently, it was not until 1997, when the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") revamped the federal Lifeline/Link-Up program, that Delaware subscribers first became eligible for participation in the federal Lifeline program.<sup>1</sup> And given that in a "federal default State" only federally-raised monies are used to reimburse eligible carriers for the Lifeline and Link-Up discounts, it is the FCC, and not the state commission, that gets to call the tune about who should be eligible to receive these federally-subsidized price reductions.

2. Since 1997, Verizon Delaware Inc. ("VZ-DE") has been designated as an "eligible telecommunications carrier" and has offered

---

<sup>1</sup>See PSC Order No. 4684 (Dec. 16, 1997) (summarizing Delaware history and electing to allow "Tier 2" federal support to eligible Delaware subscribers).

federal Lifeline discounts on the federal list of supported services.<sup>2</sup> And even though in "default" States, Lifeline is almost an exclusively federal program, VZ-DE has, since 1997, filed at the State level, tariff provisions setting forth its Lifeline offerings.<sup>3</sup>

3. In 2004, the FCC changed some of the "eligibility" rules describing which subscribers may participate in the federal Lifeline/Link-Up program.<sup>4</sup> In particular, the 2004 amendments added additional programs to the list of "eligible" programs where participation confers federal default Lifeline/Link-Up eligibility.<sup>5</sup> The 2004 amendments also introduced an additional eligibility criteria premised on the subscriber's household income.<sup>6</sup> Eligible telecommunications carriers, such as VZ-DE, were given one year to implement this new, additional income-based eligibility criteria.<sup>7</sup>

4. To implement these changes prescribed by the FCC, VZ-DE initially filed revisions to the Lifeline and Link-Up portions of its

---

<sup>2</sup>See PSC Order No. 4680 (Dec. 17, 1997) ("ETC" designation for VZ-DE). See also PSC Dckt. No. 97-023T (initial Lifeline tariff filing by VZ-DE).

<sup>3</sup>From December 2000 through December 2003, VZ-DE offered, under its state tariff, an "expanded" Lifeline program for Delaware. The discounts under such program exceeded the Tiers 1 & 2 levels normally available in a default State. VZ-DE offered this expanded program to fulfill a condition imposed by the FCC in approving the Bell Atlantic-GTE merger. See PSC Order No. 6317 (Dec. 9, 2003) (explaining content and cause of this expanded Lifeline offering). Whether Delaware remained a "default State" during this period when VZ-DE subsidized the deeper discounts is an issue that need now be explored or resolved. This "expanded" program ended in December 2003.

<sup>4</sup>In the Matter of Lifeline and Link-Up, Report and Order and Further NPRM, 19 FCC Rcd. 8302 (FCC 2004) ("Lifeline Order").

<sup>5</sup>47 C.F.R. §§ 54.409(b) (Lifeline eligibility criteria in "default" State); 54.415(b) (Link-Up eligibility criteria in "default" State).

<sup>6</sup>47 C.F.R. §§ 54.409(b), 54.410 (Lifeline); 54.415(b), 54.416 (Link-Up).

<sup>7</sup>47 C.F.R. §§ 54.410(a)(ii), 54.416.

State tariff. These changes incorporated into the State tariff provisions the expanded list of "eligibility-conferring" programs.<sup>8</sup> At the same time, the Commission Staff began discussions with VZ-DE to determine whether, under the applicable federal default rules, it was appropriate for VZ-DE to continue to include in its State tariff Lifeline provisions language that conditioned Lifeline eligibility on the subscriber foregoing the ability to purchase many optional or vertical services.<sup>9</sup> Eventually, VZ-DE revised its State tariff Lifeline provisions to delete the questioned restrictions.<sup>10</sup> Then in June 2005, VZ-DE filed another Tariff revision to reflect its implementation of the household-income criteria for eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up discounts.<sup>11</sup> Finally, on September 9, 2005, VZ-DE submitted another set of revised tariff sheets reflecting further textual revisions, as originally suggested by Staff. In part, these final changes sought to make the State tariff's description of how VZ-DE would administer its Lifeline/Link-Up program to more closely parallel the governing federal default rules.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup>See PSC Dckt. No. 04-017T (filed July 26, 2004; eff. July 27, 2004).

<sup>9</sup>That restriction - limiting Lifeline subscribers to a small group of designated vertical services - had been a continual part of VZ-DE's state-tariffed Lifeline offerings since 1997. In its Lifeline Order, the FCC expressed its belief that "any restriction on the purchase of vertical services may discourage qualified consumers from enrolling and may serve as a barrier to participation in the [Lifeline] program. Lifeline Order at ¶ 53.

<sup>10</sup>See PSC Dckt. No. 05-008T (filed April 8, 2005; eff. April 16, 2005).

<sup>11</sup>See PSC Dckt. No. 05-016T (filed June 17, 2005; eff. June 22, 2005).

<sup>12</sup>See PSC Dckt. No. 05-016T, amended tariff sheets filed on September 9, 2005 but with effective date of June 22, 2005).

5. The Commission enters this Order not so much to "approve" the various Lifeline filings made by VZ-DE but to recount the course of the filings made since the FCC changed its federal Lifeline/Link-Up program in 2004. Indeed, given that Delaware is a "default" State, VZ-DE's Lifeline/Link-Up offerings are governed more by the federal default rules than by any "approved" State tariff provision. Any State tariff provision that might conflict with a federal default rule would necessarily have to yield. However, the Commission will accept the Lifeline and Link-Up tariff filings lodged by VZ-DE. The Commission believes that VZ-DE's last submission (in September 2005) sets forth a Lifeline and Link-Up offering that is consistent with the federal default rules. However, the filing and acceptance of the State tariff provisions should not be seen as foreclosing any later challenge that VZ-DE's program falls short of the federal directives.

Now, therefore, IT IS ORDERED:

1. That, as explained in the body of this Order, the Commission accepts the tariff filings made by Verizon Delaware Inc., to implement its responsibilities to provide federal Lifeline and Link-Up in this "federal default" jurisdiction. In particular, the Commission now accepts the tariff revision filing made September 9, 2005 pertaining to the following leaves in P.S.C.-Del.-No. 1:

Section 20D, Fourteenth Revised Sheet 1 (Link-Up);

Section 20D, Fifth Revised Sheet 2 (Link-Up); and

Section 20E, Eighth Revised Sheet 2 (Lifeline).

2. That the Commission reserves the jurisdiction and authority to enter such further Orders in this matter as may be deemed necessary or proper.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

*Annella McKee*  
Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Vice Chair

*Jane Roseway*  
Commissioner

*Jane R. [unclear]*  
Commissioner

*[unclear]*  
Commissioner

ATTEST:

*Norma J. Sherwood*  
Acting Secretary



D.C. Council Home

Home Search Help ©



## Welcome to the online source for the District of Columbia Official Code

### DC ST § 34-2006

Formerly cited as DC ST 1981 § 43-1456

DC ST § 34-2006

Formerly cited as DC ST 1981 § 43-1456

District of Columbia Official Code 2001 Edition Currentness

Division V. Local Business Affairs

Title 34. Public Utilities.

Subtitle V. Telecommunications.

Chapter 20. Telecommunications Competition.

            ➔ **§ 34-2006. Exemptions.**

(a) This chapter shall not apply to cable television services performed pursuant to an existing cable television franchise agreement with the District of Columbia which is in effect on September 9, 1996. To the extent that a cable television company seeks to provide local exchange services within the District of Columbia, such company shall be regulated under the provisions of this chapter for their local exchange services.

(b) Pursuant to the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, this chapter shall not apply to licensed or unlicensed wireless services authorized by the Federal Communications Commission operating in the District of Columbia.

(c) This chapter shall not:

- (1) Apply to the provision, rates, charges, or terms of service of Voice Over Internet Protocol Service or Internet Protocol-enabled Service;
- (2) Alter the authority of the Commission to enforce the requirements as are otherwise provided for, or allowed by, federal law, including the collection of Telecommunications Relay Service fees and universal service fees;
- (3) Alter the authority of the Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications with respect to the provision of video services in the District of Columbia; or
- (4) Alter the Commission's existing authority over the regulation of circuit-switched local exchange services in the District of Columbia.

CREDIT(S)

(Sept. 9, 1996, D.C. Law 11-154, § 7, 43 DCR 3736; June 5, 2008, D.C. Law 17-165, § 3(c), 55 DCR 5171.)

HISTORICAL AND STATUTORY NOTES

Prior Codifications

1981 Ed., § 43-1456.

Effect of Amendments

D.C. Law 17-165 added subsec. (c).

Legislative History of Laws

For legislative history of D.C. Law 11-154, see Historical and Statutory Notes following § 34-2001.

For Law 17-165, see notes following § 34-403.

References in Text

The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, referred to in (b), is Pub. L. 104-104, which is codified throughout Title 47 of the United States Code.

DC CODE § 34-2006

Current through January 11, 2012

Copyright (C) 2012 By the District of Columbia. All Rights Reserved.

END OF DOCUMENT

© 2012 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

[Docs In Sequence](#)

---

Westlaw, part of Thomson Reuters  
© 2012 [West](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Accessibility](#)



THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

CHAIRMAN  
Thomas B. Getz

COMMISSIONERS  
Clifton C. Below  
Amy L. Ignatius

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
AND SECRETARY  
Debra A. Howland



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10  
Concord, N.H. 03301-2429

Tel. (603) 271-2431

FAX (603) 271-3878

TDD Access: Relay NH  
1-800-735-2964

Website:  
[www.puc.nh.gov](http://www.puc.nh.gov)

March 28, 2011

**RE: ETC Certification in New Hampshire**

The federal Universal Service Fund (USF) was created by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to promote the availability of quality services at just and reasonable rates to all consumers including low-income customers and those in high cost areas and to increase nationwide access to advanced services in schools, libraries and rural health care facilities. To qualify for universal service funding a carrier must first be certified as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) by the state public utilities commission or, if the state does not assert this authority, by the FCC. See 47 U.S.C. §214 (e).

The New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission maintains authority to determine whether landline telecommunications carriers qualify as ETCs. Pursuant to New Hampshire RSA 362:6, the Commission has no jurisdiction over mobile radio communications services. Consequently, the state declines jurisdiction over the certification of wireless carriers as ETCs, leaving that responsibility to the FCC.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "F. Anne Ross".

F. Anne Ross

General Counsel

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

PROJECT NO. 40561

RULEMAKING TO AMEND §  
SUBSTANTIVE RULE 26.418 RELATING §  
TO DESIGNATION OF COMMON §  
CARRIERS AS ELIGIBLE §  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS §  
TO RECEIVE FEDERAL UNIVERSAL §  
SERVICE FUNDS §

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AM 11:37  
OF TEXAS

ORDER ADOPTING AMENDMENT TO §26.418  
AS APPROVED AT THE NOVEMBER 16, 2012 OPEN MEETING

The Public Utility Commission of Texas (commission) adopts an amendment to §26.418, relating to Designation of Common Carriers as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers to Receive Federal Universal Service Funds, with no changes to the proposed text as published in the August 31, 2012, issue of the *Texas Register* (37 TexReg 6874). The amendment will exclude commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) resellers from eligibility for designation by the commission as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC). Instead, a CMRS reseller will be able to seek designation as an ETC by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Project Number 40561 is assigned to this proceeding.

The commission did not receive any comments on the proposed amendment.

The amendment is adopted under the Public Utility Regulatory Act, Texas Utilities Code Annotated §14.002 (West 2007 and Supp. 2012) (PURA), which provides the commission with the authority to make and enforce rules reasonably required in the exercise of its powers and jurisdiction; and specifically §51.001, which provides that it is the policy of this state to promote diversity of telecommunications providers and interconnectivity; encourage a fully competitive

telecommunications marketplace; and maintain a wide availability of high quality interoperable, standards-based telecommunications services at affordable rates.

Cross Reference to Statutes: PURA §§14.002 and 51.001.

**§26.418. Designation of Common Carriers as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers to Receive Federal Universal Service Funds.**

- (a) **Purpose.** This section provides the requirements for the commission to designate common carriers as eligible telecommunications carriers (ETCs) to receive support from the federal universal service fund (FUSF) pursuant to 47 United States Code (U.S.C.) §214(e) (relating to Provision of Universal Service). In addition, this section provides guidelines for rural and non-rural carriers to meet the federal requirements of annual certification for FUSF support criteria and, if requested or ordered, for the disaggregation of rural carriers' FUSF support.
- (b) **Applicability.** This section applies to a common carrier seeking designation as an ETC, except for commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) resellers. A CMRS reseller may not seek designation from the commission, but instead may seek designation as an ETC by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). This section also applies to a common carrier that has been designated by the commission as an ETC, including a CMRS reseller.
- (c) **Service areas.** The commission may designate ETC service areas according to the following criteria.
- (1) **Non-rural service area.** To be eligible to receive federal universal service support in non-rural areas, a carrier must provide federally supported services pursuant to 47 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) §54.101 (relating to

Supported Services for Rural, Insular, and High Cost Areas) throughout the area for which the carrier seeks to be designated an ETC.

- (2) **Rural service area.** In the case of areas served by a rural telephone company, as defined in §26.404 of this title (relating to Small and Rural Incumbent Local Exchange Company (ILEC) Universal Service Plan), a carrier must provide federally supported services pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.101 throughout the study area of the rural telephone company in order to be eligible to receive federal universal service support.

- (d) **Criteria for determination of ETCs.** A common carrier shall be designated as eligible to receive federal universal service support if it:

- (1) offers the services that are supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms under 47 C.F.R. §54.101 either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services; and
- (2) advertises the availability of and charges for such services using media of general distribution.

- (e) **Criteria for determination of receipt of federal universal service support.** In order to receive federal universal service support, a common carrier must:

- (1) meet the requirements of subsection (d) of this section;
- (2) offer Lifeline Service to qualifying low-income consumers in compliance with 47 C.F.R. Part 54, Subpart E (relating to Universal Service Support for Low-Income Consumers); and

- (3) offer toll limitation services in accordance with 47 C.F.R. §54.400 (relating to Terms and Definitions) and §54.401 (relating to Lifeline Defined).

(f) **Designation of more than one ETC.**

- (1) Non-rural service areas. In areas not served by rural telephone companies, as defined in §26.404 of this title, the commission shall designate, upon application, more than one ETC in a service area so long as each additional carrier meets the requirements of subsection (c)(1) of this section and subsection (d) of this section.
- (2) Rural service areas. In areas served by rural telephone companies, as defined in §26.404 of this title, the commission may designate as an ETC a carrier that meets the requirements of subsection (c)(2) of this section and subsection (d) of this section if the commission finds that the designation is in the public interest.

(g) **Proceedings to designate ETCs.**

- (1) At any time, a common carrier may seek commission approval to be designated an ETC for a requested service area.
- (2) In order to receive support under this section for exchanges purchased from an unaffiliated carrier, the acquiring ETC shall file an application, within 30 days after the date of the purchase, to amend its ETC service area to include those geographic areas that are eligible for support.
- (3) If an ETC receiving support under this section sells an exchange to an unaffiliated carrier, it shall file an application, within 30 days after the date of the sale, to

amend its ETC designation to exclude from its designated service area those exchanges for which it was receiving support.

(h) **Application requirements and commission processing of applications.**

(1) **Requirements for notice and contents of application.**

(A) Notice of application. Notice shall be published in the *Texas Register*. The presiding officer may require additional notice. Unless otherwise required by the presiding officer or by law, the notice shall include at a minimum a description of the service area for which the applicant seeks eligibility, the proposed effective date of the designation, and the following statement: "Persons who wish to comment on this application should notify the Public Utility Commission of Texas by (specified date, ten days before the proposed effective date). Requests for further information should be mailed to the Public Utility Commission of Texas, P.O. Box 13326, Austin, Texas 78711-3326, or you may call the Public Utility Commission's Customer Protection Division at (512) 936-7120 or (888) 782-8477. Hearing- and speech-impaired individuals with text telephones (TTY) may contact the commission at (512) 936-7136, or use Relay Texas (800) 735-2989 to reach the commission's toll free number (888) 782-8477."

(B) Contents of application for each common carrier seeking ETC designation. A common carrier that seeks to be designated as an ETC shall file with the commission an application complying with the requirements of this

section. In addition to copies required by other commission rules, one copy of the application shall be delivered to the commission's Regulatory Division and one copy shall be delivered to the Office of Public Utility Counsel. The application shall:

- (i) show that the applicant offers each of the services that are supported by the FUSF support mechanisms under 47 U.S.C. §254(c) (relating to Universal Service) either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services throughout the service area for which it seeks designation as an ETC;
- (ii) show that the applicant assumes the obligation to offer each of the services that are supported by the FUSF support mechanisms under 47 U.S.C. §254(c) to any consumer in the service area for which it seeks designation as an ETC;
- (iii) show that the applicant advertises the availability of, and charges for, such services using media of general distribution;
- (iv) show the service area in which the applicant seeks designation as an ETC;
- (v) contain a statement detailing the method and content of the notice the applicant has provided or intends to provide to the public regarding the application and a brief statement explaining why the proposed notice is reasonable and in compliance with applicable law;

- (vi) contain a copy of the text of the notice;
  - (vii) contain the proposed effective date of the designation; and
  - (viii) contain any other information which the applicant wants considered in connection with the commission's review of its application.
- (C) Contents of application for each common carrier seeking ETC designation and receipt of federal universal service support. A common carrier that seeks to be designated as an ETC and receive federal universal service support shall file with the commission an application complying with the requirements of this section. In addition to copies required by other commission rules, one copy of the application shall be delivered to the commission staff and one copy shall be delivered to the Office of Public Utility Counsel. The application shall:
- (i) comply with the requirements of subparagraph (B) of this paragraph;
  - (ii) show that the applicant offers Lifeline Service to qualifying low-income consumers in compliance with 47 C.F.R. Part 54, Subpart E; and
  - (iii) show that the applicant offers toll limitation services in accordance with 47 C.F.R. §54.400 and §54.401.
- (2) **Commission processing of application.**
- (A) Administrative review. An application considered under this section may be reviewed administratively unless the presiding officer, for good cause,

determines at any point during the review that the application should be docketed.

- (i) The effective date shall be no earlier than 30 days after the filing date of the application or 30 days after notice is completed, whichever is later.
- (ii) The application shall be examined for sufficiency. If the presiding officer concludes that material deficiencies exist in the application, the applicant shall be notified within ten working days of the filing date of the specific deficiency in its application. The earliest possible effective date of the application shall be no less than 30 days after the filing of a sufficient application with substantially complete information as required by the presiding officer. Thereafter, any deadlines shall be determined from the 30th day after the filing of the sufficient application and information or from the effective date if the presiding officer extends that date.
- (iii) While the application is being administratively reviewed, the commission staff and the staff of the Office of Public Utility Counsel may submit requests for information to the telecommunications carrier. Three copies of all answers to such requests for information shall be provided to the commission staff and the Office of Public Utility Counsel within ten days after receipt of the request by the telecommunications carrier.

- (iv) No later than 20 days after the filing date of the application or the completion of notice, whichever is later, interested persons may provide the commission staff with written comments or recommendations concerning the application. The commission staff shall and the Office of Public Utility Counsel may file with the presiding officer written comments or recommendations regarding the application.
  - (v) No later than 35 days after the proposed effective date of the application, the presiding officer shall issue an order approving, denying, or docketing the application.
- (B) Approval or denial of application.
- (i) An application filed pursuant to paragraph (1)(B) of this subsection shall be approved by the presiding officer if the application meets the following requirements:
    - (I) the provision of service constitutes the services that are supported by the FUSF support mechanisms under 47 U.S.C. §254(c);
    - (II) the applicant will provide service using either its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services;
    - (III) the applicant advertises the availability of, and charges for, such services using media of general distribution;
    - (IV) notice was provided as required by this section;

- (V) the applicant satisfies the requirements contained in subsection (c) of this section; and
  - (VI) if, in areas served by a rural telephone company, the ETC designation is consistent with the public interest.
- (ii) An application filed pursuant to paragraph (1)(C) of this subsection shall be approved by the presiding officer if the application meets the following requirements:
- (I) the applicant has satisfied the requirements set forth in clause (i) of this subparagraph;
  - (II) the applicant offers Lifeline Service to qualifying low-income consumers in compliance with 47 C.F.R. Part 54, Subpart E; and
  - (III) the applicant offers toll limitation services in accordance with 47 C.F.R. §54.400 and §54.401.
- (C) Docketing. If, based on the administrative review, the presiding officer determines that one or more of the requirements have not been met, the presiding officer shall docket the application.
- (D) Review of the application after docketing. If the application is docketed, the effective date of the application shall be automatically suspended to a date 120 days after the applicant has filed all of its direct testimony and exhibits, or 155 days after the proposed effective date, whichever is later. Three copies of all answers to requests for information shall be filed with the commission within ten days after receipt of the request. Affected

persons may move to intervene in the docket, and a hearing on the merits shall be scheduled. A hearing on the merits shall be limited to issues of eligibility. The application shall be processed in accordance with the commission's rules applicable to docketed cases.

- (E) **Waiver.** In the event that an otherwise ETC requests additional time to complete the network upgrades needed to provide single-party service, access to enhanced 911 service, or toll limitation, the commission may grant a waiver of these service requirements upon a finding that exceptional circumstances prevent the carrier from providing single-party service, access to enhanced 911 service, or toll limitation. The period for the waiver shall not extend beyond the time that the commission deems necessary for that carrier to complete network upgrades to provide single-party service, access to enhanced 911 service, or toll limitation services.

- (i) **Designation of ETC for unserved areas.** If no common carrier will provide the services that are supported by federal universal service support mechanisms under 47 U.S.C. §254(c) to an unserved community or any portion thereof that requests such service, the commission, with respect to intrastate services, shall determine which common carrier or carriers are best able to provide such service to the requesting unserved community or portion thereof and shall order such carrier or carriers to provide such service for that unserved community or portion thereof.

- (j) **Relinquishment of ETC designation.** A common carrier may seek to relinquish its ETC designation.
- (1) **Area served by more than one ETC.** The commission shall permit a common carrier to relinquish its designation as an ETC in any area served by more than one ETC upon:
- (A) written notification not less than 90 days prior to the proposed effective date that the common carrier seeks to relinquish its designation as an ETC;
  - (B) determination by the commission that the remaining eligible telecommunications carrier or carriers can offer federally supported services to the relinquishing carrier's customers; and
  - (C) determination by the commission that sufficient notice of relinquishment has been provided to permit the purchase or construction of adequate facilities by any remaining eligible telecommunications carrier or carriers.
- (2) **Area where the common carrier is the sole ETC.** In areas where the common carrier is the only ETC, the commission may permit it to relinquish its ETC designation upon:
- (A) written notification not less than 90 days prior to the proposed effective date that the common carrier seeks to relinquish its designation as an ETC; and
  - (B) commission designation of a new ETC for the service area or areas.

- (k) **Rural and non-rural carriers' requirements for annual certification to receive FUSF support.** A common carrier serving a rural or non-rural study area shall comply with the following requirements for annual certification for the receipt of FUSF support.
- (1) **Annual certification.** Common carriers must provide the commission with an affidavit annually, on or before September 1st of each year, which certifies that the carrier is complying with the federal requirements for the receipt of FUSF support. Upon receipt and acceptance of the affidavits filed on or before September 1st each year, the commission will certify these carriers' eligibility for FUSF to the FCC and the Federal Universal Service Fund Administrator by October 1st each year.
  - (2) **Failure to file.** Common carriers failing to file an affidavit by September 1st may still be certified by the commission for annual FUSF. However, the carrier is ineligible for support until the quarter following the federal universal service administrator's receipt of the commission's supplemental submission of the carrier's compliance with the federal requirements.
  - (3) **Supplemental certification.** For carriers not subject to the annual certification process, the schedule set forth in 47 C.F.R. §54.313 and 47 C.F.R. §54.314(d) for the filing of supplemental certifications shall apply.
  - (4) **Recommendation for Revocation of FUSF support certification.** The commission may recommend the revocation of the FUSF support certification of any carrier that it determines has not complied with the federal requirements pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §254(e) and will review any challenge to a carrier's FUSF

support certification and make an appropriate recommendation as a result of any such review.

- (l) **Disaggregation of rural carriers' FUSF support.** Common carriers serving rural study areas must comply with the following requirements regarding disaggregation of FUSF support.
  - (1) **Election by May 15, 2002.** On or before May 15, 2002, all rural incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) may notify the commission of one of the following elections regarding FUSF support. This election will remain in place for four years from the effective date of certification, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.315, unless the commission, on its own motion, or upon the motion of the rural ILEC or an interested party, requires a change to the elected disaggregation plan:
    - (A) a rural ILEC may choose to certify to the commission that it will not disaggregate at this time;
    - (B) a rural ILEC may seek disaggregation of its FUSF support by filing a targeted plan with the commission that meets the criteria in paragraph (3) of this subsection, subject to the commission's approval of the plan;
    - (C) a rural ILEC may self-certify a disaggregation targeted plan that meets the criteria in paragraphs (3) and (4) of this subsection, disaggregate support to the wire center level or up to no more than two cost zones, or mirror a plan for disaggregation that has received prior commission approval; or
    - (D) if the rural ILEC serves a study area that is served by another carrier designated as an ETC prior to the effective date of 47 C.F.R. §54.315,

(June 19, 2001), the ILEC may only self-certify the disaggregation of its FUSF support by adopting a plan for disaggregation that has received prior commission approval.

- (2) **Abstain from filing.** If a rural ILEC abstains from filing an election on or before May 15, 2002, the carrier will not be permitted to disaggregate its FUSF support unless it is ordered to do so by the commission pursuant to the terms of paragraph (5) of this subsection.
- (3) **Requirements for rural ILECs' disaggregation plans.** Pursuant to the federal requirements in 47 C.F.R. §54.315(e) a rural ILEC's disaggregation plan, whether submitted pursuant to paragraph (1)(B), (C) or (D) of this subsection, must meet the following requirements:
  - (A) the sum of the disaggregated annual support must be equal to the study area's total annual FUSF support amount without disaggregation;
  - (B) the ratio of the per line FUSF support between disaggregation zones for each disaggregated category of FUSF support shall remain fixed over time, except as changes are required pursuant to paragraph (5) of this subsection;
  - (C) the ratio of per line FUSF support shall be publicly available;
  - (D) the per line FUSF support amount for each disaggregated zone or wire center shall be recalculated whenever the rural ILEC's total annual FUSF support amount changes and revised total per line FUSF support and updated access line counts shall then be applied using the changed FUSF support amount and updated access line counts applicable at that point;

- (E) each support category complies with subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph;
  - (F) monthly payments of FUSF support shall be based upon the annual amount of FUSF support divided by 12 months if the rural ILEC's study area does not contain a competitive carrier designated as an ETC; and
  - (G) a rural ILEC's disaggregation plan methodology and the underlying access line count upon which it is based will apply to any competitive carrier designated as an ETC in the study area.
- (4) **Additional requirements for self-certification of a disaggregation plan.** Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.315(d)(2), a rural ILEC's self-certified disaggregation plan must also include the following items in addition to those items required by paragraph (3) of this subsection:
- (A) support for, and a description of, the rationale used, including methods and data relied upon, as well as a discussion of how the plan meets the requirements in paragraph (3) of this subsection and this paragraph;
  - (B) a reasonable relationship between the cost of providing service for each disaggregation zone within each disaggregation category of support proposed;
  - (C) a clearly specified per-line level of FUSF support for each category pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.315(d)(2)(iii);
  - (D) if the plan uses a benchmark, a detailed explanation of the benchmark and how it was determined that is generally consistent with how the level of

support for each category of costs was derived so that competitive ETCs may compare the disaggregated costs for each cost zone proposed; and


- (E) maps identifying the boundaries of the disaggregated zones within the study area.
- (5) **Disaggregation upon commission order.** The commission on its own motion or upon the motion of an interested party may order a rural ILEC to disaggregate FUSF support under the following criteria:
- (A) the commission determines that the public interest of the rural study area is best served by disaggregation of the rural ILEC's FUSF support;
  - (B) the commission establishes the appropriate disaggregated level of FUSF support for the rural ILEC; or
  - (C) changes in ownership or changes in state or federal regulation warrant the commission's action.
- (6) **Effective dates of disaggregation plans.** The effective date of a rural ILEC's disaggregation plan shall be as specified in 47 C.F.R. §54.315.


This agency hereby certifies that the adoption has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency's legal authority. It is therefore ordered by the Public Utility Commission of Texas that §26.418 relating to Designation of Common Carriers as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers to Receive Federal Universal Service Funds, is hereby adopted with no changes to the text as proposed.

SIGNED AT AUSTIN, TEXAS on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of November 2012.

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**DONNA L. NELSON, CHAIRMAN**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**KENNETH W. ANDERSON, JR., COMMISSIONER**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**ROLANDO PABLOS, COMMISSIONER**