

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Carriage Complaint Against)	
)	
Armstrong Utilities, Inc.)	Docket No. 12-364
)	File No. CSR-8752-M
by)	
)	
Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC)	
)	
With Respect to Carriage Within the)	
Philadelphia, PA Designated Market Area,)	
of Local Commercial Television Station WACP,)	
Licensed to Atlantic City, New Jersey)	

Directed to: The Chief, Media Bureau

**MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO REPLY TO
OPPOSITION TO
PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF
BY ORDER OF CARRIAGE**

Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC (“Western Pacific”), pursuant to Rule 1.46, hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant Western Pacific an additional fourteen (14) days, ending on January 28, 2013, in which to file its reply to the opposition (the “Opposition”) submitted by Armstrong Utilities, Inc. (“Armstrong”) to Western Pacific’s above-captioned petition for an order requiring Armstrong to carry local commercial television station WACP in accordance with the Commission’s must carry rules and policies on Armstrong’s cable system(s) within the Philadelphia, PA designated market area for the remaining duration of the current must carry election cycle, expiring December 31, 2014.

The Opposition alleges that that Armstrong does not receive a good quality signal at Armstrong’s principal headend. Western Pacific’s consulting engineer and Armstrong’s

engineer have commenced discussions concerning the signal strength. To be fruitful, these discussions must involve an exchange of engineering information and an analysis of the information by both parties, which will require further time. There is a possibility these discussions will result in an agreement between the parties that will informally resolve this dispute without the need for the Bureau to dedicate its resources to the consideration of the pleadings and the development of an order to formally resolve this dispute. Moreover, the grant of this motion could only harm the movant, Western Pacific, who is the party requesting action by the Bureau, and is for a very short duration. For these reasons, the grant of this motion would be in the public interest. Counsel for Armstrong has authorized Western Pacific to represent that Armstrong is aware of the intention of Western Pacific to file this motion and will not interpose an objection to this motion.

WHEREFORE, the foregoing premises considered, Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant the additional time requested for Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC to submit its reply in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERN PACIFIC BROADCAST LLC

By: _____



M. Scott Johnson
Thomas J. Dougherty, Jr.
Its Counsel

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 812-0400

January 14, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michelle Brown Johnson, hereby certify that on this 14th day of January, 2013, I caused a copy of the foregoing "Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Petition for Special Relief by Order of Carriage" to be served via U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and email upon the following entity:

Christopher C. Cinnamon, Esq.
Cinnamon Mueller
307 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 1020
Chicago, IL 60601

cccinnamon@cm-chi.com


Michelle Brown Johnson