

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
And	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the	)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Erica Swedberg  
5230 16<sup>th</sup> Ave SW  
Seattle, WA 98106  
Ericadawn33@yahoo.com  
509-844-7545

February 4, 2013

## **AFFIDAVIT OF Erica Dawn Swedberg**

State of Washington ]

King County ]

I, Erica Swedberg attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Erica Swedberg. My address is 5230 16<sup>th</sup> Ave SW, Seattle WA 98106.
2. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m<sup>2</sup> as the threshold for “extreme concern” ([http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte\\_2008\\_englisch.pdf](http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf)). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as “possibly carcinogenic to humans.” The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is completely ridiculous.
3. I am an RN, I work in Oncology giving chemotherapy infusions. The impact of our environment on our bodies cannot be ignored. As we change this environment, whether by polluting the air, the water, the soil, and so on, we are polluting ourselves. As an Oncology Nurse it greatly concerns me that the IARC as classified radiofrequency fields as “possibly carcinogenic to humans”. I see people every day who are suffering and dying from cancer. I see their families suffer with them. Why should we continue to take the chance of exposing innocent people to the harmful effects of RF?
4. I urge the FCC to adopt new RF safety guidelines that take into account published research on the biological effects brought on by the ability of RF signals to

communicate with living tissue, and more specifically, to consider the Building Biology guidelines for human health.

Respectfully submitted by

Erica Swedberg  
5230 16<sup>th</sup> Ave SW  
Seattle, WA 98106  
February 4, 2013