BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
Comcast Cable Communications, LLC	)	
On behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates	)	
	)	
For a Determination of Effective Competition in:	)	
	)	CSR 8650-E
Beachwood, NJ-Area Franchise Areas	)	MB Docket No.12-159
	)	
	)	CSR-8651-E
East Windsor, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-160
	)	
	)	CSR-8652-E
Hazlet, NJ (NJ0405),	)	MB Docket No. 12-161
	)	
	)	CSR 8657-E
Chatham, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-166
	)	
	)	CSR-8656-E
Buena, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-165
	)	
	)	CSR-8668-E
Delaware, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-180
	)	
	)	CSR-8671-E
Berkeley Heights, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-183
	)	
	)	CSR-8675-E
Bellmawr, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	)	MB Docket No. 12-190
	)	
North Arlington, NJ (NJ0298) &	)	
Rutherford, NJ (NJ0294),	)	CSR-8649-E
	)	MB Docket No. 12-152
	)	
Bordentown (City), NJ (NJ0511) &	)	
Bordentown (Township), NJ (NJ0461),	)	CSR-8655-E
	)	MB Docket No. 12-164
	)	
In the Matter of Docket Established for Monitoring	)	
Recent Verizon Wireless Transactions	)	WC Docket 12-234

To: Secretary, FCC
Chief, Media Bureau
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, on behalf of its affiliates and subsidiaries ("Comcast"), opposes the Motion to Dismiss ("Motion") filed by the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") in the above-referenced proceedings. Rate Counsel's Motion is wholly without merit. Accordingly, Comcast respectfully requests that the Commission proceed without further delay to an analysis of the underlying Petitions for a Determination of Effective Competition ("Petitions").

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY.

In June 2012, Comcast filed the above-captioned petitions seeking a Commission determination that its cable systems face "effective competition" in certain New Jersey franchise areas (the "Franchise Areas"). On February 8, 2013, Rate Counsel filed its Motion.

Rate Counsel now argues that these Petitions should be summarily dismissed because they rely upon franchise-specific subscriber numbers provided by Verizon Communications. Rate Counsel claims that such subscriber numbers constitute "competitively sensitive data" in violation of both the Commission's decision authorizing the transfer of spectrum from SpectrumCo LLC, which included Comcast, to Verizon Wireless, as well as the Justice Department's related Consent Decree.[1] Rate Counsel contends that "the subject Petitions must be refiled without Verizon's competitively sensitive data."[2] Rate Counsel also asserts that

---

[1] *See Applications of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC and Cox TMI, LLC for Consent to Assign AWS-1 Licenses*, Memorandum Opinion & Order, 27 FCC Rcd. 10698 (2012) ("*Spectrum Decision*"); *United States v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc.*, No. 1:12-cv-01354-RMC, [Proposed] Final Judgment, ECF No. 2-1 (D.D.C. filed Aug. 16, 2012) ("Consent Decree").

[2] Motion at 2; *see also id.* at 5-7.

Comcast should be required to refile its petitions with updated subscriber data and household data because Hurricane Sandy "resulted in substantial losses of homes which directly impact both subscriber data and the number of household [sic] used in the application of the Competing Provider Test."[3]

Rate Counsel's effort to suggest that the Consent Decree is intended to deny Comcast the right to demonstrate effective competition by use of Verizon subscriber numbers, or to trump Verizon's obligation to disclose its subscriber numbers to Comcast for that limited purpose,[4] is wholly unfounded for a variety of reasons. Chief among those is that the Motion wholly ignores the fact that the Consent Decree clearly defines the categories of "competitively sensitive" Verizon information that are subject to its prohibitions, and the raw subscriber numbers for Verizon's multichannel video programming service do not meet that definition. Moreover, as is typical in these situations, Comcast has agreed to limit the disclosure of this information to outside counsel and inside counsel involved in this proceeding, thereby ensuring that this information could not have any effect on Comcast's conduct in the marketplace.

Rate Counsel's challenge to Comcast's Petitions based on Hurricane Sandy is similarly without support. The Motion references Hurricane Sandy's devastation, but fails to provide any explanation (let alone franchise-specific details) as to why the storm's impact compels the Commission to summarily dismiss Comcast's Petitions.

---

[3] *Id.* at 2; *see also id.* at 7-8.

[4] *Id.* at 3-7.

II. VERIZON'S PROVISION OF AGGREGATE SUBSCRIBER DATA FOR PURPOSES OF THE COMMISSION'S EFFECTIVE COMPETITION RULES DID NOT VIOLATE THE CONSENT DECREE.

The Consent Decree prohibits Verizon from "disclos[ing] competitively sensitive VZT information to any Cable Defendant," including Comcast.[5] The Consent Decree, however, clearly defines "Competitively Sensitive VZT Information" as "*any non-public information relating to the price, terms, availability, or marketing plans of VZT services.*"[6] Subscriber numbers are conspicuously absent from – and do not fit within – this list of information that is deemed "competitively sensitive" for purposes of the Consent Decree. And notably, Rate Counsel's Motion fails in any way to grapple with the actual language to show how Verizon's sharing of subscriber numbers in any way relates to the "price, terms, availability, or marketing plans of VZT services." That is hardly surprising. The subscriber data at issue here is aggregated on a franchise-wide basis – without any additional detail.[7] And the fact that Verizon operates in those franchise areas is not "non-public" information, given that Verizon operates pursuant to publicly-available franchise agreements, or in some states such as New Jersey, in communities that are listed on its publicly available state franchise applications, and given that its presence within a Franchise Area is readily apparent to the public.

---

[5] Consent Decree at 15.

[6] *Id.* at 4 (emphasis added).

[7] Although the Commission's Competing Provider Test does require a showing of availability of MVPD services in a franchise area, Comcast does not use Verizon's subscriber data for such a purpose. Instead, Comcast relies on the pervasive presence of DirecTV and Dish to demonstrate the "availability" of competing MVPD service. Comcast uses the Verizon subscriber tally only to show that the cumulative penetration of competing MPVDs exceeds the 15 percent requirement under the second prong of the Competing Provider Test. The Competing Provider Test distinguishes between evidence of "availability" under the first prong and numerical evidence of actual subscribers under the second prong. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 543(*l*)(1)(B)(i) and (ii); 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2)(i) and (ii).

Moreover, the notion that the Consent Decree was intended to preclude Comcast from relying on, or Verizon from complying with, the Commission's effective competition rules, is unsupportable.[8] Leaving everything else aside, Verizon's disclosure of aggregate subscriber numbers to Comcast for use in a petition for determination of effective competition in no way implicates the concerns the Consent Decree was intended to address. The Justice Department's Competitive Impact Statement accompanying the Consent Decree explains that the disclosure restrictions:

> ensure[] that no competitively sensitive information passes between the Cable Defendants and Verizon's consumer wireline business, in order to prevent collusion or other lessening of the intensity of the competitive rivalry between FiOS and Cable Defendants.[9]

Verizon's disclosure of its subscriber numbers to Comcast, as required by the Commission rules, was for use exclusively in Comcast's petition for a determination of effective competition. Indeed, as is typical in these situations, Comcast expressly agreed that the information would only be disclosed to outside counsel and inside counsel involved in this matter – no business people with responsibility for competitive decision-making have received or will receive this information.[10] Accordingly, there is no plausible way in which the sharing of this information

---

[8] *See* 47 C.F.R. § 76.907(c) ("If the evidence establishing effective competition is not otherwise available, cable operators may request from a competitor information regarding the competitor's reach and number of subscribers. A competitor must respond to such request within 15 days. Such responses maybe limited to numerical totals.").

[9] *United States v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc.*, No. 1:12-cv-01354-RMC, Competitive Impact Statement, ECF No. 3 (D.D.C. filed Aug. 16, 2012).

[10] Verizon's disclosure of its subscriber data was expressly conditioned on use of the data solely for purposes of demonstrating effective competition. In particular, a condition of obtaining that subscriber data was that: "Comcast agrees that the information will be used by this law firm [*i.e.*, Davis Wright Tremaine ("DWT")] solely for the purposes of preparing, filing and prosecuting petitions for effective competition at the FCC for Comcast's system(s) serving the Communities and will not be disclosed by DWT except to in-house Comcast personnel who are

could facilitate "collusion" or otherwise "lessen" the intensity of competitive rivalry between Comcast and Verizon. To the contrary, such sharing may actually facilitate *more* competition between the companies by removing regulatory impediments in the marketplace. In short, the Commission should reject Rate Counsel's argument on this score out of hand.[11]

III. RATE COUNSEL HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE WHY HURRICANE SANDY'S IMPACT COMPELS DISMISSAL OF COMCAST'S PETITIONS.

Rate Counsel contends that the Commission should now dismiss the Petitions for failing to account for the intervening impact of Hurricane Sandy.[12] Rate Counsel fails, however, to provide the Commission with any legal or factual basis for this unusual request. In fact, the two paragraphs the Rate Counsel dedicates to this argument contain no evidence whatsoever as to how competitor penetration figures were impacted by Hurricane Sandy. The Motion simply questions the "reliability of both the household and the satellite penetration data,"[13] without offering any actual evidence – or even an argument – that the data Comcast submitted is no longer reliable.

The Commission's procedural rules entitle Rate Counsel to submit a substantive opposition to Comcast's Petitions. If Rate Counsel has specific evidence demonstrating the data

---

actively engaged in the evaluation, preparation or prosecution of an effective competition petition and who are not involved in competitive decision-making." Attachment A.

[11] Beyond all of this, the Consent Decree was entered into on August 16, 2012, while Comcast's Petitions were filed in June 2012, and thus was not even in effect as of the date Comcast filed its Petitions. In fact, Verizon actually provided the subscriber data at issue to Comcast in late 2011 – even before any of the transactions leading to the Consent Decree occurred. However, to avoid delays in future effective competition proceedings where Verizon data is used, the Commission should address and reject Rate Counsel's Consent Decree argument.

[12] Motion at 2, 7-8.

[13] *Id.* at 8.

Comcast has submitted is no longer reliable in specific franchise areas, it should submit that evidence in a timely opposition and allow Comcast to file rebuttal evidence. The Commission should not, however, summarily dismiss Comcast's long-pending Petitions (thereby further delaying a substantive resolution) based solely on Rate Counsel's unsupported questioning of the "reliability" of Comcast's submissions.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Comcast respectfully requests that the Commission promptly deny both Rate Counsel's Motion to Dismiss and its request that "the comment period be stayed pending Media Bureau action."[14] Rate Counsel has already delayed this proceeding for many months based on earlier challenges and extensions. Given the time that has transpired since Comcast submitted its Petitions in June 2012, it is incumbent on Rate Counsel to submit any substantive opposition to the Petitions without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC
on behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates

By: _____

Wesley R. Heppler
Steven J. Horvitz
Frederick W. Giroux

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 973-4200

February 19, 2013

Its Attorneys

[14] *See id.* at 3, 8 n.15.

Attachment A

From: Edgington, M Eric (Eric) <eric.edgington@verizon.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2011 5:27 PM
To: Giroux, Fred
Subject: RE: Request for Verizon Subscriber Figures For Certain New Jersey Communities
Attachments: Community & Sub #s.pdf

Fred,

Subject to the below terms, attached is the Verizon subscriber information for those NJ communities listed in the attachment to your original e-mail in which Verizon currently has subscribers.

As we discussed, you will notify me should you making any filing under note number 3 below.

Eric Edgington

Associate General Counsel

Ofc. 813.483.2618

Fax. 813.204.8870

From: Giroux, Fred [mailto:FredGiroux@dwt.com]
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 8:58 AM
To: Edgington, M Eric (Eric)
Subject: Request for Verizon Subscriber Figures For Certain New Jersey Communities

Eric:

As we discussed yesterday, Comcast is seeking to demonstrated the presence of "effective competition" in certain New Jersey communities. A list of the relevant communities (the "Communities") is included in the attached spreadsheet. We hereby request pursuant to Section 76.907(c) of the FCC's Rules that Verizon provide us with the number of video subscribers that it serves in each of the Communities as soon as possible, but in no event later than 15 days from the date of this request.

In counting subscribers, each separately billed household should be counted as a subscriber. In accordance with FCC Rule Section 76.905(c), however, where multiple dwelling units ("MDUs") are billed as a single customer, each individual unit in that MDU should be counted as a separate household subscriber.

Based on our prior discussions Comcast is agreeable to the following:

1. Verizon will provide undersigned counsel at DWT the information regarding Verizon's cable television subscribers in the Communities.

2. Comcast agrees that the information will be used by this law firm solely for the purposes of preparing, filing and prosecuting petitions for effective competition at the FCC for Comcast's system(s) serving the Communities and will not be disclosed by DWT except to in-house Comcast personnel who are actively engaged in the evaluation, preparation or prosecution of an effective competition petition and who are not involved in competitive decision-making.

3. Comcast agrees that if it submits any of the subscriber information provided by Verizon with respect to the Communities, Comcast will request confidential treatment of such information from the FCC.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this request.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Regards,

Fred Giroux | Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 973-4204 | Fax: (202) 973-4404
Email: fredgiroux@dwt.com | Website: www.dwt.com

Anchorage | Bellevue | Los Angeles | New York | Portland | San Francisco | Seattle | Shanghai | Washington, D.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Paulette Humphries, do hereby certify on this 19th day of February, 2013 that a true and correct copy of the foregoing "OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS" has been sent via U.S. mail, postage prepaid to the following:

Judith A. Allen
Clerk
Delaware Township
PO Box 500
Sergeantsville, NJ 08557

Kim Macellaro
Clerk
Ewing Township
2 Jake Garzio Dr.
Ewing, NJ 08628

Michele Hovan
Clerk
Hopewell Borough
PO Box 128
Hopewell, NJ 08525

Michael Markulec
Clerk
Hopewill Township
201 Washington Crossing- Pennington Road
Titusville, NJ 08560

Kathleen Norcia
Clerk
Lawrence Township
PO Box 6006
Lawrence Township, NJ 08648

Elizabeth Sterling
Clerk
Pennington Borough
30 North Main Street
Pennington, NJ 08534

Lora L. Olsen
Clerk
West Amwell Township
150 Rocktown-Lamb Rd
Lambertville, NJ 08530

J. Mark Mutter, RMC
Township Clerk
Toms River Township
33 Washington Street
Toms River, NJ 08753

Elizabeth A. Mastropasqua, RMC
Borough Clerk
Beachwood Borough
1600 Pinewald Road
Beachwood, NJ 08722

Bernadette Dugan
Borough Clerk
Lakehurst Borough
5 Union Avenue
Lakehurst, NJ 08733

Ellie Rogalski, RMC/CMC
Borough Clerk
Island Heights Borough
East End & Vant Sant Avenue
Island Heights, NJ 08732

Ms. Charleen Santora
Borough Clerk/Administrator
Berlin Borough
59 S. White Horse Pike
Berlin, NJ 08009

Elizabeth Silvestri, RMC/CMC
Borough Clerk
South Toms River Borough
144 Mill Street
South Toms River, NJ 08757

Ms. Jenai Johnson
Borough Clerk/Administrator
Clementon Borough
101 Gibbsboro Road
Clementon, NJ 08021

Mr. Charles Sauter
Borough Clerk
Bellmawr Borough
PO Box 368
21 East Browning Road
Bellmawr, NJ 08099

Ms. Dina Zawadski
Township Clerk
Deptford Township
1011 Cooper St.
Deptford, NJ 08096

Ms. Catherine Underwood
Township Clerk
Berlin Township
135 Route 73 South
West Berlin, NJ 08091

Ms. Kathleen M. Jentsch
City Clerk
Gloucester City
512 Monmouth St.
Gloucester City, NJ 08030

Ms. Holly Mannel
Borough Clerk
Collingswood Borough
678 Haddon Avenue
Collingswood, NJ 08108

Ms. Teri Degolia
Township Clerk
Maple Shade Township
200 Stiles Ave.
PO Box 368
Maple Shade, NJ 08052

Ms. Pat Frontino
Borough Clerk
Glassboro Borough
1 S. Main St.
Glassboro, NJ 08028

Ms. Terry Shannon
Borough Clerk
Mount Ephraim Borough
121 South black Horse Pike
Mt. Ephraim, NJ 08059

Mr. Paul J. Tuliano, Jr.
Township Clerk/Administrator
Hainesport Township
PO Box 477
One Hainesport Center
Hainesport, NJ 08036

Mr. Thomas Cardis
Pine Hill Borough
45 W. 7th Avenue
Pine Hill, NJ 08021

Mr. Mark J. MacIntosh
Borough Clerk/Deputy
Medford Lakes Borough
Cabin Circle
Medford Lakes, NJ 08055

Ms. Jeanette Schelberg
Township Clerk
Voorhees Township
2400 Voorhees Town Center
Voorhees, NJ 08043

Ms. Bonnie Taft
Borough Clerk
Oaklyn Borough
500 White Horse Pike
Oaklyn, NJ 08107

Ms. Judy O'Donnell
Borough Clerk
Pitman Borough
110 S. Broadway
Pitman, NJ 08071

Ms. Amy Leso
Acting Township
West Deptford Township
400 Crown Point Rd.
PO Box 89
Thorofare, NJ 08086

Ms. Janet Pizzi
Borough Clerk/Administrator Clerk
Woodbury Heights Borough
500 Elm Avenue
Woodbury, NJ 08097

Ms. Ana Minkoff
Acting Township Clerk
Berkeley Heights Township
29 Park Avenue
Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922

Ms. Edith L. Merkel
Township Clerk
Clark Township
430 Westfield Avenue
Clark, NJ 07066

Ms. Eleanor McGovern
Borough Clerk & Administrator
Fanwood Borough
75 North Martine Avenue
Fanwood, NJ 07023

Mr. Karl Kinkler
City Administrator/Clerk
Woodbury City
PO Box 180
33 Delaware Street
Woodbury, NJ 08096

Mr. Charles J. Sauter III
Borough Clerk
Woodlynne Borough
200 Cooper Avenue
Woodlynne, NJ 08107

Ms. Lisa O'Neill
Acting Borough Clerk
Caldwell Borough
1 Provost Square
Caldwell, NJ 07006

Ms. Francine T. Paserchia
Borough Clerk & Administrator
Essex Fells Borough
255 Roseland Avenue
PO Box 38
Essex Fells, NJ 07201

Mr. Michael Rohal
Borough Clerk
Glen Ridge Borough
825 Bloomfield Ave.
PO Box 66
Glen Ridge, NJ 07028

Mr. Joseph C. Bodek
City Clerk
Linden City
City Hall
301 N. Wood Ave.
Linden, NJ 07036

Ms. Elizabeth J. Fritzen
Township Clerk
Maplewood Township
574 Valley Street
Maplewood, NJ 07040

Ms. Janet Valisavljevic
Township Clerk
Hillside Township
Liberty and Hillside Aves.
Hillside, NJ 07205

Mr. Glenn Turtletaub
Township Clerk
Livingston Township
357 S. Livingston Ave.
Livingston, NJ 07039

Ms. Joanne M. Monarque
Township Clerk
Millburn Township
375 Millburn Avenue
Millburn, NJ 07041

Ms. Martha DeJesus
Borough Clerk
Mountainside Borough
1385 Route 22
Mountainside, NJ 07092

Mr. Thomas Kaczynski
Borough Clerk & Administrator
Roseland Borough
19 Harrison Ave.
Roseland, NJ 07068

Ms. Linda Donnelly
Township Clerk
Springfield Township
100 Mountain Avenue
Springfield, NJ 07081

Ms. Susan F. Neale
Acting Township Clerk
Verona Township
600 Bloomfield Ave.
Verona, NJ 07044

Ms. Linda S. Wanat
Township Clerk
Montclair Township
205 Claremont Avenue
Montclair, NJ 07042

Mr. Wendi B. Barry
Borough Clerk
New Providence Borough
360 Elkwood Ave.
New Providence, NJ 07974

Ms. Bozena Lacina
Township Clerk
Scotch Plains Township
430 Park Ave.
Scotch Plains, NJ 07076

Mr. David L. Hughes
City Clerk
Summit City
512 Springfield Ave.
Summit, NJ 07901

Mr. Jock H. Watkins
Township Administrator & Clerk
West Caldwell Township
30 Clinton Road
West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Ms. Claire J. Gray
Town Clerk
Westfield Town
425 East Broad Street
Westfield, NJ 07090

Ms. Karen J. Carnevale
Township Clerk
West Orange Township
66 Main Street
West Orange, NJ 07052

Ms. Patricia D. Ryan
City Clerk
Bordentown City
324 Farnsworth Ave.
Bordentown, NJ 08505

Ms. Colleen Eckert
Township Clerk
Bordentown Township
1 Municipal Drive
Bordentown, NJ 08505

Ms. Maryann Coraluzzo
Borough Clerk
Buena Borough
616 Central Ave.
Minotola, NJ 08341

Ms. Linda Gonzales
Township Clerk
Buena Vista Township
890 Harding Highway
PO Box 605
Buena, NJ 08310

Ms. Ruth Dawson
Township Clerk
Lawrence Township
357 Main St.
PO Box 697
Cedarville, NJ 08311

Mrs. Susan McCormick
Township Clerk
Monroe Township
125 Virginia Ave.
Williamstown, NJ 08094

Ms. Maureen Abdill
Township Clerk
Pilesgrove Township
1180 Route 40
Pilesgrove, NJ 08098

Mr. Steve Wymbs
Acting Township Clerk
Pittsgrove Township
989 Centeron Rd.
Pittsgrove, NJ 08318

Mr. Tom Smith
City Clerk and Administrator
Salem City
17 New Market St.
Salem, NJ 08079

Mr. Ronald L. Campbell
Borough Clerk
Shiloh Borough
Box 349
Shiloh, NJ 08079

Ms. Nancy Kearns
Township Clerk
South Harrison Township
PO Box 113
644 Harrisonville Road
Harrisonville, NJ 08039

Ms. Tanya Goodwin
Borough Clerk
Swedesboro Borough
1500 Kings Highway
PO Box 56
Swedesboro, NJ 08085

Mr. Roy Spoltore
Township Clerk and Administrator
Upper Deerfield Township
1325 Route 77
Seabrook, NJ 08302

Ms. Virginia L. Chandler
Township Clerk
Waterford Township
2131 Auburn Ave.
Atco, NJ 08004

Ms. Jane DiBella
Township Clerk and Administrator
Woolwich Township
120 Village Green Drive
Woolwich, NJ 08085

Mr. Gregory LaConte
Township Clerk
Chatham Township
Municipal Building
58 Meyersville Road
Chatham, NJ 07928

Ms. Judith A. Allen
Township Clerk
Delaware Township
570 Rosemont Ringoes Road
PO Box 500
Sergeantsville, NJ 08557

Ms. Maureen Masssey
Borough Clerk
Mendham Borough
The Phoenix House
2 West Main Street
Mendham, NJ 07945-1213

Ms. Margaret J. Gould
Borough Clerk and Administrator
Peapack Gladstone Borough
1 School Street
Peapack, NJ 07977

Ms. Linda S. McDermott
Township Clerk
Princeton Township
400 Witherspoon Street
Princeton, NJ 08540

Ms. Rebecca Newman
Borough Clerk
Rocky Hill Borough
15 Montgomery Avenue
PO Box 188
Rocky Hill, NJ 08553

Ms. Ella M. Ruta
Township Clerk
Union Township
140 Perryville Road
Hampton, NJ 08827

Ms. Carol Isemann
Township Clerk and Administrator
Chester Township
1 Parker Rd.
Chester, NJ 07930

Ms. Christine Mazzucco
Township Clerk
Long Hill Township
915 Valley Road
Gillette, NJ 07933

Ms. Ann Carlson
Acting Township Clerk
Mendham Township
2 West Main Street
PO Box 520
Brookside, NJ 07926

Mr. Robert W. Bruschi
Acting Borough Clerk
Princeton Borough
1 Monument Dr.
PO Box 390
Princeton, NJ 08542-0390

Ms. Vita Mekovetz
Township Clerk and Administrator
Readington Township
509 Route 523
Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889

Ms. Roberta Brassard
Township Clerk
Tewksbury Township
169 Old Turnpike Road
Township Building
Califon, NJ 07830

Cindy Dye
Township Clerk
16 Lanning Blvd.
East Windsor, NJ 08520

Sandra Bohinski
Borough Clerk
51 Main Street
Helmetta, NJ 08828

Debra Sopronyi
Borough Clerk
148 North Main Street
Hightstown, NJ 08520

Carol J. Torres
Township Clerk
641 Plainsboro Road
Plainsboro, NJ 08536

Krystyna Bieracka-Olejnik, RMC/CMC
Borough Clerk
33 North Rochdale Avenue
Roosevelt, New Jersey 08555

Sharon L. Young
Township Clerk
271 Clarksville Road
West Windsor, NJ 08550

Terrance Wall
Administrator/Clerk
Borough of North Arlington
214 Ridge Road
North Arlington, NJ 07031

Margaret Scanlon
Municipal Clerk
Borough of Rutherford
176 Park Avenue
Rutherford, NJ 07070

Evelyn Grandi
Township Clerk
Township of Hazlet
1776 Union Avenue
Hazlet, NJ 07730

William Lake, Chief, Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Steven Broeckeart
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Verizon Legal Department
Attn: M. Eric Edgington
Maggie McCready
610 E. Zack Street
5th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq.
Jose Rivera-Benitez, Esq.
Christopher J. White, Esq.
Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq.
Division of Rate Counsel
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, NJ 07101

Paulette Humphries