

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Reply Filed by: (Robert E. Peden)
(5106 Lenore Street)
(Torrance, CA 90503)
(lopaka1998@yahoo.com)
(310-316-7673)

March 6, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF Robert E. Peden

State of California]

Los Angeles County]

I, Robert E. Peden, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Robert E. Peden. My address is 5106 Lenore St., Torrance, CA 90503.
2. I am a Network Specialist.
3. I am writing to express my concerns on the current RF safety guidelines. I believe that the current established IEEE and ICNIRP guidelines are inadequate to protect people from biological effects of long-term exposure to his kind of RF radiation.
4. I have had some adverse, unintended effects due to the current safety guidelines – primarily tinnitus and trouble sleeping. These effects occurred shortly after the installation of a RF emitting smart meter by my electric utility, SCE.
5. In addition I often hear an odd humming in the early hours of the morning that did not exist before SCE forced these RF-radiating smart meters upon us.
6. I would like it noted that the IARC of the World Health Organization has classified radiofrequency radiation as a class 2B possible carcinogen in May 2011.
7. The current public safety standards are 1,000 to 10,000 times higher than levels that are now often reported in mobile phone base station studies to cause bioeffects – see <http://www.bioinitiative.org/conclusions/>
8. I am in favor of a SEVERE DECREASE in the current limits to protect us from further harm while the long-term effects are studied. In other words, the RF safety regulations

should recommend significantly lower limits than they currently do. This is the only safe action – to err on the side of caution until long term studies come out that can determine exactly what exposure level is safe on a biological level to humans.

9. We deserve a safety limit that is based on biological effects. I recommend that the FCC encourage Congress to allocate resources to the EPA to establish biologically based radiofrequency radiation safety limits. Several long and short-term studies should be performed. This is the only way to ensure that the studies are nonpartisan. This will ensure untainted results and help guarantee public safety in the future.

10. As an additional safety measure, I recommend that a moratorium be placed on sales of new spectrum, transmitting utility meter installation, and installation of additional base stations for a wireless service while the above recommended studies are underway.

Respectfully submitted by

Robert E. Peden
5106 Lenore St.
Torrance, CA 90503
March 6, 2013