

## 5. Developmental effects

The critical phases in the dynamic process of development take place mainly *in utero* (mammals) or *in ovo* (birds) i.e. during the embryonic period. The main bulk of investigations were performed regarding the possible effects on animals after irradiation, during *in utero* or *in ovo* development. The effects on development are determined by endpoints such as weight gain, congenital malformations, resorptions, and number of litters. These endpoints will be considered for various exposure conditions. The effects of EMF (mainly LF) on animal development are summarized in Table 2. Egg production was reduced (8%) when young laying hens have been continuously exposed to CW 915 MHz with an incident power of 800 mW during the first 2.5 weeks, 0 mW during the following week and 200 mW for the rest of experiment. Hatching of fertile and total eggs was not significantly influenced. No macroscopic malformations were observed in the chicks or dead embryos [60].

Jensh et al. [61] irradiated pregnant Wistar albino rats at a power density level of 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, at a frequency of 915 MHz and average SAR 3.57 W/kg. The animals were exposed for 6 h/day from day 1 to day 21 of gestation. No significant teratogenic signs were observed regarding the resorption rate, malformation rate, mean litter size, fetal weight and number of live and dead fetuses. The experiment was repeated and extended in order to analyze the embryonic and postnatal development of offspring [62]. Eleven pregnant rats were irradiated and 19 rats were used as control animals. All animals delivered and raised their offspring (F<sub>1a</sub>) until weaning at 30 days of age. Ten days later females were rebred and teratologic evaluation was conducted on the resultant F<sub>1b</sub> fetuses. At 90 days of age, reproductive capability was evaluated and a standard teratologic analysis performed on the resultant F<sub>2</sub> offspring. No significant morphologic changes were revealed.

Pregnant rats were exposed at 970 MHz for 22 h/day from the 1st to 19th day of pregnancy [63]. The SAR values varied from 0.07, 2.4 and 4.8 W/kg. The embryo mortality, fetal weight, skeletal ossification, as well as maternal fertility were evaluated. The exposure with SAR 4.8 W/kg caused reduced (–12%) fetal body weight versus the control. All the other examined parameters were not significantly different.

Klug et al. [64] exposed rat embryos (9.5 days old) for up to 36 h to 900 MHz. The modulation frequency was fixed at 215 Hz and the SAR values were calculated at 0.2, 1 and 5 W/kg. The endpoints of the experiment were crown-rump length, number of somites as well as embryonic malformations. No significant changes were observed on the growth and differentiation parameters of the embryos. Magras and Xenos [65] investigated the possible effects of radiofrequency radiation on prenatal development in mice. The study consisted of *in vivo* experiments at several places around an "antenna park" where the frequency emissions ranged from 88.5 to 950 MHz. At these locations RF power densities between 168 and 1053 nW/cm<sup>2</sup> were measured. Twelve pairs

of mice, divided in two groups, were placed in locations of different power densities and were repeatedly mated five times. One hundred eighteen newborns were collected. They were measured, weighed, and examined macro- and microscopically. A progressive decrease in the number of newborns per dam was observed, which ended in irreversible infertility. The prenatal development of the newborns, however, evaluated by the crown-rump length, the body weight, and the number of the lumbar, sacral, and coccygeal vertebrae, was improved. Wistar albino rats [15] were exposed through pregnancy for 6 h each day to CW 915 MHz radiation at a power density level of 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Teratologic evaluation included the following parameters: mean litter size, maternal organ weight and organ weight/body weight ratios, body weight ratios of various organs (brain, liver, kidneys, and ovaries), number of resorptions and resorption rate, number of abnormalities and abnormality rate, mean term fetal weight. Mothers were rebred, and the second, unexposed litters were evaluated for teratogenic effects. Animals exposed to 915 MHz did not exhibit any consistent significant alterations in any of the above parameters.

Wistar rats were continuously exposed [66] during pregnancy to a low-level (0.1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) 900 MHz, 217 Hz pulse modulated EMF. Whole body average SAR values for the freely roaming, pregnant animals were measured in models; they ranged between 17.5 and 75 mW/kg. No differences between exposed and sham exposed dams or offspring were recorded in terms of litter size, evolution of body mass and developmental landmarks of litter mates. The effects of microwaves emitted by cellular phones on birth weights of rats were investigated by Dasdag et al. [67]. Thirty-six Wistar albino rats were divided into four groups. Each experimental or sham exposed group comprised six males or 12 females. The rats were exposed at 890–915 MHz (SAR 0.155 W/kg). Males were exposed daily for 3 × 1 min during 2 h/day for 1 month. Females were exposed in the same way until they gave birth. When the offspring became adult the experiment was repeated on them. No significant differences were observed between rectal temperatures in the sham and experimental groups. The birth weight of offspring in the experimental group was significantly lower than in the sham exposed group. However in the next generation of rats all parameters investigated were normal. Pregnant Sprague–Dawley rats were exposed [68] to ultra wide band (UWB) 0.1–1 GHz radiation in order to determine if teratological changes occur in rat pups as a result of (1) daily UWB exposures during gestation days 3 ± 18, or (2) as a result of both prenatal and postnatal (10 days) exposures. Dams were exposed either to (I) UWB irradiation with average whole body specific absorption rate 45 mW/kg (II) sham irradiation or (III) a positive control. Offspring were examined regarding litter size, sex-ratios, weights, coat appearance, and tooth eruption. The pups postnatally exposed were examined for hippocampal morphology. Generally, no significant differences were found between the exposed and sham group. The medial-to-lateral length of the hippocampus was significantly longer in the

Table 3  
Summary of animal studies on effects of EMF (related to mobile telephony), on reproduction and development.

Animal species	Exposure frequency	Endpoint	Effect	Reference
Chicken	915 MHz	Development	No	[60]
Rat	915 MHz	Development	No	[61]
Rat	915 MHz	Development	No	[62]
Rat	970 MHz	Development	No	[63]
Rat	915 MHz	Development	No	[15]
Rat	900 MHz	Development	No	[64]
Mouse	88.5–950 MHz	Fertility/development	Yes/no	[65]
Rat	890–915 MHz	Testes	Yes	[39]
Rat	900 MHz	Development	No	[66]
Rat	0.1–1 GHz	Development	No	[68]
Rat	890–915 MHz	Development	Yes	[67]
Chicken	900 MHz	Development	Yes	[69]
Rat	890–915 MHz	Testes	No	[40]
Chicken		Development	Yes	[70]
Rat	900 MHz	Testes	No	[42]
Mouse	900 MHz	Testes	No	[41]
White stork	900–1800 MHz phone mast	Reproduction	Yes	[74]
Chicken	900 MHz	Kidney development	Yes	[71]
Mouse	1800 MHz	Testes	No	[43]
Rat	900 MHz	Endometrium	Yes	[48]
Rat	900 MHz	Brain development	No	[72]
Rat	1835–1850 MHz	Testes	No	[44]
Rat	1.9 GHz	Sperm	No	[45]
Tit	1200–3000 MHz	Reproduction	No	[75]
Rat	900 MHz	Endometrium	Yes	[49]
Chicken	900 MHz	Development	Yes	[73]
Rat	900 MHz	Testes	No	[46]
Rat	900 MHz	Testes	No	[47]

UWB-exposed pups than in the sham exposed animals but could not correlated with neurological dysfunction. The male offspring exposed *in utero* to UWB mated significantly less frequently than sham exposed males, but when they did mate there was no difference in fertilization and offspring numbers from the sham group.

Bastide et al. [69] reported chicken embryo mortality from day 7 to day 11 of incubation. This mortality reached 64% compared to 11% in controls. The maximum level of embryonic mortality was observed in the eggs placed near the telephone.

Chicken embryos were exposed to EMF from GSM mobile phone during the embryonic development [70]. The embryo mortality rate in the incubation period increased to 75% versus 16% in control group.

Ingole and Ghosh [71] studied by means of light microscopy the developmental effects on the avian kidney of radiation, from a cell phone handset (900 MHz frequency, power of 2 W and SAR of 0.37 W/kg). The authors reported morphological alterations on the epithelium of the renal tubules as well as of the renal corpuscles in E6, E8 and E10 chicken embryos.

The possible impact of cell phone radiation in the developing central nervous system of male Wistar rats was examined [72]. The animals were exposed to 900 MHz signal for 2 h/day on 5 days/week. After 5 weeks of exposure at whole body average SAR of 0.3 or 3 W/kg or sham exposure no degenerative morphological changes were found.

The results about the effects of exposing fertilized chicken eggs to a mobile phone over the entire period of incubation were published recently [73]. In this study, a series of 4 incubations were employed. During each incubation, 4 groups were used (control I, control II, experimental, sham). In the experimental group, the cell phone in call position was placed near ( $\leq 25$  cm) the eggs, whereas in the sham group the cell phone in off position was placed 1.5 m away from the exposed group. A significantly higher percentage of embryo mortality was observed in the experimental compared to the sham group in 2 of the 4 incubations. The lethal effects of embryo development in the experimental group were mainly observed between the 9th and 12th day of incubation.

Another issue that in recent years has attracted the attention of scientists is the effects of radiation from RF antennas on the biology of wild birds.

Balmori [74] investigated the possible effects of EMF from phone masts on a population of White stork (*Ciconia ciconia*). The total productivity in the nests located within 200 m of antennas was  $0.86 \pm 0.16$  versus  $1.6 \pm 0.14$  for those located further than 300 m. Another interesting observation, was that, 40% of the nests within 200 m of the antennae never had any chicks, while only 3.3% located further than 300 m never had chicks.

The influence of a military radar station [75] emitting pulsed modulated microwave radiation of 1200–3000 MHz was examined in tits (*Parus* sp). Experimental nest-boxes

were either exposed to a mean level of  $3.41 \pm 1.38$  or  $1.12 \pm 0.84 \text{ W/m}^2$ . For control nest-boxes the exposure ranged from 0.001 to 0.01  $\text{W/m}^2$ . No statistically significant differences in the number of eggs or in the number of nestlings were observed between the two series (exposed, control) of tits.

## 6. Conclusions

The EMF were, are and will be a part of our life. The progress of science will provide the world with new EMF emitting technologies and subsequently with new problems. The monitoring of literature on this scientific field shows a shift of research which follows exactly the new technologies. The era of mobile telephony is beginning.

The evaluation of the possible effects of EMF on the living organism is a complex process that needs the combined contributions of many scientific disciplines. Due to the need for expertise in many different sciences, together with the technical problems of radiation studies, many times the published results are considered deficient in certain aspects. This is inevitable, and not an indication of poor quality. The inability to observe a biological effect in a particular study does not necessarily mean that such effect or/and adverse health effect is not present.

The vertebrate animal studies summarized in the present paper do not suggest strong effects of LF EMF on the male genital system. However, some studies on the development of animals, showed sensitivity, mainly observed in chickens. There is no convincing evidence from studies of mammals (Table 3), that exposure to EMF at levels associated with mobile telecommunications could be harmful for embryonic or postnatal development or for male fertility. On the other hand, the birds appeared to be more sensitive. The effects of EMF on the female genital system need further attention, since two experimental studies cannot lead to definitive conclusions.

The positive findings of the experimental studies with vertebrate animals are mainly attributed to the thermal effects of EMF. No valid evidence was found for the occurrence of non-thermal effects. However the non-thermal mechanisms must be the next target of the research.

The majority of reviewed studies were conducted in laboratories. This fact cannot represent the realistic situation of cell phone communication. On the other hand, the *in vivo* and simultaneously *in situ* studies are very scarce. Only Magras and Xenos conducted an *in situ* experiment which took place near an antenna park. That is because this kind of experiment is very difficult to carry out, and interaction with other exogenous factors could change the results.

One particular deficiency in most studies is that they describe experiments with acute or short-term exposure of animals on EMF. Experiments are needed to perform long-term exposure in order to demonstrate the chronic impact of EMF.

Another point that must be elucidated is that the majority of experimental animals used were small rodents (mice and rats), as well as chicken embryos. Further research is needed with the use of bigger animals such as dog and sheep.

The radiations emitted from masts that are situated in many rural and sylvatic areas could be possibly pathogenic in the wild animals. The wild animal populations could be candidate "experimental material" for closer observation of the possible effects of EMF on vertebrate models.

An important and intriguing aspect of the research is the possible role of the combination of RF with other pollutants such as chemical substances and other forms of radiation, as well as the interaction with drugs.

The potential health effects of EMF should be continually reassessed as new research results become available. EMF exposure guidelines also need to be updated or reconsidered as new scientific information on radiation and health risks is produced. However, additional studies might increase our understanding of the sensitivity of organisms to EMF.

## References

- [1] J. Juutilainen, Developmental effects of electromagnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (2005) S107–S115.
- [2] P.A. Valberg, T.E. van Deventer, M.H. Repacholi, Workgroup report: base stations and wireless networks-radiofrequency (RF) exposures and health consequences, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 115 (2007) 416–424.
- [3] M.H. Repacholi, Health risks from the use of mobile phones, *Toxicol. Lett.* 120 (2001) 323–331.
- [4] L.J. Challis, Mechanisms for interaction between RF fields and biological tissue, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (2005) S98–S106.
- [5] B.C.A. Windle, On certain early malformations of the embryo, *J. Anat. Physiol.* 27 (1893) 436–453.
- [6] B.C.A. Windle, On the effects of electricity and magnetism on development, *J. Anat. Physiol.* 29 (1895) 346–351.
- [7] H.J. Cook, N.H. Steneck, A.J. Vander, G.L. Kane, Early research on the biological effects of microwave radiation: 1940–1960, *Ann. Sci.* 37 (1980) 323–351.
- [8] W.M. Leach, Genetic, growth and reproductive effects of microwave radiation, *Bull. N.Y. Acad. Med.* 56 (1980) 249–257.
- [9] B. Algers, K. Hennichs, Biological effects of electromagnetic fields. A review, *Vet. Res. Commun.* 6 (1983) 265–279.
- [10] T.E. Bryan, R.P. Gildersleeve, Effects of nonionizing radiation on birds, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 89A (1988) 511–530.
- [11] E. Berman, L. Chacon, D. House, Development of chicken embryos in a pulsed magnetic field, *Bioelectromagnetics* 11 (1990) 169–187.
- [12] J. Juutilainen, Effects of low-frequency magnetic fields on embryonic development and pregnancy, *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health.* 17 (1991) 149–158.
- [13] N. Chernoff, J.M. Rogers, R. Kavet, A review of the literature on potential reproductive and developmental toxicity of electric and magnetic fields, *Toxicology* 74 (1992) 91–126.
- [14] R.L. Brent, W.E. Gordon, W.R. Bennett, D.A. Beckman, Reproductive and teratologic effects of electromagnetic fields, *Reprod. Toxicol.* 7 (1993) 535–580.
- [15] R.P. Jensh, Behavioral teratological studies using microwave radiation: is there an increased risk from exposure to cellular phones and microwave ovens? *Reprod. Toxicol.* 11 (1997) 601–611.

- [16] L. Verschaeve, A. Maes, Genetic, carcinogenic and teratogenic effects of radiofrequency fields, *Mutation Res.* 410 (1998) 141–165.
- [17] H. Huuskonen, M.-L. Lindbohm, J. Juutilainen, Teratogenic and reproductive effects of low-frequency magnetic fields, *Mutation Res.* 410 (1998) 167–183.
- [18] R.L. Brent, Reproductive and teratologic effects of low-frequency electromagnetic fields: a review of *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies using animal models, *Teratology* 59 (1999) 261–286.
- [19] M.E. O'Connor, Mammalian teratogenesis and radiofrequency fields, *Proc. IEEE* 68 (1980) 56–60.
- [20] L.N. Heynick, J.H. Merritt, Radiofrequency fields and teratogenesis, *Bioelectromagnetics* 6 (2003) (2003) S174–S186.
- [21] J. Juutilainen, Developmental effects of extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields, *Radiat. Prot. Dosim.* 106 (2003) 385–390.
- [22] W. Löscher, Die Auswirkungen elektromagnetischer Felder von Mobilfunkanlagen auf Leistung, Gesundheit und Verhalten landwirtschaftlicher Nutztiere: Eine Bestandsaufnahme, *Der praktische Tierarzt* 84 (2003) 850–863.
- [23] R.D. Saunders, C.D. McCaig, Developmental effects of physiologically weak electric fields and heat: an overview, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (2005) S127–S132.
- [24] K.J. Fernie, S.J. Reynolds, The effects of electromagnetic fields from power lines on avian reproductive biology and physiology: a review, *J. Toxic Environ. Health B* 8 (2005) 127–140.
- [25] D. Krewski, B.W. Glickman, R.W.Y. Habash, B. Habbick, W.G. Lotz, R. Mandeville, F.S. Prato, T. Salem, D.F. Weaver, Recent advances in research on radiofrequency fields and health: 2001–2003, *J. Toxic Environ. Health B* 10 (2007) 287–318.
- [26] T. Shigemitsu, K. Yamazaki, S. Nakasono, M. Kakkikawa, A review of studies of the biological effects of electromagnetic fields in the intermediate frequency range, *IEEJ Trans. Electr. Electron. Eng.* 2 (2007) 405–412.
- [27] A. Elbetieha, M.A. Al-Akhras, H. Darmani, Long-term exposure of male and female mice to 50 Hz magnetic field: effects on fertility, *Bioelectromagnetics* 23 (2002) 168–172.
- [28] J.A. Heredia-Rojas, D.E. Caballero-Hernandez, A.O. Rodriguez-De La Fuente, G. Ramos-Alfano, L.E. Rodriguez-Flores, Lack of alterations on meiotic chromosomes and morphological characteristics of male germ cells in mice exposed to a 60 Hz and 2.0 mT magnetic field, *Bioelectromagnetics* 25 (2004) 63–68.
- [29] J.S. Lee, S.S. Ahn, K.C. Jung, Y.W. Kim, S.K. Lee, Effects of 60 Hz electromagnetic field exposure on testicular germ cell apoptosis in mice, *Asian J. Androl.* 6 (2004) 29–34.
- [30] M.K. Chung, S.J. Lee, Y.B. Kim, S.C. Park, D.H. Shin, S.H. Kim, J.C. Kim, Evaluation of spermatogenesis and fertility in F1 male rats after *in utero* and neonatal exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields, *Asian J. Androl.* 7 (2005) 189–194.
- [31] M.A. Al-Akhras, H. Darmani, A. Elbetieha, Influence of 50 Hz magnetic field on sex hormones and other fertility parameters of adult male rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 27 (2006) 127–131.
- [32] M.Z. Akdag, S. Dasdag, F. Aksent, B. Isik, F. Yilmaz, Effect of ELF magnetic fields on lipid peroxidation, sperm count, p53 and trace elements, *Med. Sci. Monit.* 12 (2006) 366–371.
- [33] F. Aksent, M.Z. Akdag, A. Ketani, B. Yokus, A. Kaya, S. Dasdag, Effect of 50 Hz 1 mT magnetic field on the uterus and ovaries of rats, *Med. Sci. Monit.* 12 (2006) 215–220.
- [34] S.H. Kim, H.J. Lee, S.Y. Choi, Y.M. Gimm, J.K. Pack, H.D. Choi, Y.S. Lee, Toxicity bioassay in Sprague–Dawley rats exposed to 20 kHz triangular magnetic field for 90 days, *Bioelectromagnetics* 27 (2006) 105–111.
- [35] A.A. Khaki, R.S. Tubbs, M.M. Shoja, J.S. Rad, A. Khaki, R.M. Farahani, S. Zarrintan, T.C. Nag, The effects of an electromagnetic field on the boundary tissue of the seminiferous tubules of the rat: a light and transmission electron microscope study, *Folia Morphol.* 65 (2006) 188–194.
- [36] H.J. Lee, S.H. Kim, S.Y. Choi, Y.M. Gimm, J.K. Pack, H.D. Choi, Y.S. Lee, Long-term exposure of Sprague–Dawley rats to 20 kHz triangular magnetic fields, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 82 (2006) 285–291.
- [37] T.I. Subbotina, O.V. Tereshkina, A.A. Khadartsev, A.A. Yashin, Effect of low-intensity extremely high frequency radiation on reproductive function in Wistar rats, *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* 142 (2006) 189–190.
- [38] S. Erpek, M.D. Bilgin, E. Dikicioglu, A. Karul, The effects of low frequency electric field in rat testis, *Revuc Méd. Vét* 158 (2007) 206–212.
- [39] S. Dasdag, M.A. Ketani, Z. Akdag, A.R. Ersay, I. Sari, O.C. Demirtas, M.S. Celik, Whole body microwave exposure emitted by cellular phones and testicular function of rats, *Urol. Res.* 27 (1999) 219–223.
- [40] S. Dasdag, M.Z. Akdag, F. Aksent, F. Yilmaz, M. Bashan, M.M. Dasdag, M.S. Celik, Whole body exposure of rats to microwaves emitted from a cell phone does not affect the testes, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (2003) 182–188.
- [41] R.J. Aitken, L.E. Bennetts, D. Sawyer, A.M. Wiklendt, B.V. King, Impact of radio frequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA integrity in the male germ line *Inter. J. Androl.* 28 (2005) 171–179.
- [42] M. Ozguner, A. Koyu, G. Cesur, M. Ural, F. Ozguner, A. Gokcimen, N. Delibas, Biological and morphological effects on the reproductive organ of rats after exposure to electromagnetic field, *Saudi Med. J.* 26 (2005) 405–410.
- [43] Z. Forgács, Z. Somosy, G. Kubinyi, J. Bakos, A. Hudák, A. Surján, G. Thuróczy, Effects of whole body 1800 MHz GSM-like microwave exposure on testicular steroidogenesis and histology in mice, *Reprod. Toxicol.* 22 (2006) 111–117.
- [44] E.P. Ribeiro, E.L. Rhoden, M.M. Horn, C. Rhoden, L.P. Lima, L. Toniolo, Effects of sub chronic exposure to radio frequency from a conventional cellular telephone on testicular function in adult rats, *J. Urol.* 177 (2007) 395–399.
- [45] J.G. Yan, M. Agresti, T. Bruce, Y.H. Yan, A. Granlund, H.S. Matloub, Effects of cellular phone emissions on sperm motility in rats, *Fertil. Steril.* 88 (2007) 957–964.
- [46] F. Yilmaz, S. Dasdag, M.Z. Akdag, N. Kilinc, Whole body exposure of radiation emitted from 900 MHz mobile phones does not seem to affect the levels of anti-apoptotic bcl-2 protein, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 27 (2008) 65–72.
- [47] S. Dasdag, M.Z. Akdag, E. Ulukaya, A.K. Uzunlar, D. Yegin, Mobile phone exposure does not induce apoptosis on spermatogenesis in rats, *Arch. Med. Res.* 39 (2008) 40–44.
- [48] B. Oral, M. Guney, F. Ozguner, N. Karahan, T. Mungan, S. Comlekci, G. Cesur, Endometrial apoptosis induced by a 900 MHz mobile phone: preventive effects of vitamins E and C, *Adv. Ther.* 23 (2006) 957–973.
- [49] M. Guney, F. Ozguner, B. Oral, N. Karahan, T. Mungan, 900 MHz radiofrequency induced histopathologic changes and oxidative stress in rat endometrium: protection by vitamins E and C, *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 23 (2007) 411–420.
- [50] T. Negishi, S. Imai, M. Itabashi, I. Nishimura, T. Sasano, Studies of 50 Hz circularly polarized magnetic fields of up to 350 mT on reproduction and embryo-fetal development in rats: exposure during organogenesis or during preimplantation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 23 (2002) 369–389.
- [51] Y. Ohnishi, F. Mizuno, T. Sato, M. Yasui, T. Kikuchi, M. Ogawa, Effects of power frequency alternating magnetic fields on reproduction and prenatal development of mice, *J. Toxicol. Sci.* 27 (2002) 131–138.
- [52] Z.E. Gagnon, C. Newkirk, J.A. Conetta, M.A. Sama, S. Sisselman, Teratogenic effect of broad-band electromagnetic field on neonatal mice, *J. Environ. Sci. Health A Tox. Hazard Subst. Environ. Eng.* 38 (2003) 2465–2481.
- [53] M.K. Chung, J.C. Kim, S.H. Myung, D.I. Lee, Developmental toxicity evaluation of ELF magnetic fields in Sprague–Dawley rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (2003) 231–240.
- [54] M.S. Lahijani, K. Sajadi, Development of preincubated chicken eggs following exposure to 50 Hz electromagnetic fields with 1.33–7.32 mT flux densities, *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 42 (2004) 858–865.
- [55] S.H. Kim, J.E. Song, S.R. Kim, H. Oh, Y.M. Gimm, D.S. Yoo, J.K. Pack, Y.S. Lee, Teratological studies of prenatal exposure of mice to a

- 20 kHz sawtooth magnetic field, *Bioelectromagnetics* 25 (2004) 114–117.
- [56] O. Roda-Murillo, J.A. Roda-Moreno, M.T. Morente-Chiquero, Effects of low-frequency magnetic fields on different parameters of embryo of *Gallus domesticus*, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 24 (2005) 55–62.
- [57] K. Saito, H. Suzuki, K. Suzuki, Teratogenic effects of static magnetic field on mouse fetuses, *Reprod. Toxicol.* 22 (2006) 118–124.
- [58] Y.N. Cao, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, Effects of exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields on reproduction of female mice and development of offspring, *Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi* 24 (2006) 468–470.
- [59] M.S. Lahijani, S.E. Nojooshi, S.F. Siadat, Light and electron microscope studies of effects of 50 Hz electromagnetic fields on preincubated chick embryo, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 26 (2007) 83–98.
- [60] W.F. Krueger, A.J. Giarola, J.W. Bradley, A. Shrekenhamer, Effects of electromagnetic fields on fecundity in the chicken, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 247 (1975) 391–400.
- [61] R.P. Jensh, I. Weinberg, R.I. Brent, Teratologic studies of prenatal exposure of rats to 915 MHz microwave radiation, *Radiat. Res.* 92 (1982) 160–171.
- [62] R.P. Jensh, W.H. Vogel, R.I. Brent, Postnatal functional analysis of prenatal exposure of rats to 915 MHz microwave radiation, *Int. J. Toxicol.* 1 (1982) 73–90.
- [63] E. Berman, C. Weil, P.A. Phillips, H.B. Carter, D.E. House, Fetal and maternal effects of continual exposure of rats to 970 MHz circularly-polarized microwaves, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 11 (1992) 43–54.
- [64] S. Klug, M. Hetscher, S. Giles, S. Kohlsman, K. Kramer, The lack of effects of nonthermal RF electromagnetic fields on the development of rat embryos grown in culture, *Life Sci.* 61 (1997) 1789–1802.
- [65] I.N. Magras, T.D. Xenos, RF radiation-induced changes in the prenatal development of mice, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 455–461.
- [66] M. Bornhausen, H. Scheingraber, Prenatal exposure to 900 MHz cell phone electromagnetic fields had no effect on operant-behaviour performances of adult rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (2000) 566–574.
- [67] S. Dasdag, M.Z. Akdag, O. Ayyildiz, O.C. Demirtas, M. Yayla, C. Sert, Do cellular phones alter blood parameters and birth weight of rats? *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 19 (2000) 107–113.
- [68] B.L. Cobb, J.R. Jauchem, P.A. Mason, M.P. Dooley, S.A. Miller, J.M. Zirlax, M.R. Murphy, Neural and behavioural teratological evaluation of rats exposed to Ultra-Wideband electromagnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (2000) 524–537.
- [69] M. Bastide, B.J. Youbicier-Simoa, J.C. Lebecq, J. Giaimis, Toxicologic study of electromagnetic radiation emitted by television and video display screens and cellular telephones on chickens and mice, *Indoor Built Environ.* 10 (2001) 291–298.
- [70] Y. Grigoryev, Biological effects of mobile phone electromagnetic field on chick embryo (Risk assessment using the mortality rate), *Radiat. Biol. Radioecol.* 43 (2003) 541–543.
- [71] I.V. Ingole, S.K. Ghosh, Cell phone radiation and developing tissues in chicken embryo—a light microscopic study of kidneys, *J. Anat. Soc. India* 55 (2006) 19–23.
- [72] T. Kumlin, H. Iivonen, P. Miettinen, A. Junoven, T. van Groen, L. Puranen, R. Pitkääho, R. Juutilainen, H. Tanila, Mobile phone radiation and the developing brain: behavioral and morphological effects in juvenile rats, *Radiat. Res.* 168 (2007) 471–479.
- [73] F. Batellier, I. Couty, D. Picard, J.P. Brillard, Effects of exposing chicken eggs to a cell phone in 'call' position over the entire incubation period, *Theriogenology* 69 (2008) 737–745.
- [74] A. Balmori, Possible effects of electromagnetic fields from phone masts on a population of White stork (*Ciconia ciconia*), *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 24 (2005) 109–119.
- [75] L. Rejt, T. Mazgajski, R. Kubacki, J. Kieliszek, E. Sobiczewska, S. Szmigielski, Influence of radar radiation on breeding biology of tits (*Parus sp.*), *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 26 (2007) 235–238.

# Electromagnetic pollution from phone masts. Effects on wildlife

Alfonso Balmori

*Dirección General del Medio Natural, Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Junta de Castilla y León, C/Rigoberto Cornejo,  
14, 47014 Valladolid, Spain*

Received 10 August 2008; received in revised form 28 August 2008; accepted 30 January 2009

## Abstract

A review on the impact of radiofrequency radiation from wireless telecommunications on wildlife is presented. Electromagnetic radiation is a form of environmental pollution which may hurt wildlife. Phone masts located in their living areas are irradiating continuously some species that could suffer long-term effects, like reduction of their natural defenses, deterioration of their health; problems in reproduction and reduction of their useful territory through habitat deterioration. Electromagnetic radiation can exert an aversive behavioral response in rats, bats and birds such as sparrows. Therefore microwave and radiofrequency pollution constitutes a potential cause for the decline of animal populations and deterioration of health of plants living near phone masts. To measure these effects urgent specific studies are necessary.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Effects on wildlife; Effects on birds; Electromagnetic radiation; Mammals; Microwaves; Mobile telecommunications; Non-thermal effects; Phone masts; Radiofrequencies

## 1. Introduction

Life has evolved under the influence of two omnipresent forces: gravity and electromagnetism. It should be expected that both play important roles in the functional activities of organisms [1]. Before the 1990's radiofrequencies were mainly from a few radio and television transmitters, located in remote areas and/or very high places. Since the introduction of wireless telecommunication in the 1990's the rollout of phone networks has caused a massive increase in electromagnetic pollution in cities and the countryside [2,3].

Multiple sources of mobile communication result in chronic exposure of a significant part of the wildlife (and man) to microwaves at non-thermal levels [4]. In recent years, wildlife has been chronically exposed to microwaves and RFR (Radiofrequency radiation) signals from various sources, including GSM and UMTS/3G wireless phones and base stations, WLAN (Wireless Local Area Networks), WPAN (Wireless Personal Area Networks such as Bluetooth), and DECT (Digital Enhanced (former European) Cordless Telecommunications) that are erected indiscriminately without studies of environmental impact measuring

long-term effects. These exposures are characterized by low intensities, varieties of signals, and long-term durations. The greater portion of this exposure is from mobile telecommunications (geometric mean in Vienna: 73% [5]). In Germany the GSM cellular phone tower radiation is the dominating high frequency source in residential areas [6]. Also GSM is the dominating high frequency source in the wilderness of Spain (personal observation).

Numerous experimental data have provided strong evidence of athermal microwave effects and have also indicated several regularities in these effects: dependence of frequency within specific frequency windows of "resonance-type"; dependence on modulation and polarization; dependence on intensity within specific intensity windows, including super-low power density comparable with intensities from base stations/masts [4,7–9]. Some studies have demonstrated different microwave effects depending on wavelength in the range of mm, cm or m [10,11]. Duration of exposure may be as important as power density. Biological effects resulting from electromagnetic field radiation might depend on dose, which indicates long-term accumulative effects [3,9,12]. Modulated and pulsed radiofrequencies seem to be more effective in producing effects [4,9]. Pulsed waves (in blasts), as well as certain low frequency modulations exert greater

*E-mail addresses:* abalmori@ono.com, balmara1@jcy1.es.

biological activity [11,13–15]. This observation is important because cell phone radiation is pulsed microwave radiation modulated at low frequencies [8,9].

Most of the attention on possible biological effects of electromagnetic radiation from phone masts has been focused on human health [5,16–21]. The effects of electromagnetic pollution on wildlife, have scarcely been studied [22–25].

The objective of this review is to detail advances in knowledge of radiofrequencies and microwave effects on wildlife. Future research may help provide a better understanding of electromagnetic field (EMF) effects on wildlife and plants and their conservation.

## 2. Effects on exposed wildlife

### 2.1. Effects on birds

#### 2.1.1. Effects of phone mast microwaves on white stork

In monitoring a white stork (*Ciconia ciconia*) population in Valladolid (Spain) in vicinity of Cellular Phone Base Stations, the total productivity in nests located within 200 m of antennae, was  $0.86 \pm 0.16$ . For those located further than 300 m, the result was practically doubled, with an average of  $1.6 \pm 0.14$ . Very significant differences among total productivity were found ( $U=240$ ;  $P=0.001$ , Mann–Whitney test). Twelve nests (40%) located within 200 m of antennae never had chicks, while only one (3.3%) located further than 300 m had no chicks. The electric field intensity was higher on nests within 200 m ( $2.36 \pm 0.82$  V/m) than nests further than 300 m ( $0.53 \pm 0.82$  V/m). In nesting sites located within 100 m of one or several cellsite antennae with the main beam of radiation impacting directly (Electric field intensity  $>2$  V/m) many young died from unknown causes. Couples frequently fought over nest construction sticks and failed to advance the construction of the nests. Some nests were never completed and the storks remained passively in front of cellsite antennae. These results indicate the possibility that microwaves are interfering with the reproduction of white stork [23]. (Fig. 1)




Fig. 1. Average number of young and electric field intensity (V/m) in 60 nests of white storks (*Ciconia ciconia*) (Hallberg, Ö with data of Balmori, 2005 [23]).

#### 2.1.2. Effects of phone mast microwaves on house sparrows

A possible effect of long-term exposure to low-intensity electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone (GSM) base stations on the number of house sparrows during the breeding season was studied in Belgium. The study was carried out sampling 150 point locations within six areas to examine small-scale geographic variation in the number of house sparrow males and the strength of electromagnetic radiation from base stations. Spatial variation in the number of house sparrow males was negative and highly significantly related to the strength of electric fields from both the 900 and 1800 MHz downlink frequency bands and from the sum of these bands (Chi-square-tests and AIC-criteria,  $P < 0.001$ ). This negative relationship was highly similar within each of the six study areas, despite differences among areas in both the number of birds and radiation levels. Fewer house sparrow males were seen at locations with relatively high electric field strength values of GSM base stations and therefore support the notion that long-term exposure to higher levels of radiation negatively affects the abundance or behavior of house sparrows in the wild [24].

In another study with point transect sampling performed at 30 points visited 40 times in Valladolid (Spain) between 2002 and 2006, counting the sparrows and measuring the mean electric field strength (radiofrequencies and microwaves: 1 MHz to 3 GHz range). Significant declines ( $P=0.0037$ ) were observed in mean bird density over time, and significantly low bird density was observed in areas with high electric field strength. The logarithmic regression of the mean bird density vs. field strength groups (considering field strength in 0.1 V/m increments) was  $R = -0.87$ ;  $P = 0.0001$ . According to this calculation, no sparrows would be expected to be found in an area with field strength  $>4$  V/m [25]. (Fig. 2)

In the United Kingdom a decline of several species of urban birds, especially sparrows, has recently happened [26]. The sparrow population in England has decreased in the last 30 years from 24 million to less than 14. The more abrupt decline, with 75% descent has taken place from 1994 to 2002. In 2002, the house sparrow was added to the Red List of U.K. endangered species [27]. This coincides with the rollout of mobile telephony and the




Fig. 2. Mean sparrow density as a function of electric field strength grouped in 0.1 V/m. (Balmori and Hallberg, 2007 [25]).




Fig. 3. Annual number of contacts (Mean) for 14 species studied in "Campo Grande" urban park (lack the information of the years 1999–2001).

possible relationship of both circumstances should be investigated.

In Brussels, many sparrows have disappeared recently [28]; similar declines have been reported in Dublin [29]. Van der Poel (cited in Ref. [27]) suggested that sparrows might be declining in Dutch urban centres also.

### 2.1.3. Effects on the bird community at an urban park

Microwaves may be affecting bird populations in places with high electromagnetic pollution. Since several antennas were installed in proximities of "Campo Grande" urban park (Valladolid, Spain) the bird population has decreased and a reduction of the species and breeding couples has occurred. Between 1997 and 2007, of 14 species, 3 species have disappeared, 4 are in decline and 7 stay stable (Balmori, unpublished data) (Fig. 3). In this time the air pollution (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO and Benzene) has diminished.

During the research some areas called "silence areas" contaminated with high microwave radiation (>2 V/m), where previously different couples usually bred and later disappeared, have been found. Several anomalies in magpies (*Pica pica*) were detected: plumage deterioration, locomotive problems (limps and deformations in the paws), partial albinism and melanism, especially in flanks [30]. Recently cities have increased cases of partial albinism and melanism in birds (*Passer domesticus*, *Turdus merula* and *P. pica*) (personal observation).

### 2.1.4. Possible physiological mechanisms of the effects found in birds

Current scientific evidence indicates that prolonged exposure to EMFs, at levels that can be encountered in the environment, may affect immune system function by affecting biological processes [3,31,32]. A stressed immune system may increase the susceptibility of a bird to infectious diseases, bacteria, viruses, and parasites [33].

The plumage of the birds exposed to microwaves looked, in general, discolored and lack of shine. This not only occurred in ornamental birds; such as peacocks, but also in wild birds; such as, tits, great tits, house sparrows, etc (personal observation). We must mention that plumage deterioration is the first sign of weakening or illnesses in birds since damaged feathers are a sure sign of stress.

Physiological conditions during exposure minimize microwave effects. Radical scavengers/antioxidants might be involved in effects of microwaves [4].

Microwaves used in cellphones produce an athermal response in several types of neurons of the birds nervous system [34]. Several studies addressed behavior and teratology in young birds exposed to electromagnetic fields [23,25,35–37]. Most studies indicate that electromagnetic field exposure of birds generally changes, but not always consistently in effect or in direction, their behavior, reproductive success, growth and development, physiology and endocrinology, and oxidative stress [37]. These results can be explained by electromagnetic fields affecting the birds' response to the photoperiod as indicated by altered melatonin levels [38].

Prolonged mobile phone exposure may have negative effects on sperm motility characteristics and male fertility as has been demonstrated in many studies made in man and rats [39–46]. EMF and microwaves can affect reproductive success in birds [23,25,35,36,47]. EMF exposure affected reproductive success of kestrels (*Falco sparverius*), increasing fertility, egg size, embryonic development and fledging success but reducing hatching success [35,36].

The radiofrequency and microwaves from mobile telephony can cause genotoxic effects [48–55]. Increases in cytological abnormalities imply long-term detrimental effects since chromosomal damage is a mechanism relevant to causation of birth defects and cancer [55].

Long-term continuous, or daily repeated EMF exposure can induce cellular stress responses at non-thermal power levels that lead to an accumulation of DNA errors and to inhibition of cell apoptosis and cause increased permeability of blood–brain barrier due to stabilization of endothelial cell stress fibers. Repeated occurrence of these events over a long period of time (years) could become a health hazard due to a possible accumulation of brain tissue damage. These findings have important implications with regards to potential dangers from prolonged and repeated exposure to non-ionizing radiation [56,57].

Pulsed magnetic fields can have a significant influence on the development and incidence of abnormalities in chicken embryos. In five of six laboratories, exposed embryos exhibited more structural anomalies than controls. If the data from all six laboratories are pooled, the difference for the incidence of abnormalities in exposed embryos and controls is highly significant [58]. Malformations in the nervous system and heart, and delayed embryo growth are observed. The embryo is most sensitive to exposure in the first 24 h of incubation [58]. An increase in the mortality [59] and appearance of morphological abnormalities, especially of the neural tube [13,60,61] has been recorded in chicken embryos exposed to pulsed magnetic fields, with different susceptibility among individuals probably for genetic reasons. A statistically significant high mortality rate of chicken embryos subjected to radiation from a cellphone, compared to the control group exists [62,63]. In another study eggs exposed to a magnetic

field intensity of 0.07 T showed embryonic mortality during their incubation was higher. The negative effect of the magnetic field was manifested also by a lower weight of the hatched chicken [64]. Bioelectric fields have long been suspected to play a causal role in embryonic development. Alteration of the electrical field may disrupt the chemical gradient and signals received by embryo cells. It appears that in some manner, cells sense their position in an electrical field and respond appropriately. The disruption of this field alters their response. Endogenous current patterns are often correlated with specific morphogenetic events [65].

Available data suggests dependencies of genotype, gender, physiological and individual factors on athermal microwave effects [4,9]. Genomic differences can influence cellular responses to GSM Microwaves. Data analysis has highlighted a wide inter-individual variability in response, which was replicated in further experiments [4]. It is possible that each species and each individual, show different susceptibility to radiation, since vulnerability depends on genetic tendency, and physiologic and neurological state of the irradiated organism [15,35–37,61,66–68]. Different susceptibility of each species has also been proven in wild birds exposed to electromagnetic fields from high-voltage power lines [47].

## 2.2. Effects on mammals

### 2.2.1. Alarm and aversion behavior

Rats spent more time in the halves of shuttle boxes that were shielded from 1.2 GHz. Microwaves irradiation. The average power density was about 0.6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Data revealed that rats avoided the pulsed energy, but not the continuous energy, and less than 0.4 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> average power density was needed to produce aversion [69]. Navakatikian & Tomashevskaya [70] described a complex series of experiments in which they observed disruption of rat behavior (active avoidance) from radiofrequency radiation. Behavioral disruption was observed at a power density as low as 0.1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (0.027 W/kg). Mice in an experimental group exposed to microwave radiation expressed visible individual panic reaction, disorientation and a greater degree of anxiety. In the sham exposed group these deviations of behavior were not seen and all animals show collective defense reaction [71]. Microwave radiation at 1.5 GHz pulsing 16 ms. At 0.3 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> power density, in sessions of 30 min/day over one month produced anxiety and alarm in rabbits [72].

Electromagnetic radiation can exert an aversive behavioral response in bats. Bat activity is significantly reduced in habitats exposed to an electromagnetic field strength greater than 2 V/m [73]. During a study in a free-tailed bat colony (*Tadarida teniotis*) the number of bats decreased when several phone masts were placed 80 m from the colony [74].

### 2.2.2. Deterioration of health

Animals exposed to electromagnetic fields can suffer a deterioration of health and changes in behavior [75,76].

There was proof of frequent death in domestic animals; such as, hamsters and guinea pigs, living near mobile telecommunication base stations (personal observation).

The mice in an experimental group exposed to microwave radiation showed less weight gain compared to control, after two months. The amount of food used was similar in both groups [71]. A link between electromagnetic field exposure and higher levels of oxidative stress appears to be a major contributor to aging, neurodegenerative diseases, immune system disorders, and cancer in mammals [33].

The effects from GSM base transceiver station (BTS) frequency of 945 MHz on oxidative stress in rats were investigated. When EMF at a power density of 3.67 W/m<sup>2</sup>, below current exposure limits, were applied, MDA (malondialdehyde) level was found to increase and GSH (reduced glutathione) concentration was found to decrease significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Additionally, there was a less significant ( $P = 0.0190$ ) increase in SOD (superoxide dismutase) activity under EM exposure [77].

### 2.2.3. Problems in reproduction

In the town of Casavieja (Ávila, Spain) a telephony antenna was installed that had been in operation for about 5 years. Then some farmers began blaming the antenna for miscarriages in many pigs, 50–100 m from the antenna (on the outskirts of the town). Finally the topic became so bad that the town council decided to disassemble the antenna. It was removed in the spring 2005. From this moment onwards the problems stopped (C. Lumbreras personal communication).

A Greek study reports a progressive drop in the number of rodent births exposed to radiofrequencies. The mice exposed to 0.168  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> become sterile after five generations, while those exposed to 1.053  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> became sterile after only three generations [22].

In pregnant rats exposed to 27.12 MHz continuous waves at 100  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> during different periods of pregnancy, half the pregnancies miscarried before the twentieth day of gestation, compared to only a 6% miscarriage rate in unexposed controls, and 38% of the viable foetuses had incomplete cranial ossification, compared to less than 6% of the controls. Findings included a considerable increase in the percentage of total reabsorptions (post-implantation losses consequent to RF radiation exposure in the first post-implantation stage). Reduced body weight in the exposed dams reflected a negative influence on their health. It seems that the irradiation time plays an important role in inducing specific effects consequent to radiofrequency radiation exposure [78]. There was also a change in the sex ratio, with more males born to rats that had been irradiated from the time of conception [2]. Moorhouse and Macdonald [79] find a substantial decline in female Water Vole numbers in the radio-collared population, apparently resulting from a male skew in the sex ratios of offspring born to this population. Recruits to the radio-tracked population were skewed heavily in favour of males (43:13). This suggests that radio-collaring of females caused male-skewed sex ratios.

Mobile phone exposure may have negative effects on sperm motility characteristics and male fertility in rats [46]. Other studies find a decrease of fertility, increase of deaths after birth and dystrophic changes in their reproductive organs [11]. Intermittent exposure showed a stronger effect than continuous exposure [4]. Brief, intermittent exposure to low-frequency EM fields during the critical prenatal period for neurobehavioral sex differentiation can demasculinize male scent marking behavior and increase accessory sex organ weights in adulthood [80].

In humans, magnetic field exposures above 2.0 mG were positively associated with miscarriage risk [81]. Exposure of pregnant women to mobile phone significantly increased foetal and neonatal heart rate, and significantly decreased the cardiac output [82].

#### 2.2.4. Nervous system

Microwaves may affect the blood brain barrier which lets toxic substances pass through from the blood to the brain [83]. Adang et al. [84] examined the effect of microwave exposure to a GSM-like frequency of 970 MHz pulsed waves on the memory in rats by means of an object recognition task. The rats that have been exposed for 2 months show normal exploratory behavior. The animals that have been exposed for 15 months show derogatory behavior. They do not make the distinction between a familiar and an unfamiliar object. In the area that received radiation directly from "Location Skrunda Radio Station" (Latvia), exposed children had less developed memory and attention, their reaction time was slower and neuromuscular apparatus endurance was decreased [85]. Exposure to cell phones prenatally and, to a lesser degree, postnatally was associated with behavioral difficulties such as emotional and hyperactivity problems around 7 years of age [86]. Electromagnetic radiation caused modification of sleep and alteration of cerebral electric response (EEG) [87–89]. Microwave radiation from phone masts may cause aggressiveness in people and animals (personal observation).

#### 2.3. Effects on amphibians

Disappearance of amphibians and other organisms is part of the global biodiversity crisis. An associated phenomenon is the appearance of large numbers of deformed amphibians. The problem has become more prevalent, with deformity rates up to 25% in some populations, which is significantly higher than previous decades [90]. Balmori [91] proposed that electromagnetic pollution (in the microwave and radiofrequency range) is a possible cause for deformations and decline of some wild amphibian populations.

Two species of amphibians were exposed to magnetic fields at various stages of development. A brief treatment of early amphibian embryos produced several types of abnormalities [92]. Exposure to a pulsed electromagnetic field produced abnormal limb regeneration in adult Newts [93]. Frog tadpoles (*Rana temporaria*) developed under electro-

magnetic field (50 Hz, 260 A/m) have increased mortality. Exposed tadpoles developed more slowly and less synchronously than control tadpoles and remain at the early stages for longer. Tadpoles developed allergies and EMF caused changes in blood counts [94].

In a current study, exposing eggs and tadpoles ( $n = 70$ ) of common frog (*R. temporaria*) for two months, from the phase of eggs until an advanced phase of tadpole, to four telephone base stations located 140 m away: with GSM system 948.0–959.8 MHz; DCS system: 1830.2–1854.8; 1855.2–1879.8 MHz. and UMTS system: 1905–1910; 1950–1965; 2140–2155 MHz. (electric field intensity: 1.847–2.254 V/m). A low coordination of movements, an asynchronous growth, with big and small tadpoles, and a high mortality (90%) was observed. The control group ( $n = 70$ ), under the same conditions but inside a Faraday cage (metallic shielding component: EMC-reinforcement fabrics 97442 Marburg Technic), the coordination of movements was normal, the development was synchronously and the mortality rate was only 4.2% [95].

#### 2.4. Effects on insects

The microwaves may affect the insects. Insects are the basis and key species of ecosystems and they are especially sensitive to electromagnetic radiation that poses a threat to nature [96].

Carpenter and Livstone [97] irradiated pupae of *Tenebrio molitor* with 10 GHz microwaves at 80 mW for 20–30 min and 20 mW for 120 min obtained a rise in the proportion of insects with abnormalities or dead. In another study exposing fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) to mobile phone radiation, elevated stress protein levels (Hsp70) was obtained, which usually means that cells are exposed to adverse environmental conditions ('non-thermal shock') [98]. Panagopoulos et al. [99] exposed fruit flies (*D. melanogaster*) to radiation from a mobile phone (900 MHz) during the 2–5 first days of adulthood. The reproductive capacity of the species reduced by 50–60% in modulated radiation conditions (emission while talking on the phone) and 15–20% with radiation nonmodulated (with the phone silent). The results of this study indicate that this radiation affects the gonadal development of insects in an athermal way. The authors concluded that radio frequencies, specifically GSM, are highly bioactive and provoke significant changes in physiological functions of living organisms. Panagopoulos et al. [100] compare the biological activity between the two systems GSM 900 MHz and DCS 1800 MHz in the reproductive capacity of fruit flies. Both types of radiation were found to decrease significantly and non-thermally the insect's reproductive capacity, but GSM 900 MHz seems to be even more bioactive than DCS 1800 MHz. The difference seems to be dependent mostly on field intensity and less on carrier frequency.

A study in South Africa finds a strong correlation between decrease in ant and beetle diversity with the

electromagnetic radiation exposure (D. MacFadyen, personal communication.). A decrease of insects and arachnids near base stations was detected and corroborated by engineers and antenna's maintenance staff [101]. In houses near antennas an absence of flies, even in summer, was found.

In a recent study carried out with bees in Germany, only a few bees irradiated with DECT radiation returned to the beehive and they needed more time. The honeycomb weight was lower in irradiated bees [102]. In recent years a "colony collapse disorder" is occurring that some authors relate with pesticides and with increasing electromagnetic pollution [96].

The disappearance of insects could have an influence on bird's weakening caused by a lack of food, especially at the first stages in a young bird's life.

### 2.5. Effects on trees and plants

The microwaves may affect vegetables. In the area that received radiation directly from "Location Skrunđa Radio Station" (Latvia), pines (*Pinus sylvestris*) experienced a lower growth radio. This did not occur beyond the area of impact of electromagnetic waves. A statistically significant negative correlation between increase tree growth and intensity of electromagnetic field was found, and was confirmed that the beginning of this growth decline coincided in time with the start of radar emissions. Authors evaluated other possible environmental factors which might have intervened, but none had noticeable effects [103]. In another study investigating cell ultrastructure of pine needles irradiated by the same radar, there was an increase of resin production, and was interpreted as an effect of stress caused by radiation, which would explain the aging and declining growth and viability of trees subjected to pulsed microwaves. They also found a low germination of seeds of pine trees more exposed [104]. The effects of Latvian radar was also felt by aquatic plants. *Spirodela polyrrhiza* exposed to a power density between 0.1 and 1.8  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  had lower longevity, problems in reproduction and morphological and developmental abnormalities compared with a control group who grew up far from the radar [105].

Chlorophylls were quantitatively studied in leaves of black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) seedlings exposed to high frequency electromagnetic fields of 400 MHz. It was revealed that the ratio of the two main types of chlorophyll was decreasing logarithmically to the increase of daily exposure time [106].

Exposed tomato plants (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) to low level (900 MHz, 5 V/m) electromagnetic fields for a short period (10 min) measured changes in abundance of three specific mRNA after exposure, strongly suggesting that they are the direct consequence of application of radio-frequency fields and their similarities to wound responses suggests that this radiation is perceived by plants as an injurious stimulus [107]. Non-thermal exposure to radiofrequency fields

induced oxidative stress in duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as well as unespecific stress responses, especially of antioxidative enzymes [108].

For some years progressive deterioration of trees near phone masts have been observed in Valladolid (Spain). Trees located inside the main lobe (beam), look sad and feeble, possibly slow growth and a high susceptibility to illnesses and plagues. In places we have measured higher electric field intensity levels of radiation ( $>2$  V/m) the trees show a more notable deterioration [109]. The tops of trees are dried up where the main beams are directed to, and they seem to be most vulnerable if they have their roots close to water. The trees don't grow above the height of the other ones and, those that stand out far above, have dried tops (Hargreaves, personal communication and personal observation). White and black poplars (*Populus sp.*) and willows (*Salix sp.*) are more sensitive. There may be a special sensitivity of this family exists or it could be due to their ecological characteristics forcing them to live near water, and thus electric conductivity. Other species as *Platanus sp.* and *Lygustrum japonicum*, are more resistant (personal observation). Schorpp [110] presents abundant pictures and explanations of what happens to irradiated trees.

### 3. Conclusions

This literature review shows that pulsed telephony microwave radiation can produce effects especially on nervous, cardiovascular, immune and reproductive systems [111]:

- Damage to the nervous system by altering electroencephalogram, changes in neural response or changes of the blood-brain barrier.
- Disruption of circadian rhythms (sleep-wake) by interfering with the pineal gland and hormonal imbalances.
- Changes in heart rate and blood pressure.
- Impairment of health and immunity towards pathogens, weakness, exhaustion, deterioration of plumage and growth problems.
- Problems in building the nest or impaired fertility, number of eggs, embryonic development, hatching percentage and survival of chickens.
- Genetic and developmental problems: problems of locomotion, partial albinism and melanism or promotion of tumors.

In the light of current knowledge there is enough evidence of serious effects from this technology to wildlife. For this reason precautionary measures should be developed, alongside environmental impact assessments prior to installation, and a ban on installation of phone masts in protected natural areas and in places where endangered species are present. Surveys should take place to objectively assess the severity of effects.

## Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Denise Ward and Örjan Hallberg.

## References

- [1] J.M.R. Delgado, Biological effects of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields, *J. Bioelectr.* 4 (1985) 75–91.
- [2] A. Firstenberg, *Microwaving Our Planet: The Environmental Impact of the Wireless Revolution*, 11210, Cellular Phone Taskforce, Brooklyn, NY, 1997.
- [3] A.L. Galeev, The effects of microwave radiation from mobile telephones on humans and animals, *Neurosci. Behav. Physiol.* 30 (2000) 187–194.
- [4] I. Belyaev, Non-thermal biological effects of microwaves, *Microw. Rev.* 11 (2005) 13–29, <http://www.mwr.medianis.net/pdf/Vol11No2-03-IBelyaev.pdf>.
- [5] H.P. Hutter, H. Moshammer, P. Walfner, M. Kundi, Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems, and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations, *Occup. Environ. Med.* 63 (2006) 307–313.
- [6] T. Haumann, U. Munzenberg, W. Maas, P. Sierck, HF-radiation levels of GSM cellular phone towers in residential areas, in: *2nd International Workshop on Biological effects of EMFS*, Rhodes, Greece, 2002.
- [7] W.R. Adey, Tissue interactions with non-ionizing electromagnetic fields, *Physiol. Rev.* 61 (1981) 435–514.
- [8] G.J. Hyland, Physics and biology of mobile telephony, *Lancet* 356 (2000) 1–8.
- [9] H. Lai, Biological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic field, in: *Encyclopaedia of Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering*, 2005, doi:10.1081/E-EBBE-120041846, pp. 1–8.
- [10] S. Kemerov, M. Marinkev, D. Getova, Effects of low-intensity electromagnetic fields on behavioral activity of rats, *Folia Med.* 41 (1999) 75–80.
- [11] N. Nikolaevich, A. Igorevna, and G. Vasil, Influence of high-frequency electromagnetic radiation at non-thermal intensities on the human body (A review of work by Russian and Ukrainian researchers), No place to hide, 3 (Supplement), 2001.
- [12] W.R. Adey, Bioeffects of mobile communications fields: possible mechanisms for cumulative dose, in: N. Kuster, Q. Balzano, J.C. Lin, (Eds.), *Mobile communications safety*, New York: Chapman & Hall, 1997, pp. 95–131.
- [13] A. Úbeda, M.A. Trillo, L. Chacón, M.J. Blanco, J. Leal, Chick embryo development can be irreversibly altered by early exposure to weak extremely-low-frequency magnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 15 (1994) 385–398.
- [14] I.U.G. Grigoriev, Role of modulation in biological effects of electromagnetic radiation, *Radiats. Biol. Radiocool.* 36 (1996) 659–670.
- [15] G.J. Hyland, The physiological and environmental effects of non-ionising electromagnetic radiation, Working document for the STOA Panel, European Parliament, Directorate General for Research, 2001.
- [16] R. Santini, J.M. Santini, P. Danze, M. Leruz, M. Seigne, Enquête sur la santé de riverains de stations relais: I. Incidences de la distance et du sexe, *Pathol. Biol.* 50 (2002) 369–373.
- [17] R. Santini, P. Santini, J.M. Le Ruz, M. Danze, M. Seigne, Survey study of people living in the vicinity of cellular phone base stations, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 22 (2003) 41–49.
- [18] R. Santini, P. Santini, J.M. Danze, P. Le Ruz, M. Seigne, Symptoms experienced by people in vicinity of base stations: II/Incidence of age, duration of exposure, location of subjects in relation to the antennas and other electromagnetic factors, *Pathol. Biol.* 51 (2003) 412–415.
- [19] E.A. Navarro, J. Segura, M. Portolés, C. Gómez Perretta, The microwave syndrome: a preliminary study in Spain, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 22 (2003) 161–169.
- [20] G. Oberfeld, E. Navarro, M. Portoles, C. Maestu, C. Gomez-Perretta, The microwave syndrome—further aspects of a Spanish study, in: *EBEA Congress Kos, Greece*, 2004.
- [21] G. Abdel-Rassoul, M.A. Salem, A. Michael, F. Farahat, M. El-Batanouny, E. Salem, Neurobehavioral effects among inhabitants around mobile phone base stations, *Neurotoxicology* 28 (2007) 434–440.
- [22] I.N. Magras, T.D. Xenos, Radiation-induced changes in the prenatal development of mice, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 455–461.
- [23] A. Balmori, Possible effects of electromagnetic fields from phone masts on a population of white stork (*Ciconia ciconia*), *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 24 (2005) 109–119.
- [24] J. Everaert, D. Bauwens, A possible effect of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone base stations on the number of breeding House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*), *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 26 (2007) 63–72.
- [25] A. Balmori, Ö. Hallberg, The urban decline of the house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*): a possible link with electromagnetic radiation, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 26 (2007) 141–151.
- [26] M.J. Raven, D.G. Noble, S.R. Baillie, *The breeding bird survey (2002)*, BTO Research Report 334, British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford, 2003.
- [27] J.D. Summers-Smith, The decline of the house sparrow: a review, *Brit. Birds* 96 (2003) 439–446.
- [28] J. De Laet, Ligue Royale Belge pour la Protection des Oiseaux avec l'Université de Gand, 2004, <<http://www.protectiondesoiseaux.be/content/view/80174/>> (Accessed on May 20, 2008).
- [29] A. Prowse, The urban decline of the house sparrow, *Brit. Birds* 95 (2002) 143–146.
- [30] A. Balmori, Aves y telefonía móvil. Resultados preliminares de los efectos de las ondas electromagnéticas sobre la fauna urbana, *El ecologista* 36 (2003) 40–42.
- [31] C.K. Chou, A.W. Guy, L.L. Kunz, R.B. Johnson, J.J. Crowley, J.H. Krupp, Long-term, low-level microwave irradiation of rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 13 (1992) 469–496.
- [32] E.T. Novoselova, E.E. Fesenko, Stimulation of production of tumour necrosis factor by murine macrophages when exposed in vivo and in vitro to weak electromagnetic waves in the centimeter range, *Biofizika* 43 (1998) 1132–1133.
- [33] K.J. Fennie, D.M. Bird, Evidence of oxidative stress in American kestrels exposed to electromagnetic fields, *Environ. Res. A* 86 (2001) 198–207.
- [34] R.C. Beason, P. Semm, Responses of neurons to an amplitude modulated microwave stimulus, *Neurosci. Lett.* 33 (2002) 175–178.
- [35] K.J. Fennie, D.M. Bird, R.D. Dawson, P.C. Lague, Effects of electromagnetic fields on the reproductive success of American kestrels, *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 73 (2000) 60–65.
- [36] K.J. Fennie, N.J. Leonard, D.M. Bird, Behavior of free-ranging and captive American kestrels under electromagnetic fields, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A* 59 (2000) 597–603.
- [37] K.J. Fennie, S.J. Reynolds, The effects of electromagnetic fields from power lines on avian reproductive biology and physiology: a review, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part B* 8 (2005) 127–140.
- [38] K.J. Fennie, D.M. Bird, Effects of electromagnetic fields on body mass and food-intake of American kestrels, *Condor* 101 (1999) 616–621.
- [39] S. Dasdag, M.A. Ketani, Z. Akdag, A.R. Ersay, I. Sar, Ö.C. Demirtas, M.S. Celik, Whole body microwave exposure emitted by cellular phones and testicular function of rats, *Urol. Res.* 27 (1999) 219–223.
- [40] M. Davoudi, C. Brüssner, W. Kuber, Der Einfluss elektromagnetischer wellen auf die Spermienmotilität, *J. für Urol. Urogynäk.* 9 (2002) 18–22.
- [41] I. Fejes, Z. Za Vaczki, J. Szollosi, R.S. Kolosza, J. Daru, L. Kova Cs, L.A. Pa, Is there a relationship between cell phone use and semen quality? *Arch. Androl.* 51 (2005) 385–393.
- [42] P. Stefanis, A. Drakeley, R. Gazvani, D.I. Lewis-Jones, Growing concern over the safety of using mobile phones and male fertility, *Arch. Androl.* 52 (2006) 9–14.

- [43] O. Eroglu, E. Oztas, I. Yildirim, T. Kir, E. Aydur, G. Komesli, H.C. Irkizata, M.K. Irmak, A.F. Peker, Effects of electromagnetic radiation from a cellular phone on human sperm motility: an in vitro study, *Arch. Med. Res.* 37 (2006) 840–843.
- [44] A. Agarwal, F. Deepinder, R.K. Sharma, G. Ranga, J. Li, Effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending infertility clinic: an observational study, *Fertil. Steril.* 89 (2008) 124–128.
- [45] A. Wdowiak, L. Wdowiak, H. Wiktor, Evaluation of the effect of using mobile phones on male fertility, *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.* 14 (1) (2007) 169–172.
- [46] J.G. Yan, A.M. Gresti, T. Bruce, Y.H. Yan, A. Granlund, H.S. Matlob, Effects of cellular phone emissions on sperm motility in rats, *Fertil. Steril.* 88 (4) (2007) 957–964.
- [47] P.F. Doherty, T.C. Grubb, Effects of high-voltage power lines on birds breeding within the powerlines' electromagnetic fields, *Sialia* 18 (1996) 129–134.
- [48] V. Garaj-Vrhovac, D. Horvat, Z. Koren, The relationship between colony-forming ability, chromosome aberrations and incidence of micronuclei in V79 Chinese hamster cells exposed to microwave radiation, *Mutat. Res.* 263 (1991) 143–149.
- [49] H. Lai, N.P. Singh, Acute low-intensity microwave exposure increases DNA single-strand breaks in rat brain cells, *Bioelectromagnetics* 16 (1995) 207–210.
- [50] S. Balode, Assessment of radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation by the micronucleus test in bovine peripheral erythrocytes, *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 81–85.
- [51] I. Belyaev, L. Hillert, E. Markova, R. Sarimov, L. Malmgren, B. Persson, M. Harms-Ringdahl, Microwaves of mobile phones affect human lymphocytes from normal and hypersensitive subjects dependent on frequency, in: 26th Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics (BEMS), Washington, USA, 2004.
- [52] G. Demsia, D. Vlastos, D.P. Matthopoulos, Effect of 910-MHz electromagnetic field on rat bone marrow, *Sci. World J.* 4 (2004) 48–54.
- [53] Reflex, 2004, <<http://www.verum-foundation.de/cgi-bin/content.cgi?id=euprojekte01>>.
- [54] E. Diem, C. Schwarz, F. Adlkofer, O. Jahn, H. Rudiger, Non-thermal DNA breakage by mobile-phone radiation (1800 MHz) in human fibroblasts and in transformed GFSH-R17 rat granulosa cells in vitro, *Mut. Res.* 583 (2005) 178–183.
- [55] A.G. Gandhi, P. Singh, Cytogenetic damage in mobile phone users: preliminary data, *Int. J. Hum. Genet.* 5 (2005) 259–265.
- [56] A. Di Carlo, N. Witz, F. Guo, P. Garrett, T. Litovitz, Chronic electromagnetic field exposure decreases HSP70 levels and lowers cytoprotection, *J. Cell. Biochem.* 84 (2002) 447–454.
- [57] D. Leszczynski, S. Joenväärä, J. Reivinen, R. Kuokka, Non-thermal activation of the hsp27/p38MAPK stress pathway by mobile phone radiation in human endothelial cells: molecular mechanism for cancer- and blood-brain barrier-related effects, *Differentiation* 70 (2002) 120–129.
- [58] E. Berman, L. Chacon, D. House, B.A. Koch, W.E. Koch, J. Leal, S. Lovtrup, E. Mantiply, A.H. Martin, G.I. Martucci, K.H. Mild, J.C. Monahan, M. Sandstrom, K. Shamsaifar, R. Tell, M.A. Trillo, A. Ubeda, P. Wagner, Development of chicken embryos in a pulsed magnetic field, *Bioelectromagnetics* 11 (1990) 169–187.
- [59] B.J. Youbicier-Simo, M. Bastide, Pathological effects induced by embryonic and postnatal exposure to EMFs radiation by cellular mobile phones, *Radiat. Protect. I* (1999) 218–223.
- [60] A. Ubeda, J. Leal, M.A. Trillo, M.A. Jimenez, J.M.R. Delgado, Pulse shape of magnetic fields influences chick embryogenesis, *J. Anat.* 137 (1983) 513–536.
- [61] J.M. Farrel, T.L. Litovitz, M. Penafiel, The effect of pulsed and sinusoidal magnetic fields on the morphology of developing chick embryos, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 431–438.
- [62] Ju.G. Grigoriew, Influence of the electromagnetic field of the mobile phones on chickens embryo, to the evaluation of the dangerousness after the criterion of this mortality, *J. Radiat. Biol.* 5 (2003) 541–544.
- [63] F. Batellier, I. Couy, D. Picard, J.P. Brillard, Effects of exposing chicken eggs to a cell phone in "call" position over the entire incubation period, *Theriogenology* 69 (2008) 737–745.
- [64] L. Veterány, A. Veterányová, J. Jedlicka, Effect of magnetic field on embryonic mortality, *Cesk. Fysiol.* 50 (2001) 141–143.
- [65] K.B. Hotary, K.R. Robinson, Evidence of a role for endogenous electrical fields in chick embryo development, *Development* 114 (1992) 985–996.
- [66] M. Mevissen, M. Häubler, Acceleration of mammary tumorigenesis by exposure of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-treated female rats in a 50-Hz, 100- $\mu$ T magnetic field: replication study, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A* 53 (1998) 401–418.
- [67] D. Flipo, M. Fournier, C. Benquet, P. Roux, C. Le Boulaire, Increased apoptosis, changes in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ , and functional alterations in lymphocytes and macrophages after in vitro exposure to static magnetic field, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A* 54 (1998) 63–76.
- [68] M. Fedrowitz, K. Kamino, W. Löscher, Significant differences in the effects of magnetic field exposure on 7,12 dimethylbenz (a)anthracene-induced mammary carcinogenesis in two sub-strains of Sprague-Dawley rats, *Cancer Res.* 64 (2004) 243–251.
- [69] A.H. Frey, S.R. Feld, Avoidance by rats of illumination with low power nonionizing electromagnetic energy, *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 89 (1975) 183–188.
- [70] M.A. Navakatikian, L.A. Tomashevskaya, Phasic behavioral and endocrine effects of microwaves of nonthermal intensity, in: D.O. Carpenter (Ed.), *Biological Effects of Electric and Magnetic Fields*, I, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [71] D.D. Krstić, B.J. Dindić, D.T. Sokolović, V.V. Marković, D.M. Petković, S.B. Radić, The results of experimental exposition of mice by mobile telephones, in: TELSIKS Conference, Serbia and Montenegro, *Microw. Rev.* (2005) 34–37.
- [72] I.U.G. Grigoriev, S.N. Luk'ianova, V.P. Makarov, V.V. Ryskov, N.V. Moiseeva, Motor activity of rabbits in conditions of chronic low-intensity pulse microwave irradiation, *Radiat. Biol. Radioecol.* 35 (1995) 29–35.
- [73] B. Nicholls, P.A. Racey, Bats avoid radar installations: Could electromagnetic fields deter bats from colliding with wind turbines? *PLOS One* 3 (2007) e297.
- [74] A. Balmori Murciélago rabudo-*Tadarida tenionis*, En: *Enciclopedia Virtual de los Vertebrados Españoles*, Carrascal, L.M., Salvador, A. (Eds.), Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid, 2004c, <<http://www.vertebradosibericos.org/>>.
- [75] T.A. Marks, C.C. Ratke, W.O. English, Strain voltage and developmental, reproductive and other toxicology problems in dogs, cats and cows: a discussion, *Vet. Human Toxicol.* 37 (1995) 163–172.
- [76] W. Löscher, G. Käs, Conspicuous behavioural abnormalities in a dairy cow herd near a TV and radio transmitting antenna, *Pract. Vet. Surg.* 29 (1998) 437–444.
- [77] A. Yurekli, M. Ozkan, T. Kalkan, H. Saybasili, H. Tuncel, P. Atukeren, K. Gumustas, S. Seker, GSM Base Station Electromagnetic Radiation and Oxidative Stress in Rats, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 25 (2006) 177–188.
- [78] S. Tofani, G. Agnesod, P. Ossola, S. Ferrini, R. Bussi, Effects of continuous low-level exposure to radio-frequency radiation on intrauterine development in rats, *Health Phys.* 51 (1986) 489–499.
- [79] T.P. Moorhouse, D.W. Macdonald, Indirect negative impacts of radio-collaring: sex ratio variation in water voles, *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42 (2005) 91.
- [80] R.F. McGivern, R.Z. Sokol, W.R. Adey, Prenatal exposure to a low-frequency electromagnetic field demasculinizes adult scent marking behavior and increases accessory sex organ weights in rats, *Teratology* 41 (1990) 1–8.
- [81] G.M. Lee, R.R. Neutra, L. Hristova, M. Yost, R.A. Hiatt, A Nested Case-Control Study of Residential and Personal Magnetic Field Measures and Miscarriages, *Epidemiology* 13 (2002) 21–31.

- [82] A.Y. Rezk, K. Abdulqawi, R.M. Mustafa, T.M. Abo El-Azm, H. Al-Inany, Fetal and neonatal responses following maternal exposure to mobile phones, *Saudi Med. J.* 29 (2008) 218–223.
- [83] L.G. Salford, A.E. Brun, J.L. Eberhardt, L. Malmgren, B.R. Persson, Nerve cell damage in mammalian brain after exposure to microwaves from GSM mobile phones, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 111 (2003) 881–893.
- [84] D. Adang, B. Campo, A.V. Vorst, Has a 970 MHz Pulsed exposure an effect on the memory related behaviour of rats? in: *The 9th European Conference on Wireless Technology*, September 2006, 2006, pp.
- [85] A.A. Kolodynski, V.V. Kolodynska, Motor and psychological functions of school children living in the area of the Skruna Radio Location Station in Latvia, *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 87–93.
- [86] H.A. Divan, L. Kheifets, C. Obel, J. Olsen, Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children, *Epidemiology* 19 (2008) 523–529.
- [87] K. Mann, J. Roschke, Effects of pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic fields on human sleep, *Neuropsychobiology* 33 (1996) 41–47.
- [88] A.V. Kramarenko, U. Tan, Effects of high-frequency electromagnetic fields on human EEG: a brain mapping study, *Int. J. Neurosci.* 113 (2003) 1007–1019.
- [89] A.A. Marino, E. Nilsen, C. Frlot, Nonlinear changes in brain electrical activity due to cell phone radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (2003) 339–346.
- [90] A.R. Blaustein, P.T.J. Johnson, Explaining frog deformities, *Sci. Am.* 288 (2003) 60–65.
- [91] A. Balmori, The incidence of electromagnetic pollution on the amphibian decline: is this an important piece of the puzzle? *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* 88 (2006) 287–299.
- [92] W.C. Levengood, A new teratogenic agent applied to amphibian embryos, *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* 21 (1969) 23–31.
- [93] R.H. Landesman, W. Scott Douglas, Abnormal limb regeneration in adult newts exposed to a pulsed electromagnetic field, *Teratology* 42 (1990) 137–145.
- [94] N.M. Grefner, T.L. Yakovleva, I.K. Boreysha, Effects of electromagnetic radiation on tadpole development in the common frog (*Rana temporaria* L.), *Russ. J. Ecol.* 29 (1998) 133–134.
- [95] A. Balmori, in preparation: Phone masts effects on common frog (*Rana temporaria*) tadpoles: An experiment in the city. See the video clips in: <http://www.hese-project.org/hese-uk/en/issues/nature.php?id=frogs>.
- [96] U. Warnke, Bienen, vögel und menschen, Die Zerstörung der Natur durch "Elektrosmog". Kompetenzinitiative, 2007 46 pp.
- [97] R.L. Carpenter, E.M. Livstone, Evidence for nonthermal effects of microwave radiation: Abnormal development of irradiated insect pupae, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theor. Tech.* 19 (1971) 173–178.
- [98] D. Weisbrot, H. Lin, L. Ye, M. Blank, R. Goodman, Effects of mobile phone radiation on reproduction and development in *Drosophila melanogaster*, *J. Cell. Biochem.* 89 (2003) 48–55.
- [99] D.J. Panagopoulos, A. Karabarbounis, L.H. Margaritis, Effect of GSM 900 MHz Mobile Phone Radiation on the Reproductive Capacity of *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 23 (2004) 29–43.
- [100] D.J. Panagopoulos, E.D. Chavdoula, A. Karabarbounis, L.H. Margaritis, Comparison of bioactivity between GSM 900 MHz and DCS 1800 MHz mobile telephony radiation, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 26 (2007) 33–44.
- [101] A. Balmori, Efectos de las radiaciones electromagnéticas de la telefonía móvil sobre los insectos, *Ecosistemas* (2006).
- [102] H. Stever, J. Kuhn, C. Otten, B. Wunder, W. Harst, Verhaltensänderung unter elektromagnetischer Exposition. Pilotstudie, Institut für mathematik, Arbeitsgruppe, Bildungsinformatik. Universität Koblenz-Landau, 2005.
- [103] V.G. Balodis, K. Brumelis, O. Kalvickis, D. Nikodemus, V.Z. y Tjarve, Does the Skruna radio location station diminish the radial growth of pine trees? *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 57–64.
- [104] T. Selga, M. Selga, Response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. needles to electromagnetic fields. Cytological and ultrastructural aspects, *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 65–73.
- [105] I. Magone, The effect of electromagnetic radiation from the Skruna Radio Location Station on *Spirodela polyrhiza* (L.) Schleiden cultures, *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 75–80.
- [106] D.D. Sandu, C. Goiceanu, A. Ispas, I. Creanga, S. Miclaus, D.E. Creanga, A preliminary study on ultra high frequency electromagnetic fields effect on black locust chlorophylls, *Acta Biol. Hung.* 56 (2005) 109–117.
- [107] D. Roux, A.I. Vian, S. Girard, P. Bonnet, F. Paladian, E. Davies, G. Ledoigt, High frequency (900 MHz) low amplitude ( $5 \text{ V m}^{-1}$ ) electromagnetic field: a genuine environmental stimulus that affects transcription, translation, calcium and energy charge in tomato, *Planta* 227 (2007) 883–891.
- [108] M. Tkalec, K. Malanik, B. Pevaljek-Kozlina, Exposure to radiofrequency radiation induces oxidative stress in duckweed *Lemna minor* L., *Sci. Total Environ.* 388 (2007) 78–89.
- [109] A. Balmori, ¿Pueden afectar las microondas pulsadas emitidas por las antenas de telefonía a los árboles y otros vegetales? *Ecosistemas* (2004), [http://www.revistaeosistemas.net/articulo.asp?id=29&Id\\_Categoria=1&tipo=otros\\_contenidos](http://www.revistaeosistemas.net/articulo.asp?id=29&Id_Categoria=1&tipo=otros_contenidos).
- [110] V. Schorpp, 2007, <<http://www.puls-schlag.org/baumschaeden.htm#linden>>.
- [111] A. Balmori, Posibles efectos de las ondas electromagnéticas utilizadas en la telefonía inalámbrica sobre los seres vivos, *Ardeola* 51 (2004) 477–490.

## FM-radio and TV tower signals can cause spontaneous hand movements near moving RF reflector

Paavo Huttunen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Osmo Hänninen<sup>b</sup>, Risto Myllylä<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Optoelectronics and Measurement Technology, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 4500, 90014 Oulu, Finland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physiology, University of Kuopio, P.O. Box 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

Received 2 January 2009; received in revised form 23 January 2009; accepted 30 January 2009

### Abstract

For testing human sensitivity to radio frequency (RF) standing waves a movable reflecting wall was constructed. Radio waves from the radio-TV tower reflected back and formed a standing wave near the reflector. When the reflector was moved, the position of the maximums of the standing waves changed and the electromagnetic intensity changed in the body of the standing test subject. The computer with an AD-converter registered the signals of the hand movement transducer and the RF-meter with 100 MHz dipole antennas. A total of 29 adults of different ages were tested. There were 9 persons whose hand movement graphs included features like the RF-meter. Six showed responses that did not correlate with the RF-meter. There were also 14 persons who did not react at all. Sensitive persons seem to react to crossing standing waves of the FM-radio or TV broadcasting signals.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Sensorimotor responses; Radio frequency standing waves

### 1. Introduction

Radio frequency radiation (RFR) has been studied intensively in the near GHz region. Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems and cognitive performance have been reported in subjects living near mobile phone base stations [1]. In the recent past, frequencies of FM-radio and television (TV) signals have been much less studied even though these frequencies cause biological and health effects, too. The whole body resonance frequency of an average man and thus the maximum absorption of RF energy occur at 70–80 MHz [2]. This is near the frequencies used in very high frequency (VHF) broadcasting. The head and limbs absorb much more energy than the torso at frequencies above body resonance [3]. Greatest absorption in the head region of man occurs at a frequency of about 375 MHz [4]. Absorption is stronger for wave propagation from head to toe than it is when the electric field is parallel to the long axis. The authors [4] believed that the enhanced absorption in the head region may make

head resonance significant in the study of behavioral effects, blood-brain barrier permeability, cataractogenesis, and other microwave bioeffects. Even increased health risks like cancer, especially melanoma incidence, near FM broadcasting and television transmitters have been reported [5,6].

Nerve impulses initiate muscle contraction by calcium ion release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, which takes place when electric nerve signals reach the plasma membrane and T-tubules of muscle fibers [7]. Voltage dependent Ca-channels open. Acetylcholine esterase (AChE) breaks down the acetylcholine, and Na-channels close [7]. It has been reported that the number of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions liberated from hen's frontal brain depends on the modulation frequency of the weak VHF radiation; with a maximum at a frequency of 16 Hz, while an unmodulated field causes no ion release [2,8]. Multiple RF power-density windows in calcium ion release from brain tissue have presented [9]. A significant decrease in AChE activity has been found in rats exposed to radio frequency radiation of 147 MHz and its sub-harmonics 73.5 and 36.75 MHz amplitude modulated at 16 and 76 Hz. A decrease in AChE activity was independent of carrier wave frequencies [10].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: paavo.huttunen@elisaneet.fi (P. Huttunen).




Fig. 1. Testing human radio wave sensitivity. Radio waves from the TV tower reflect back from the reflector and form a standing wave. When the reflector moves, the position of the maximums of the standing wave change, and the electromagnetic intensity changes in the body of the test subject. The computer with an AD-converter registers the signals of hand movement transducer and the RF-meter with the dipole antennas.

As there is previous evidence from human and animal studies that electromagnetic irradiation has effects in the brain, the aim of the present study was to find out, if the motor responses are generated in sensitive persons, when they move across a set of standing waves caused by radiation of a FM-radio and TV tower. The connection between the hand movements and the integrated intensity of electromagnetic field of FM-radio broadcasting were recorded.

## 2. Methods

The wavelength of a 100-MHz radio wave is 3 m. For testing human sensitivity to moving standing waves a movable reflecting wall with wooden frame 3 m height and 5 m wide was constructed (Fig. 1). Steel net of 20 mm × 20 mm mesh was used. Five horizontal net slices of 60 cm wide were bound together with steel wire forming a radio waves reflecting surface. The test place was 5 km from the FM-radio tower. The frame was placed in an open field perpendicular to the incoming wave. The test subject was standing back towards the frame, and he had the hand movement transducer in his hands. The RF-meter with horizontal dipole antenna was close behind him. When started, the frame was 2 m from his back and it was moved 20 m forth and back. The computer registered both signals. The method and the aim of the test were at first presented, in brief, to the test persons. All together 29 adult persons of different ages were tested. They were participants in a seminar relating to effects of electric fields, and thus they possibly do not represent a normal population.

The broadband (30–300 MHz) RF-meter and the hand movement transducer were constructed for this study by the authors. The signals were digitised by Pico high resolution




Fig. 2. Hand movements near the moving RF reflector. The standing waves moved slowly with the reflector. Intensity of the electric field was measured with the broadband RF-meter with horizontal dipole antennas. Variation of the field intensity is presented in the upper curve and the hand movements of the standing test person are in the lower curve.

data logger (ADC16). The radio frequency spectrum was measured using a spectrum analyser (GW instek GSP-827, 2.7 GHz) with 1.5 m horizontal dipole antennas. When measured, the antenna was fastened to a wooden frame 1 m from the ground.

## 3. Results and discussion

Results on the movable frame showed different hand movement reactions of the test subjects. There were 9 persons who reacted like the RF-meter (Fig. 2), 6 persons whose graphs, though obvious, showed no correlation to the RF-meter and 14 persons who did not react or showed only small noise like changes in their graphs (Table 1). Spectrum at the test place contains mainly the FM-radio broadcasting signals and four digital TV signals (Fig. 3). Most prominent (85 dB  $\mu$ V, approximately 50 mV/m) are the 6 horizontally polarized FM-radio signals (Fig. 4).

Resonances in body parts affects the power absorption. Theoretically, the optimal length of a thin antenna in radio-frequency reception is nearly half of the wavelength of the

Table 1

Reactions to standing waves of FM-radio signals. Classification of results of 29 tested persons. Test subject was standing and the radio wave reflector was moved behind him/her. The hand movement graphs were compared to the graphs of the broadband radio frequency (RF) meter.

Reactions to standing waves	9 persons	Hand movement graphs include features like graphs of RF-meter.
Possible reaction	6 persons	Changes in the graphs but no correlation to RF-meter.
No reaction	14 persons	Only small noise like changes in the graphs.




Fig. 3. Spectrum 1–1000 MHz at the test place. The highest peaks at the left are FM-radio broadcasting signals and the four lower peaks in the middle are the digital TV signals. Because the measurement was made with 1.5 m dipoles, signals near 100 MHz are more prominent because of antenna resonance.

incoming radio wave. The experimental maximum whole body resonance frequency is lower than the resonance frequency for an ideal half wave dipole antenna [11]. The whole body resonance length of a human at the frequencies of 80–108 MHz applied to FM broadcasting is about 1.1–1.5 m. Because in this experiment the test subjects were standing and the 100 MHz FM-radio signals and TV signals at higher frequencies are horizontally polarized, the absorption is obviously higher in the shoulder area. The distance between two maximums of the 100 MHz standing wave is 1.5 m. The half




Fig. 4. Spectrum of the FM-radio broadcasting at the test place. Six channels were sending and the maximum electric field intensity was 85 dB  $\mu$ V.

waves of local digital TV signals (500–700 MHz) are only about 20–30 cm. This means that there can be many maximums of standing waves of TV signals in the body at the same time, even near the reflector.

The biggest variation in the local field intensity was caused by the FM broadcasting. There were 6 channels in the tower. Because of different wave lengths, the standing waves near the reflector are at the same phase and they amplify each other, but further away, the phases are mixed and so the amplitude of the summed standing waves is smaller.

With this experiment, we cannot exactly say where the reaction occurs, in limbs, muscles or in the head. It is possible that a change of intensity in standing radiowaves causes a small change in the nerve-muscle permeability of the nerve signal. The person feels it like a spontaneous muscle contraction. His hands are moving away and closer when the standing waves are passing. By some persons, the distance from hand to hand varied 0–60 cm. That means that some of muscles in arms and shoulders should react.

The spectrum contains many frequencies of electromagnetic radiation. The radiation is not only coming from the nearest tower, and it is impossible to clean the test area from other waves. This experiment was made at rural area, but even there, the private hand held telephone signals cause interferences to RF-instruments.

#### 4. Conclusions

Sensitive persons seem to react to crossing standing waves of the FM-radio or TV broadcasting signals. The reactions were apparently initiated by RFR near reflecting objects, but they became more random in very weak variations of total field intensity. In any case, individuals are different, and in natural situations many sources interfere with each other.

#### References

- [1] H.-P. Hutter, H. Moshammer, P. Wallner, M. Kundi, Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems, and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations, *Occup. Environ. Med.* 63 (2006) 307–313.
- [2] R.V. Adey, Frequency and power windowing in tissue interactions with weak electromagnetic fields, *Proc. IEEE* 68 (1) (1980) 119–125.
- [3] R.G. Olsen, T.A. Griner, Specific absorption rate in models of man and monkey at 225 and 2,000 MHz, *Bioelectromagnetics* 8 (1987) 377–384.
- [4] M.J. Hagmann, O.P. Gandhi, J.A. D'andrea, I. Chatterjee, Head resonance: numerical solutions and experimental results, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech. MTT-27* (9) (1979) 809–813.
- [5] H.G. Dolk, P. Shaddik, Walls, et al., Cancer incidence near radio and television transmitters in Great Britain I and II, *Am.J. Epidemiol.* 145 (1997) 1–17.
- [6] Ö. Hallberg, O. Johansson, Melanoma incidence and frequency modulation (FM) broadcasting., *Arch. Environ. Health* 57 (1) (2002) 32–40.
- [7] B. Alberts, D. Bray, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, J.D. Watson (Eds.), *Molecular Biology of the Cell, The Nervous System*, Garland Publishing Inc., New York/London, 1983, pp. 1013–1098 (Chapter 18).

- [8] C.F. Blackman, J.A. Elder, C.M. Weil, S.G. Benane, D.C. Eichinger, D.E. House, Induction of calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue by radio-frequency radiation: effects of modulation frequency and field strength, *Radio Sci.* 14 (1979) 93–98.
- [9] C. Blackman, L.S. Kinney, D.E. House, W.T. Joines, Multiple power-density windows and their possible origin, *Bioelectromagnetics* 10 (2) (1989) 115–128.
- [10] K.K. Kunjilwar, J. Behari, Effects of amplitude-modulated radio frequency radiation on cholinergic system of developing rats, *Brain Res.* 601 (1–2) (1993) 321–324.
- [11] O.P. Gandhi, State of the knowledge for electromagnetic absorbed dose in man and animals (1980), *Proc. IEEE* 68 (1980) 24–32.

## Cell phone radiation: Evidence from ELF and RF studies supporting more inclusive risk identification and assessment<sup>☆</sup>

Carl Blackman

Raleigh, NC 27607, USA

Received 21 January 2009; received in revised form 3 February 2009; accepted 3 February 2009

### Abstract

Many national and international exposure standards for maximum radiation exposure from the use of cell phone and other similar portable devices are ultimately based on the production of heat particularly in regions of the head, that is, thermal effects (TE). The recent elevation in some countries of the allowable exposure, that is, averaging the exposure that occurs in a 6 min period over 10 g of tissue rather than over 1 g allows for greater heating in small portions of the 10-g volume compared to the exposure that would be allowed averaged over 1-g volume. There is concern that ‘hot’ spots, that is, momentary higher intensities, could occur in portions of the 10-g tissue piece, might have adverse consequences, particularly in brain tissue.

There is another concern about exposure to cell phone radiation that has been virtually ignored except for the National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) advice given in a publication in 1986 [National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1986, 400 pp.]. This NCRP review and guidance explicitly acknowledge the existence of non-thermal effects (NTE), and included provisions for reduced maximum-allowable limits should certain radiation characteristics occur during the exposure.

If we are to take most current national and international exposure standards as completely protective of thermal injury for acute exposure only (6 min time period) then the recent evidence from epidemiological studies associating increases in brain and head cancers with increased cell phone use per day and per year over 8–12 years, raises concerns about the possible health consequences on NTE first acknowledged in the NCRP 1986 report [National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1986, 400 pp.].

This paper will review some of the salient evidence that demonstrates the existence of NTE and the exposure complexities that must be considered and understood to provide appropriate, more thorough evaluation and guidance for future studies and for assessment of potential health consequences. Unfortunately, this paper is necessary because most national and international reviews of the research area since the 1986 report [National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1986, 400 pp.] have not included scientists with expertise in NTE, or given appropriate attention to their requests to include NTE in the establishment of public-health-based radiation exposure standards. Thus, those standards are limited because they are not comprehensive.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Non-thermal effects; Electromagnetic fields; Exposure standards

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. The current approach to exposure limits (based on heating and electric current flow in tissues)

It is universally accepted that radiofrequency radiation (RFR) can cause tissue heating (thermal effects, TE) and that extremely low-frequency (ELF) fields, e.g., 50

<sup>☆</sup> Disclaimer: The opinions expressed in this text are those of its author, and are not necessarily those of his employer, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

E-mail address: Carl.Blackman@gmail.com.

and 60 Hz, can cause electrical current flows that shock and even damage or destroy tissues. These factors alone are the underlying bases for present exposure standards. EMF exposures that cause biological effects at intensities that do not cause obvious thermal changes, that is, non-thermal effects (NTE), have been widely reported in the scientific literature since the 1970s including beneficial applications in development and repair processes. The current public safety limits do not take modulation into account and thus are no longer sufficiently protective of public health where chronic exposure to pulsed or pulse-modulated signal is involved, and where sub-populations of more susceptible individuals may be at risk from such exposures.

### 1.2. Modulation as a critical element

Modulation signals are one important component in the delivery of EMF signals to which cells, tissues, organs and individuals can respond biologically. At the most basic level, modulation can be considered a pattern of pulses or repeating signals which have specific meaning in defining that signal apart from all others. Modulated signals have a specific 'beat' defined by how the signal varies periodically or aperiodically over time. Pulsed signals occur in an on-off pattern, which can be either smooth and rhythmic, or sharply pulsed in quick bursts. Amplitude and frequency modulation involves two very different processes where the high-frequency signal, called the carrier wave, has a lower frequency signal that is superimposed on or 'rides' on the carrier frequency. In amplitude modulation, the lower frequency signal is embedded on the carrier wave as changes in its amplitude as a function of time, whereas in frequency modulation, the lower frequency signal is embedded as slight changes in the frequency of the carrier wave. Each type of low-frequency modulation conveys specific 'information', and some modulation patterns are more effective (more bioactive) than others depending on the biological reactivity of the exposed material. This enhanced interaction can be a good thing for therapeutic purposes in medicine, but can be deleterious to health where such signals could stimulate disease-related processes, such as increased cell proliferation in precancerous lesions. Modulation signals may interfere with normal, non-linear biological functions. More recent studies of modulated RF signals report changes in human cognition, reaction time, brain-wave activity, sleep disruption and immune function. These studies have tested the RF and ELF-modulated RF signals from emerging wireless technologies (cell phones) that rely on pulse-modulated RF to transmit signals. Thus modulation can be considered as information content embedded in the higher frequency carrier wave that may have biological consequences beyond any effect from the carrier wave directly.

In mobile telephony, for example, modulation is one of the underlying ways to categorize the radiofrequency signal

of one telecom carrier from another (TDMA from CDMA from GSM). Modulation is likely a key factor in determining whether and when biological reactivity might be occurring, for example in the new technologies which make use of modulated signals, some modulation (the packaging for delivery for an EMF 'message') may be bioactive, for example, when frequencies are similar to those found in brain wave patterns. If a new technology happens to use brain wave frequencies, the chances are higher that it will have effects, in comparison, for example, to choosing some lower or higher modulation frequency to carry the same EMF information to its target.

This chapter will show that other EMF factors may also be involved in determining if a given low-frequency signal directly, or as a modulation of a radiofrequency wave, can be bioactive. Such is the evolving nature of information about modulation. It argues for great care in defining standards that are intended to be protective of public health and well-being. This chapter will also describe some features of exposure and physiological conditions that are required in general for non-thermal effects to be produced, and specifically *to illustrate how modulation is a fundamental factor which should be taken into account in public safety standards.*

## 2. Laboratory evidence

Published laboratory studies have provided evidence for more than 40 years on bioeffects at much lower intensities than cited in the various widely publicized guidelines for limits to prevent harmful effects. Many of these reports show EMF-caused changes in processes associated with cell growth control, differentiation and proliferation, that are biological processes of considerable interest to physicians for potential therapeutic applications and for scientists who study the molecular and cellular basis of cancer. EMF effects have been reported in gene induction, transmembrane signaling cascades, gap junction communication, immune system action, rates of cell transformation, breast cancer cell growth, regeneration of damaged nerves and recalcitrant bone-fracture healing. These reports have cell growth control as a common theme. Other more recent studies on brainwave activity, cognition and human reaction time lend credence to modulation (pulsed RF and ELF-modulated RF) as a concern for wireless technologies, most prominently from cell phone use.

In the process of studying non-thermal biological effects, various exposure parameters have been shown to influence whether or not a specific EMF can cause a biological effect, including intensity, frequency, the co-occurrence of the static magnetic field (both the natural earth's magnetic field and anthropogenic fields), the presence of the electrical field, the magnetic field, or their combination, and whether EMF is sinusoidal, pulsed or in more com-

plex wave forms. These parameters will be discussed below.

Experimental results will be used to illustrate the influence of each EMF parameter, while also demonstrating that it is highly unlikely the effects are due to EMF-caused current flow or heating.

### 2.1. Initial studies that drew attention to NTE

Several papers in the 1960s and early 1970s reported that ELF fields could alter circadian rhythms in laboratory animals and humans. In the latter 1960s, a paper by Hamer [2] reported that the EMF environment in planned space capsules could cause human response time changes, i.e., the interval between a signal and the human response. Subsequent experiments by a research group led by Adey were conducted with monkeys, and showed similar response time changes and also EEG pattern changes [3,4]. The investigators shifted the research subject to cats and decided they needed to use a radiofrequency field to carry the ELF signal into the cat brain, and observed EEG pattern changes, ability to sense and behaviorally respond to the ELF component of RFR, and the ability of minor electric current to stimulate the release of an inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA, and simultaneous release of a surrogate measure, calcium ions, from the cortex [5,6]. At this time Bawin, a member of the research group, adopted newly hatch chickens as sources of brain tissue and observed changes in the release of calcium ions from *in vitro* specimens as a function of ELF frequency directly or as amplitude modulation ('am') of RFR (RFRam) [7–11]. Tests of both EMF frequency and intensity dependences demonstrated a single sensitive region (termed 'window') over the range of frequency and intensity examined. This series of papers showed that EMF-induced changes could occur in several species (human, monkey, cat and chicken), that calcium ions could be used as surrogate measures for a neurotransmitter, that ELF fields could produce effects similar to RFRam (note: without the 'am', there was no effect although the RFR intensity was the same), and that the dose and frequency response consisted of a single sensitivity window.

Subsequent, independent research groups published a series of papers replicating and extending this earlier work. Initial studies by Blackman, Joines and colleagues [12–25] used the same chick brain assay system as Bawin and colleagues. These papers reported multiple windows in intensity and in frequency within which calcium changes were observed in the chick brain experimental systems under EMF exposure. Three other independent groups offered confirmation of these results by reporting intensity and frequency windows for calcium, neurotransmitter or enolase release under EMF exposure of human and animal nervous system-derived cells *in vitro* by Dutta et al. [26–29], of rat pancreatic tissue slices by Albert et al. [30], and of frog heart by Schwartz et al. [31] but not frog-heart

atrial strips *in vitro* [32]. This series of papers showed that multiple frequency and intensity windows were a common phenomenon that required the development of new theoretical concepts to provide a mechanism of action paradigm.

### 2.2. Refined laboratory studies reveal more details

Additional aspects of the EMF experiments with the chick brain described by Blackman and colleagues, above, also revealed critical co-factors that influenced the action of EMF to cause changes in calcium release, including the influence of the local static magnetic field, and the influence of physico-chemical parameters, such as pH, temperature and the ionic strength of the bathing solution surrounding the brain tissue during exposure. This information provides clues for and constraints on any theoretical mechanism that is to be developed to explain the phenomenon. Most current theories ignore these parameters that need to be monitored and controlled for EMF exposure to produce NTE. These factors demonstrate that the current risk assessment paradigms, which ignore them, are incomplete and thus may not provide the level of protection currently assumed.

### 2.3. Sensitivity of developing organisms

An additional study was also conducted to determine if EMF exposure of chicken eggs while the embryo was developing could influence the response of brain tissue from the newly hatched chickens. The detailed set of frequency and intensity combinations under which effects were observed, were all obtained from hatched chickens whose eggs were incubated for 21 days in an electrically heated chamber containing 60-Hz fields. Thus tests were performed to determine if the 60-Hz frequency of ELF fields (10 V/m in air) during incubation, i.e., during embryogenesis and organogenesis, would alter the subsequent calcium release responses of the brain tissue to EMF exposure. The reports of Blackman et al. [19] and Joines et al. [25] showed that the brain tissue response was changed when the field during the incubation period was 50 Hz rather than 60 Hz. This result is consistent with an anecdotal report of adult humans, institutionalized because of chemical sensitivities, who were also responsive to the frequency of power-line EM fields that were present in the countries where they were born and raised [33]. This information indicates there may be animal and human exposure situations where EMF imprinting during development could be an important factor in laboratory and epidemiological situations. EMF imprinting, which may only become manifest when a human is subjected to chemical or biological stresses, could reduce ability to fight disease and toxic insult from environmental pollution, resulting in a population in need of more medical services, with resulting lost days at work.

### 3. Fundamental exposure parameters—to be considered when establishing a mode (or mechanism) of action for non-thermal EMF-induced biological effects

#### 3.1. Intensity

There are numerous reports of biological effects that show intensity “windows”, that is, regions of intensity that cause changes surrounded by higher and lower intensities that show no effects from exposure. One very clear effect by Blackman and colleagues is 16-Hz, sine wave-induced changes in calcium efflux from brain tissue in a test tube because it shows two very distinct and clearly separated intensity windows of effects surrounded by regions of intensities that caused no effects [17]. There are other reports for similar multiple windows of intensity in the radiofrequency range [22,26,29,31]. Note that calcium ions are a secondary signal transduction agent active in many cellular pathways. These results show that intensity windows exist, they display an unusual and unanticipated “non-linear” (non-linear and non-monotonic) phenomenon that has been ignored in all risk assessment and standard setting exercises, save the NCRP 1986 publication [1]. Protection from multiple intensity windows has never been incorporated into any risk assessment; to do so would call for a major change in thinking. These results mean that lower intensity is not necessarily less bioactive, or less harmful.

Multiple intensity windows appeared as an unexpected phenomenon in the late 1970s and 1980s. There has been one limited attempt to specifically model this phenomenon by Thompson et al. [34], which was reasonably successful. This modeling effort should be extended because there are publications from two independent research groups showing multiple intensity windows for 50, 147, and 450 MHz fields when amplitude modulated at 16 Hz using the calcium ion release endpoint in chicken brains, *in vitro*. The incident intensities (measured in air) for the windows at the different carrier frequencies do not align at the same values. However, Joines et al. [23,24] and Blackman et al. [20] noted the windows of intensity align across different carrier frequencies if one converts the incident intensity to the intensity expected within the sample at the brain surface. This conversion was accomplished by correcting for the different dielectric constants of the sample materials due to the different carrier frequencies. The uniqueness of this response provides a substantial clue to theoreticians but it is interesting and disappointing that no publications have appeared attempting to address this relationship. It is obvious that this phenomenon is one that needs further study.

#### 3.2. Frequency

Frequency-dependent phenomena are common occurrences in nature. For example, the human ear only hears a portion of the sound that is in the environment, typically from

20 to 20,000 Hz, which is a frequency “window”. Another biological frequency window can be observed for plants grown indoors. Given normal indoor lighting the plants may grow to produce lush vegetation but not produce flowers unless illuminated with a lamp that emits a different spectrum of light partially mimicking the light from the sun. Thus, frequency windows of response to various agents exist in biological systems from plants to homo sapiens.

In a similar manner, there are examples of EMF-caused biological effects that occur in a frequency-dependent manner that cannot be explained by current flow or heating. The examples include reports of calcium ion efflux from brain tissue *in vitro* by Blackman and Joines and colleagues at low frequency [15,19] and at high frequency modulated at low frequency [20,35,24]. An additional example of an unexpected result is by Liboff [36].

In addition, two apparently contradictory multiple-frequency exposure results provide examples of the unique and varied non-thermal interactions of EMF with biological systems. Litovitz and colleagues showed that an ELF sinusoidal signal could induce a biological response in a cell culture preparation, and that the addition of a noise signal of equal average intensity could block the effect caused by the sinusoidal signal, thereby negating the influence of the sinusoidal signal [37]. Similar noise canceling effects were observed using chick embryo preparations [38,39]. It was also shown that the biological effects caused by microwave exposures imitating cell phone signals could be mitigated by ELF noise [40]. However, this observation should not be generalized; a noise signal is not always benign. Milham and Morgan [41] showed that a sinusoidal ELF (60-Hz) signal was not associated with the induction of cancer in humans, but when that sinusoidal signal was augmented by a noise signal, basically transients that added higher frequencies, an increase in cancer was noted in humans exposed over the long-term. Thus, the addition of noise in this case was associated with the appearance of a health issue. Havas [42–44] has described other potential health problems associated with these higher frequency transients, termed “dirty power.” The bioactive frequency regions observed in these studies have never been explicitly considered for use in any EMF risk assessments, thus demonstrating the incomplete nature of current exposure guideline limits.

There are also EMF frequency-dependent alterations in the action of nerve growth factor (NGF) to stimulate neurite outgrowth (growth of primitive axons or dendrites) from a peripheral-nerve-derived cell (PC-12) in culture shown by Blackman et al. [45,46] and by Trillo et al. [47]. The combined effect of frequency and intensity is also a common occurrence in both the analogous sound and the light examples given above. Too much or too little of either frequency or intensity show either no or undesirable effects. Similarly, Blackman et al. [15] has reported EMF responses composed of effect “islands” of intensity and frequency combinations, surrounded by a “sea” of intensity and frequency combinations of null effects. Although the mechanisms responsible

for these effects have not been established, the effects represent a here-to-fore unknown phenomenon that may have complex ramifications for risk assessment and standard setting. Nerve growth and neurotransmitter release that can be altered by different combinations of EMF frequencies and intensities, especially in developing organisms like children, could conceivably produce over time a subsequent altered ability to successfully or fully respond behaviorally to natural stressors in the adult environment; research is urgently needed to test this possibility in animal systems.

Nevertheless, this phenomenon of frequency dependence is ignored in the development of present exposure standards. These standards rely primarily on biological responses to intensities within an arbitrarily defined engineering-based frequency bands, not biologically based response bands, and are solely based on an energy deposition determinations.

#### 4. Static magnetic field—a completely unexpected complexity

The magnetic field of the earth at any given location has a relatively constant intensity as a function of time. However, the intensity value, and the inclination of the field with respect to the gravity vector, varies considerable over the face of the earth. More locally, these features of the earth's magnetic field can also vary by more than 20% inside manufactured structures, particularly those with steel support structures.

At the Bioelectromagnetics Society annual meeting in 1984 [48], Blackman revealed his group's discovery that the intensity of the static magnetic field could establish and define those oscillatory frequencies that would cause changes in calcium ion release in his chick brain preparation. This result was further discussed at a NATO Advanced Research workshop in Erice, Italy in the fall of 1984 and by publications from that meeting and subsequent research: Blackman et al. [14,18] and Liboff et al. [36,49,50]. Substantial additional research on this feature was reported by Liboff and colleagues [51,52,50]. Blackman et al. also reported on the importance of the relative orientation of the static magnetic field vector to the oscillating magnetic field vector [21] and demonstrated a reverse biological response could occur depending on parallel or perpendicular orientations of the static and oscillating magnetic fields [53].

There have been many attempts to explain this phenomenon by a number of research teams led by Smith [49], Blackman [15], Liboff [36,54], Lednev [55], Blanchard [56], Zhadin [57], del Giudice [58], Binhi [59–62], and Matronchik [63] but none has been universally accepted. Nevertheless, experimental results continued to report static and oscillating field dependencies for non-thermally induced biological effects in studies led by Zhadin [64,65], Vorobyov [66], Baurer Koch [67], Sarimov [68], Prato [69,70], Comisso [71], and Novikov [72].

With this accumulation of reports from independent, international researchers, it is now clear that if a biological

response depends on the static magnetic field intensity, and even its orientation with respect to an oscillating field, then the conditions necessary to reproduce the phenomenon are very specific and might easily escape detection (see for example, Blackman and Most [73]). The consequences of these results are that there may be exposure situations that are truly detrimental (or beneficial) to organisms, but that are insufficiently common on a large scale that they would not be observed in epidemiological studies; they need to be studied under controlled laboratory conditions to determine impact on health and wellbeing.

#### 5. Electric and magnetic components—both biological active with different consequences

Both the electric and the magnetic components have been shown to directly and independently cause biological changes. There is one report that clearly distinguishes the distinct biological responses caused by the electric field and by the magnetic field. Marron et al. [74] show that electric field exposure can increase the negative surface charge density of an amoeba, *Physarum polycephalum*, and that magnetic field exposure of the same organism causes changes in the surface of the organism to reduce its hydrophobic character. Other scientists have used concentric growth surfaces of different radii and vertical magnetic fields perpendicular to the growth surface to determine if the magnetic or the induced electric component is the agent causing biological change. Liburdy et al. [75], examining calcium influx in lymphocytes, and Greene et al. [76], monitoring ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) activity in cell culture, showed that the induced electric component was responsible for their results. In contrast, Blackman et al. [77,78] monitoring neurite outgrowth from two different clones of PC-12 cells and using the same exposure technique used by Liburdy and by Greene showed the magnetic component was the critical agent in their experiments. EMF-induced changes on the cell surface, where it interacts with its environment, can dramatically alter the homeostatic mechanisms in tissues, whereas changes in ODC activity are associated with the induction of cell proliferation, a desirable outcome if one is concerned about wound healing, but undesirable if the concern is tumor cell growth. This information demonstrates the multiple, different ways that EMF can affect biological systems. Present analyses for risk assessment and standard setting have ignored this information, thus making their conclusions of limited value.

#### 6. Sine and pulsed waves—like different programs on a radio broadcast station

Important characteristics of pulsed waves that have been reported to influence biological processes include the following: (1) frequency, (2) pulse width, (3) intensity, (4) rise and fall time, and (5) the frequency, if any, within the pulse ON

time. Chiabrera et al. [79] showed that pulsed fields caused de-differentiation of amphibian red blood cells. Scarfi et al. [80] showed enhanced micronuclei formation in lymphocytes of patients with Turner's syndrome (only one X chromosome) but no change in micronuclei formation when the lymphocytes were exposed to sine waves (Scarfi et al. [81]). Takahashi et al. [82] monitored thymidine incorporation in Chinese hamster cells and explored the influence of pulse frequency (two windows of enhancement reported), pulse width (one window of enhancement reported) and intensity (two windows of enhancement reported followed by a reduction in incorporation). Ubeda et al. [83] showed the influence of difference rise and fall times of pulsed waves on chick embryo development.

### 6.1. Importance for risk assessment

It is important to note that the frequency spectrum of pulsed waves can be represented by a sum of sine waves which, to borrow a chemical analogy, would represent a mixture of chemicals, any one of which could be biologically active. Risk assessment and exposure limits have been established for specific chemicals or chemical classes of compounds that have been shown to cause undesirable biological effects. Risk assessors and the general public are sophisticated enough to recognize that it is impossible to declare all chemicals safe or hazardous; consider the difference between food and poisons, both of which are chemicals. A similar situation occurs for EMF; it is critical to determine which combinations of EMF conditions have the potential to cause biological harm and which do not.

Obviously, pulse wave exposures represent an entire genre of exposure conditions, with additional difficulty for exact independent replication of exposures, and thus of results, but with increased opportunities for the production of biological effects. Current standards were not developed with explicit knowledge of these additional consequences for biological responses.

## 7. Mechanisms

Two papers have the possibility of advancing understanding in this research area. Chiabrera et al. [84] created a theoretical model for EMF effects on an ion's interaction with protein that includes the influence of thermal energy and of metabolism. Before this publication, theoreticians assumed that biological effects in living systems could not occur if the electric signal is below the signal caused by thermal noise, in spite of experimental evidence to the contrary. In this paper, the authors show that this limitation is not absolute, and that different amounts of metabolic energy can influence the amount and parametric response of biological systems to EMF. The second paper, by Marino et al. [85], presents a new analytical approach to examine endpoints in systems exposed to EMF. The authors, focusing on exposure-induced lym-

phoid phenotypes, report that EMF may not cause changes in the mean values of endpoints, but by using recurrence analysis, they capture exposure-induced, statistically significant, non-linear movements of the endpoints to either side of the mean endpoint value. They provide further evidence using immunological endpoints from exposed and sham treated mice [86–88]. Additional research has emerged from this laboratory on EMF-induced animal and human brain activity changes that provides more evidence for the value of their research approach (Marino et al. [89–92], Kolomytkin et al. [93] and Carrubba et al. [94–98]). Further advanced theoretical and experimental studies of relevance to non-thermal biological effects are emerging; see for example reports by Binhi et al. [59–62], Zhadin et al. [64,99,65], and Novikov et al. [72]. *It is apparent that much remains to be examined and explained in EMF biological effects research through more creative methods of analysis than have been used before. The models described above need to be incorporated into risk assessment determinations.*

## 8. Problems with current risk assessments—observations of effects are segregated by artificial frequency bands that ignore modulation

One fundamental limitation of most reviews of EMF biological effects is that exposures are segregated by the physical (engineering/technical) concept of frequency bands favored by the engineering community. This is a default approach that follows the historical context established by the incremental addition of newer technologies that generate increasingly higher frequencies. However, this approach fails to consider unique responses from biological systems that are widely reported at various combinations of frequencies, modulations and intensities.

When common biological responses are observed without regard for the particular, engineering-defined EMF frequency band in which the effects occur, this reorganization of the results can highlight the commonalities in biological responses caused by exposures to EMF across the different engineering-defined frequency bands. An attempt to introduce this concept to escape the limitations of the engineering-defined structure occurred with the development of the 1986 NCRP radiofrequency exposure guidelines because published papers from the early 1970s to the mid 1980s (to be discussed below) demonstrated the need to include amplitude modulation as a factor in setting of maximum exposure limits. The 1986 NCRP guideline [1] was the one and only risk evaluation that included an exception for modulated fields.

The current research and risk assessment attempts are no longer tenable. The 3-year delay in the expected report of the 7-year Interphone study results has made this epidemiological approach a 10-year long effort, and the specific exposure conditions, due to improved technology, have changed so that the results may no longer be applicable to the current

exposure situation. It is unproductive to continue to fund epidemiological studies of people who are exposed to a wide variety of diversified, uncontrolled, and poorly characterized EMF in their natural and work environments. In place of the funding of more epidemiological studies should be funding to support controlled laboratory studies to focus on the underlying processes responsible for the NTE described above, so that mechanisms or modes of action can be developed to provide a theoretical framework to further identify, characterize and unify the action of the heretofore ignored exposure parameters shown to be important.

### 8.1. Potential explanation for the failure to optimize research in EMF biological effects

Unfortunately, risk evaluations following the 1986 NCRP example [1], returned to the former engineering-defined analysis conditions, in part because scientists who reported non-thermal effects were not placed on the review committees, and in the terms of Slovic [100] “Risk assessment is inherently subjective and represent a blend of science and judgment with important psychological, social, cultural, and political factors. . . . Whoever controls the definition of risk controls the rational solution to the problem at hand. . . . Defining risk is thus an exercise in power.” It appears that by excluding scientists experienced with producing non-thermal biological effects, the usually sound judgment by the selected committees was severely limited in its breadth-of-experience, thereby causing the members to retreat to their own limited areas of expertise when forced to make judgments, as described by Slovic [100], “Public views are also influenced by worldviews, ideologies, and values; so are scientists’ views, particularly when they are working at limits of their expertise.” The current practice of segregating scientific investigations (and resulting public health limits) by artificial divisions of frequency dramatically dilutes the impact of the basic science results, thereby reducing and distorting the weight of evidence in any evaluation process (see evaluations of bias by Havas [101], referring to NRC 1997 [102] compared to NIEHS 1998 [103] and NIEHS 1999 [104]).

## 9. Suggested research

Are there substitute approaches that would improve on the health-effects evaluation situation? As mentioned above, it may be useful in certain cases to develop a biologically based clustering of the data to focus on and enrich understanding of certain aspects of biological responses. Some examples to consider for biological clustering include: (1) EMF features, such as frequency and intensity inter-dependencies, (2) common co-factors, such as the earth’s magnetic field or co-incident application of chemical agents to perturb and perhaps sensitize the biological system to EMF, or (3) physiological state of the biological specimen, such as age or sensitive sub-populations, including genetic predisposition

as described by Fedrowitz et al. [105,106], and for human populations, recently reported by Yang et al. [107].

To determine if this approach has merit, one could combine reports of biological effects found in the ELF (including sub-ELF) band with effects found in the RF band when the RF exposures are amplitude modulated (AM) using frequencies in the ELF band. The following data should be used: (a) human response time changes under ELF exposure [2], (b) monkey response time and EEG changes under ELF exposure [3,4], (c) cat brain EEG, GABA and calcium ion changes induced by ELF and AM-RF [8,9,7,10,6,11,108,5], (d) calcium ion changes in chick brain tissue under ELF and AM-RF [8,9,7,10,13–15,21,16–18,12,19,20,22,35,23–25,11], and (e) calcium changes under AM-RF in brain cells in culture [26–28] and in frog heart under AM-RF [31]. The potential usefulness of applying biological clustering in the example given above even though AM is used, is that the results may have relevance to assist in the examination of some of the effects reportedly caused by cellular phone exposures which include more complex types of modulation of RF. This suggestion is reasonable because three groups later reported human responses to cell phone emissions that include changes in reaction times – Preece et al. [109,110], Koivisto et al. [111,112] and Krause et al. [113,114] – or to brain wave potentials that may be associated with reaction time changes—Freude et al. [115,116].

Subsequently, Preece et al. [117] tested cognitive function in children and found a trend, but not a statistically significant change in simple reaction time under exposure, perhaps because he applied a Bonferroni correction to his data (alpha for significance was required to be less than 0.0023). It would appear that a change in the experimental protocol might provide a more definitive test of the influence of exposure on simple reaction time because it is known that a Bonferroni correction is a particularly severe test of statistical significance, or as the author observed, “a particularly conservative criterion.”

Krause et al. [118] examined cognitive activity by observing oscillatory EEG activity in children exposed to cell phone radiation while performing an auditory memory task and reported exposure related changes in the ~4–8 Hz EEG frequencies during memory encoding, and changes in that range and also ~15 Hz during recognition. The investigators also examined cognitive processing, an auditory memory task or a visual working memory task, in adults exposed to CW or pulsed cell phone radiation on either the right or left side of the head, and reported modest changes in brain EEG activity in the ~4–8 Hz region, compared to CW exposure, but with caveats that no behavior changes were observed, and that the data were varying, unsystematic and inconsistent with previous reports (Krause et al. [119]). Haarala and colleagues conducted an extensive series of experiments, examining reaction time [120], short-term memory [121], short-term memory in children [122], and right versus left hemisphere exposure [123]. Although these studies did not

support the positive effects from exposure reported by others, they provided possible explanations for the apparent lack of agreement.

Other research groups have also examined the effects of cell phone radiation on the central nervous system, including Borbely et al. [124], Huber et al. [125], Loughran et al. [126], and D'Costa et al. [127], who found changes in sleep EEG patterns and other measures during or after short-term exposures, while others, such as Fritzer et al. [128] exposed for longer time periods found no changes in sleep parameters, EEG power spectra, correlation dimension nor cognitive function. The work of Pritchard [129] served as the basis to examining correlation dimensions, which is opening a potentially fertile avenue for investigation. Although this approach provides more indepth information on ongoing processes and function, it has not yet been used to address potential consequences associated with long-term cell phone use.

The papers published in the 1960s through 1991, described in earlier sections of this paper, foreshadowed the more recent publications in 1999 through 2008 showing response time changes; or associated measures; in human subjects during exposure to cell phone-generated radiation. It is unfortunate that essentially none of the earlier studies was acknowledged in these recent reports on cognition, reaction time and other measures of central nervous system processes. Without guidance from this extensive earlier work, particularly those demonstrating the variety of exposure parameter spaces that must be controlled to produce repeatable experiments, the development of the mechanistic bases for non-thermal effects from EMF exposures will be substantially delayed. The omission of the recognition of the exposure conditions that affect the biological outcomes continues as recently as the National Academy of Science 2009 publication [130] of future directions for research, which emphasizes the modest perspective in the results from committee members working at the limits of expertise, as anticipated by Slovic [100].

Let us hope that subsequent national and international committees that consider future directions for EMF research include members who have performed and reported non-thermal effects, in order to provide a broader perspective to develop programs that will more expeditiously address potential health problems as well as to provide guidance to industry on prudent procedures to establish for their technologies.

At present, we are left with a recommendation voiced in 1989 by Abelson [131] in an editorial in Science Magazine that addressed electric power-specific EMF, but is applicable to higher frequency EMF as well, to "adopt a prudent avoidance strategy" by "adopting those which look to be 'prudent' investments given their cost and our current level of scientific understanding about possible risks."

## 10. Conclusions

There is substantial scientific evidence that some modulated fields (pulsed or repeated signals) are bioactive, which

increases the likelihood that they could have health impacts with chronic exposure even at very low exposure levels. Modulation signals may interfere with normal, non-linear biological processes. Modulation is a fundamental factor that should be taken into account in new public safety standards; at present it is not even a contributing factor. To properly evaluate the biological and health impacts of exposure to modulated RFR (carrier waves), it is also essential to study the impact of the modulating signal (lower frequency fields or ELF-modulated RF). Current standards have ignored modulation as a factor in human health impacts, and thus are inadequate in the protection of the public in terms of chronic exposure to some forms of ELF-modulated RF signals. The current IEEE and ICNIRP standards are not sufficiently protective of public health with respect to chronic exposure to modulated fields (particularly new technologies that are pulse-modulated and heavily used in cellular telephony). The collective papers on modulation appear to be omitted from consideration in the recent WHO and IEEE science reviews. This body of research has been ignored by current standard setting bodies that rely only on traditional energy-based (thermal) concepts. More laboratory as opposed to epidemiological research is needed to determine which modulation factors, and combinations are bioactive and deleterious at low intensities, and are likely to result in disease-related processes and/or health risks; however this should not delay preventative actions supporting public health and wellness. If signals need to be modulated in the development of new wireless technologies, for example, it makes sense to use what existing scientific information is available to avoid the most obviously deleterious exposure parameters and select others that may be less likely to interfere with normal biological processes in life. The current membership on Risk Assessment committees needs to be made more inclusive, by adding scientists experienced with producing non-thermal biological effects. The current practice of segregating scientific investigations (and resulting public health limits) by artificial, engineering-based divisions of frequency needs to be changed because this approach dramatically dilutes the impact of the basic science results and eliminates consideration of modulation signals, thereby reducing and distorting the weight of evidence in any evaluation process.

## References

- [1] National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements. Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, National Council for Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1986, 400 pp.
- [2] J. Hamer, Effects of low level, low frequency electric fields on human reaction time, Communications in Behavioral Biology 2 (5 part A) (1968) 217–222.
- [3] R.J. Gavalas, D.O. Walter, J. Hamer, W.R. Adey, Effect of low-level, low-frequency electric fields on eeg and behavior in macaca nemestrina, Brain Research 18 (3) (1970) 491–501.

- [4] R. Gavalas-Medici, S.R. Day-Magdalena, Extremely low frequency, weak electric fields affect schedule-controlled behaviour of monkeys, *Nature* 261 (5557) (1976) 256–259.
- [5] L.K. Kaczmarek, W.R. Adey, The efflux of  $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and (3 h) gamma-aminobutyric acid from cate cerebral cortex, *Brain Research* 63 (1973) 331–342.
- [6] L.K. Kaczmarek, W.R. Adey, Weak electric gradients change ionic and transmitter fluxes in cortex, *Brain Research* 66 (3) (1974) 537–540.
- [7] S.M. Bawin, L.K. Kaczmarek, W.R. Adey, Effects of modulated vhf fields on the central nervous system, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 247 (1975) 74–81.
- [8] S.M. Bawin, W.R. Adey, Sensitivity of calcium binding in cerebral tissue to weak environmental electric fields oscillating at low frequency, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 73 (6) (1976) 1999–2003.
- [9] S.M. Bawin, W.R. Adey, I.M. Sabbot, Ionic factors in release of  $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$  from chicken cerebral tissue by electromagnetic fields, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 75 (12) (1978) 6314–6318.
- [10] S.M. Bawin, A.R. Sheppard, W.R. Adey, Possible mechanism of weak electromagnetic field coupling in brain tissue, *Bioelectrochemistry & Bioenergetics* 5 (1978) 67–76.
- [11] A.R. Sheppard, S.M. Bawin, W.R. Adey, Models of long-range order in cerebral macromolecules: effects of sub-elf and of modulated vhf and uhf fields, *Radio Science* 14 (6S) (1979) 141–145.
- [12] C.F. Blackman, J.A. Elder, C.M. Weil, S.G. Benane, D.C. Eichinger, D.E. House, Induction of calcium ion efflux from brain tissue by radio-frequency radiation: effects of modulation-frequency and field strength, *Radio Science* 14 (6S) (1979) 93–98.
- [13] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, J.A. Elder, D.E. House, J.A. Lampe, J.M. Faulk, Induction of calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue by radiofrequency radiation: effect of sample number and modulation frequency on the power-density window, *Bioelectromagnetics* 1 (1) (1980) 35–43.
- [14] C.F. Blackman, The biological influences of low-frequency sinusoidal electromagnetic signals alone and superimposed on rf carrier waves; in: A. Chiabrera, C. Nicolini, H.P. Schwan (Eds.), *Interaction between Electromagnetic Fields and Cells*, Erice, Italy, Plenum, New York, 1984, NATO ASI Series A97, pp. 521–535.
- [15] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, D.J. Elliott, D.E. House, M.M. Pollock, Influence of electromagnetic fields on the efflux of calcium ions from brain tissue in vitro: a three-model analysis consistent with the frequency response up to 510 hz, *Bioelectromagnetics* 9 (3) (1988) 215–227.
- [16] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, W.T. Joines, M.A. Hollis, D.E. House, Calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue: power-density versus internal field-intensity dependencies at 50-mhz rf radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 1 (3) (1980) 277–283.
- [17] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, L.S. Kinney, W.T. Joines, D.E. House, Effects of elf fields on calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue in vitro, *Radiation Research* 92 (3) (1982) 510–520.
- [18] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, J.R. Rabinowitz, D.E. House, W.T. Joines, A role for the magnetic field in the radiation-induced efflux of calcium ions from brain tissue in vitro, *Bioelectromagnetics* 6 (4) (1985) 327–337.
- [19] C.F. Blackman, D.E. House, S.G. Benane, W.T. Joines, R.J. Spiegel, Effect of ambient levels of power-line-frequency electric fields on a developing vertebrate, *Bioelectromagnetics* 9 (2) (1988) 129–140.
- [20] C.F. Blackman, W.T. Joines, J.A. Elder, Calcium-ion efflux in brain tissue by radiofrequency radiation, in: K.H. Illinger (Ed.), *Biological Effects of Nonionizing Radiation*, vol. 157, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1981, pp. 299–314.
- [21] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, D.J. Elliott, Importance of alignment between local dc magnetic field and an oscillating magnetic field in responses of brain tissue in vitro and in vivo, *Bioelectromagnetics* 11 (2) (1990) 159–167.
- [22] C.F. Blackman, L.S. Kinney, D.E. House, W.T. Joines, Multiple power-density windows and their possible origin, *Bioelectromagnetics* 10 (2) (1989) 115–128.
- [23] W.T. Joines, C.F. Blackman, Equalizing the electric field intensity within chick brain immersed in buffer solution at different carrier frequencies, *Bioelectromagnetics* 2 (4) (1981) 411–413.
- [24] W.T. Joines, C.F. Blackman, M.A. Hollis, Broadening of the rf power-density window for calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue, *IEEE Transactions on Bio-Medical Engineering* 28 (8) (1981) 568–573.
- [25] W.T. Joines, C.F. Blackman, R.J. Spiegel, Specific absorption rate in electrically coupled biological samples between metal plates, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (2) (1986) 163–176.
- [26] S.K. Dutta, K. Das, B. Ghosh, C.F. Blackman, Dose dependence of acetylcholinesterase activity in neuroblastoma cells exposed to modulated radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 13 (4) (1992) 317–322.
- [27] S.K. Dutta, B. Ghosh, C.F. Blackman, Radiofrequency radiation-induced calcium ion efflux enhancement from human and other neuroblastoma cells in culture, *Bioelectromagnetics* 10 (2) (1989) 197–202.
- [28] S.K. Dutta, A. Subramoniam, B. Ghosh, R. Parshad, Microwave radiation-induced calcium ion efflux from human neuroblastoma cells in culture, *Bioelectromagnetics* 5 (1) (1984) 71–78.
- [29] S.K. Dutta, M. Verma, C.F. Blackman, Frequency-dependent alterations in enolase activity in *escherichia coli* caused by exposure to electric and magnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 15 (5) (1994) 377–383.
- [30] E. Albert, C. Blackman, F. Slaby, Calcium dependent secretory protein release and calcium efflux during rf irradiation of rat pancreatic tissue slices, in: A.J. Berteaud, B. Servantie (Eds.), *Ondes Electromagnetiques et Biologie*, URSI International Symposium on Electromagnetic Waves and Biology, June 30–July 4, Jouy-en-Josas, France, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 2 rue Henry Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France: A.J. Berteaud, 1980, pp. 325–329.
- [31] J.L. Schwartz, D.E. House, G.A. Mealing, Exposure of frog hearts to cw or amplitude-modulated vhf fields: selective efflux of calcium ions at 16 hz, *Bioelectromagnetics* 11 (4) (1990) 349–358.
- [32] J.L. Schwartz, G.A. Mealing, Calcium-ion movement and contractility in atrial strips of frog heart are not affected by low-frequency-modulated, 1 ghz electromagnetic radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 14 (6) (1993) 521–533.
- [33] C.F. Blackman, Can EMF exposure during development leave an imprint later in life? *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 25 (4) (2006) 217–225.
- [34] C.J. Thompson, Y.S. Yang, V. Anderson, A.W. Wood, A cooperative model for  $\text{Ca}^{++}$  efflux windowing from cell membranes exposed to electromagnetic radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (6) (2000) 455–464.
- [35] W.T. Joines, C.F. Blackman, Power density, field intensity, and carrier frequency determinants of rf-energy-induced calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue, *Bioelectromagnetics* 1 (3) (1980) 271–275.
- [36] A.R. Liboff, Cyclotron resonance in membrane transport, in: A. Chiabrera, C. Nicolini, H.P. Schwan (Eds.), *Interaction between Electromagnetic Fields and Cells*, Erice, Italy, Plenum, New York, 1984, NATO ASI Series A97, pp. 281–296.
- [37] T.A. Litovitz, D. Krause, C.J. Montrose, J.M. Mullins, Temporally incoherent magnetic fields mitigate the response of biological systems to temporally coherent magnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 15 (5) (1994) 399–409.
- [38] J.M. Farrell, M. Barber, D. Krause, T.A. Litovitz, The superposition of a temporally incoherent magnetic field inhibits 60 hz-induced changes in the odc activity of developing chick embryos, *Bioelectromagnetics* 19 (1) (1998) 53–56.
- [39] T.A. Litovitz, C.J. Montrose, P. Doinov, K.M. Brown, M. Barber, Superimposing spatially coherent electromagnetic noise inhibits field-induced abnormalities in developing chick embryos, *Bioelectromagnetics* 15 (2) (1994) 105–113.

- [40] T.A. Litovitz, L.M. Penafiel, J.M. Farrel, D. Krause, R. Meister, J.M. Mullins, Bioeffects induced by exposure to microwaves are mitigated by superposition of elf noise, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (6) (1997) 422–430.
- [41] S. Milham, L.L. Morgan, A new electromagnetic exposure metric: high frequency voltage transients associated with increased cancer incidence in teachers in a california school, *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 51 (8) (2008) 579–586.
- [42] M. Havas, Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: biological effects of dirty electricity with emphasis on diabetes and multiple sclerosis, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 25 (4) (2006) 259–268.
- [43] M. Havas, Dirty electricity elevates blood sugar among electrically sensitive diabetics and may explain brittle diabetes, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 27 (2) (2008) 135–146.
- [44] M. Havas, A. Olstad, Power quality affects teacher wellbeing and student behavior in three Minnesota schools, *The Science of the Total Environment* 402 (2–3) (2008) 157–162.
- [45] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, Frequency-dependent interference by magnetic fields of nerve growth factor-induced neurite outgrowth in pc-12 cells, *Bioelectromagnetics* 16 (6) (1995) 387–395.
- [46] C.F. Blackman, J.P. Blanchard, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, Experimental determination of hydrogen bandwidth for the ion parametric resonance model, *Bioelectromagnetics* 20 (1) (1999) 5–12.
- [47] M.A. Trillo, A. Ubeda, J.P. Blanchard, D.E. House, C.F. Blackman, Magnetic fields at resonant conditions for the hydrogen ion affect neurite outgrowth in pc-12 cells: a test of the ion parametric resonance model, *Bioelectromagnetics* 17 (1) (1996) 10–20.
- [48] L. Slesin, Highlights: Elf bioeffects studies at bems, *Microwave News IV* (7, Sept.) (1984) 2.
- [49] S.D. Smith, B.R. McLeod, A.R. Liboff, K. Cooksey, Calcium cyclotron resonance and diatom mobility, *Bioelectromagnetics* 8 (3) (1987) 215–227.
- [50] J.R. Thomas, J. Schrot, A.R. Liboff, Low-intensity magnetic fields alter operant behavior in rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (4) (1986) 349–357.
- [51] A.R. Liboff, B.R. McLeod, Kinetics of channelized membrane ions in magnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics* 9 (1) (1988) 39–51.
- [52] A.R. Liboff, W.C. Parkinson, Search for ion-cyclotron resonance in an na(+)-transport system, *Bioelectromagnetics* 12 (2) (1991) 77–83.
- [53] C.F. Blackman, J.P. Blanchard, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, Effect of ac and dc magnetic field orientation on nerve cells, *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 220 (3) (1996) 807–811.
- [54] A.R. Liboff, Electric-field ion cyclotron resonance, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1) (1997) 85–87.
- [55] V.V. Lednev, Possible mechanism for the influence of weak magnetic fields on biological systems, *Bioelectromagnetics* 12 (2) (1991) 71–75.
- [56] J.P. Blanchard, C.F. Blackman, Clarification and application of an ion parametric resonance model for magnetic field interactions with biological systems, *Bioelectromagnetics* 15 (3) (1994) 217–238.
- [57] M.N. Zhadin, E.E. Fesenko, Ionic cyclotron resonance in biomolecules, *Biomedical Science* 1 (3) (1990) 245–250.
- [58] E. Del Giudice, M. Fleischmann, G. Preparata, G. Talpo, On the "Unreasonable" Effects of elf magnetic fields upon a system of ions, *Bioelectromagnetics* 23 (7) (2002) 522–530.
- [59] V.N. Binhi, Stochastic dynamics of magnetosomes and a mechanism of biological orientation in the geomagnetic field, *Bioelectromagnetics* 27 (1) (2006) 58–63.
- [60] V.N. Binhi, A few remarks on 'combined action of dc and ac magnetic fields on ion motion in a macromolecule', *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (5) (2007) 409–412, discussion 412–404.
- [61] V.N. Binhi, A.B. Rubin, Magnetobiology: the kt paradox and possible solutions, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 26 (1) (2007) 45–62.
- [62] V.N. Binhi, A.V. Savin, Molecular gyroscopes and biological effects of weak extremely low-frequency magnetic fields, *Physical Review* 65 (5 Pt 1) (2002) 051912.
- [63] A.Y. Matronchik, I.Y. Belyaev, Mechanism for combined action of microwaves and static magnetic field: slow non uniform rotation of charged nucleoid, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 27 (4) (2008) 340–354.
- [64] M.N. Zhadin, Combined action of static and alternating magnetic fields on ion motion in a macromolecule: theoretical aspects, *Bioelectromagnetics* 19 (5) (1998) 279–292.
- [65] M.N. Zhadin, V.V. Novikov, F.S. Barnes, N.F. Pergola, Combined action of static and alternating magnetic fields on ionic current in aqueous glutamic acid solution, *Bioelectromagnetics* 19 (1) (1998) 41–45.
- [66] V.V. Vorobyov, E.A. Sosunov, N.I. Kukushkin, V.V. Lednev, Weak combined magnetic field affects basic and morphine-induced rat's eeg, *Brain Research* 781 (1–2) (1998) 182–187.
- [67] C.L. Baureus Koch, M. Sommarin, B.R. Persson, L.G. Salford, J.L. Eberhardt, Interaction between weak low frequency magnetic fields and cell membranes, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (6) (2003) 395–402.
- [68] R. Sarimöv, E. Markova, F. Johansson, D. Jenssen, I. Belyaev, Exposure to elf magnetic field tuned to zn inhibits growth of cancer cells, *Bioelectromagnetics* 26 (8) (2005) 631–638.
- [69] F.S. Prato, M. Kavaliers, J.J. Carson, Behavioural evidence that magnetic field effects in the land snail, *Cepaea nemoralis*, might not depend on magnetite or induced electric currents, *Bioelectromagnetics* 17 (2) (1996) 123–130.
- [70] F.S. Prato, M. Kavaliers, A.P. Cullen, A.W. Thomas, Light-dependent and -independent behavioral effects of extremely low frequency magnetic fields in a land snail are consistent with a parametric resonance mechanism, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (3) (1997) 284–291.
- [71] N. Comisso, E. Del Giudice, A. De Ninno, M. Fleischmann, L. Giuliani, G. Mengoli, F. Merlo, G. Talpo, Dynamics of the ion cyclotron resonance effect on amino acids adsorbed at the interfaces, *Bioelectromagnetics* 27 (1) (2006) 16–25.
- [72] V.V. Novikov, I.M. Sheiman, E.E. Fesenko, Effect of weak static and low-frequency alternating magnetic fields on the fission and regeneration of the planarian *Dugesia (Girardia) tigrina*, *Bioelectromagnetics* 29 (5) (2008) 387–393.
- [73] C.F. Blackman, B. Most, A scheme for incorporating dc magnetic fields into epidemiological studies of EMF exposure, *Bioelectromagnetics* 14 (5) (1993) 413–431.
- [74] M.T. Marron, E.M. Goodman, P.T. Sharpe, B. Greenebaum, Low frequency electric and magnetic fields have different effects on the cell surface, *FEBS Letters* 230 (1–2) (1988) 13–16.
- [75] R.P. Liburdy, Calcium signaling in lymphocytes and elf fields. Evidence for an electric field metric and a site of interaction involving the calcium ion channel, *FEBS Letters* 301 (1) (1992) 53–59.
- [76] J.J. Greene, W.J. Skowronski, J.M. Mullins, R.M. Nardone, M. Penafiel, R. Meister, Delineation of electric and magnetic field effects of extremely low frequency electromagnetic radiation on transcription, *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 174 (2) (1991) 742–749.
- [77] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, Evidence for direct effect of magnetic fields on neurite outgrowth, *FASEB J* 7 (9) (1993) 801–806.
- [78] C.F. Blackman, S.G. Benane, D.E. House, M.M. Pollock, Action of 50 hz magnetic fields on neurite outgrowth in pheochromocytoma cells, *Bioelectromagnetics* 14 (3) (1993) 273–286.
- [79] A. Chiabrera, M. Hinsenkamp, A.A. Pilla, J. Ryaby, D. Ponta, A. Belmont, F. Beltrame, M. Grattarola, C. Nicolini, Cytofluorometry of electromagnetically controlled cell dedifferentiation, *The Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry* 27 (1) (1979) 375–381.
- [80] M.R. Scarfi, F. Prisco, M.B. Lioi, O. Zeni, M. Della Noce, R. Di Pietro, C. Fanceschi, D. Iafusco, M. Motta, B. F., Cytogenetic effects induced by extremely low frequency pulsed magnetic fields in lymphocytes from Turner's syndrome subjects, *Bioelectrochemistry & Bioenergetics* 43 (1997) 221–226.
- [81] M.R. Scarfi, M.B. Lioi, O. Zeni, G. Franceschetti, C. Franceschi, F. Bersani, Lack of chromosomal aberration and micronucleus induction

- in human lymphocytes exposed to pulsed magnetic fields, *Mutation Research* 306 (2) (1994) 129–133.
- [82] K. Takahashi, I. Kaneko, M. Date, E. Fukada, Effect of pulsing electromagnetic fields on DNA synthesis in mammalian cells in culture, *Experientia* 42 (2) (1986) 185–186.
- [83] A. Ubeda, J. Leal, M.A. Trillo, M.A. Jimenez, J.M. Delgado, Pulse shape of magnetic fields influences chick embryogenesis, *Journal of Anatomy* 137 (Pt 3) (1983) 513–536.
- [84] A. Chiabrera, B. Bianco, E. Moggia, J.J. Kaufman, Zeeman-stark modeling of the rf EMF interaction with ligand binding, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (4) (2000) 312–324.
- [85] A.A. Marino, R.M. Wolcott, R. Chervenak, F. Jour'd'heil, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot 2nd, Nonlinear response of the immune system to power-frequency magnetic fields, *American Journal of Physiology* 279 (3) (2000) R761–768.
- [86] A.A. Marino, R.M. Wolcott, R. Chervenak, F. Jour'd'heil, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot 2nd, Nonlinear determinism in the immune system. In vivo influence of electromagnetic fields on different functions of murine lymphocyte subpopulations, *Immunological Investigations* 30 (4) (2001) 313–334.
- [87] A.A. Marino, R.M. Wolcott, R. Chervenak, F. Jour'd'heil, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot 2nd, Nonlinear dynamical law governs magnetic field induced changes in lymphoid phenotype, *Bioelectromagnetics* 22 (8) (2001) 529–546.
- [88] A.A. Marino, R.M. Wolcott, R. Chervenak, F. Jour'd'heil, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot 2nd, S.B. Pruett, Coincident nonlinear changes in the endocrine and immune systems due to low-frequency magnetic fields, *Neuroimmunomodulation* 9 (2) (2001) 65–77.
- [89] A.A. Marino, E. Nilsen, A.L. Chesson Jr., C. Frilot, Effect of low-frequency magnetic fields on brain electrical activity in human subjects, *Clinical Neurophysiology* 115 (5) (2004) 1195–1201.
- [90] A.A. Marino, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot, Localization of electroreceptive function in rabbits, *Physiology & Behavior* 79 (4–5) (2003) 803–810.
- [91] A.A. Marino, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot, Nonlinear changes in brain electrical activity due to cell phone radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (5) (2003) 339–346.
- [92] A.A. Marino, E. Nilsen, C. Frilot, Consistent magnetic-field induced dynamical changes in rabbit brain activity detected by recurrence quantification analysis, *Brain Research* 964 (2) (2003) 317–326.
- [93] O.V. Kolomytkin, S. Dunn, F.X. Hart, C. Frilot 2nd, D. Kolomytkin, A.A. Marino, Glycoproteins bound to ion channels mediate detection of electric fields: a proposed mechanism and supporting evidence, *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (5) (2007) 379–385.
- [94] S. Carrubba, C. Frilot, A. Chesson, A.A. Marino, Detection of nonlinear event-related potentials, *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 157 (1) (2006) 39–47.
- [95] S. Carrubba, C. Frilot, A.L. Chesson, A.A. Marino, Nonlinear eeg activation evoked by low-strength low-frequency magnetic fields, *Neuroscience Letters* 417 (2) (2007) 212–216.
- [96] S. Carrubba, C. Frilot 2nd, A.L. Chesson Jr., A.A. Marino, Evidence of a nonlinear human magnetic sense, *Neuroscience* 144 (1) (2007) 356–367.
- [97] S. Carrubba, C. Frilot, A.L. Chesson Jr., A.A. Marino, Method for detection of changes in the eeg induced by the presence of sensory stimuli, *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 173 (1) (2008) 41–46.
- [98] S. Carrubba, C. Frilot, A.L. Chesson Jr., C.L. Webber Jr., J.P. Zbilut, A.A. Marino, Magnetosensory evoked potentials: consistent nonlinear phenomena, *Neuroscience Research* 60 (1) (2008) 95–105.
- [99] M.N. Zhadin, O.N. Deryugina, T.M. Pisachenko, Influence of combined dc and ac magnetic fields on rat behavior, *Bioelectromagnetics* 20 (6) (1999) 378–386.
- [100] P. Slovic, Trust, emotion, sex, politics, and science: surveying the risk-assessment battlefield, *Risk Analysis* 19 (4) (1999) 689–701.
- [101] M. Havas, Biological effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic energy: a critical review of the reports by the US national research council and the us national institute of environmental health sciences as they relate to the broad realm of EMF bioeffects, *Environmental Reviews* 8 (2000) 173–253.
- [102] National Research Council (U.S.), Committee on the Possible Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on Biologic Systems, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1997, 356 pp.
- [103] National Institute of Environmental Health Science Working Group Report, Assessment of health effects from exposure to power-line frequency electric and magnetic fields, 1998, NIH Pub 98-3981, 508 pp.
- [104] National Institute of Environmental Health Science, Report on health effects from exposure to power-line frequency electric and magnetic fields, NIH Pub No 99-4493, 1999, 67 pp.
- [105] M. Fedrowitz, K. Kamino, W. Loscher, Significant differences in the effects of magnetic field exposure on 7, 12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-induced mammary carcinogenesis in two substrains of sprague-dawley rats, *Cancer Research* 64 (1) (2004) 243–251.
- [106] M. Fedrowitz, W. Loscher, Power frequency magnetic fields increase cell proliferation in the mammary gland of female fischer 344 rats but not various other rat strains or substrains, *Oncology* 69 (6) (2005) 486–498.
- [107] Y. Yang, X. Jin, C. Yan, Y. Tian, J. Tang, X. Shen, Case-only study of interactions between DNA repair genes (hmlh1, apex1, mgmt, xrcc1 and xpd) and low-frequency electromagnetic fields in childhood acute leukemia, *Leukemia & Lymphoma* 49 (12) (2008) 2344–2350.
- [108] S.M. Bawin, R.J. Gavalas-Medici, W.R. Adey, Effects of modulated very high frequency fields on specific brain rhythms in cats, *Brain Research* 58 (2) (1973) 365–384.
- [109] A.W. Preece, G. Iwi, A. Davies-Smith, K. Wesnes, S. Butler, E. Lim, A. Vary, Effect of a 915-mhz simulated mobile phone signal on cognitive function in man, *International Journal of Radiation Biology* 75 (4) (1999) 447–456.
- [110] A.W. Preece, K.A. Wesnes, G.R. Iwi, The effect of a 50 hz magnetic field on cognitive function in humans, *International Journal of Radiation Biology* 74 (4) (1998) 463–470.
- [111] M. Koivisto, C.M. Krause, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, The effects of electromagnetic field emitted by gsm phones on working memory, *Neuroreport* 11 (8) (2000) 1641–1643.
- [112] M. Koivisto, A. Revonsuo, C. Krause, C. Haarala, L. Sillanmaki, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Effects of 902 mhz electromagnetic field emitted by cellular telephones on response times in humans, *Neuroreport* 11 (2) (2000) 413–415.
- [113] C.M. Krause, L. Sillanmaki, M. Koivisto, A. Haggqvist, C. Saarela, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Effects of electromagnetic field emitted by cellular phones on the eeg during a memory task, *Neuroreport* 11 (4) (2000) 761–764.
- [114] C.M. Krause, L. Sillanmaki, M. Koivisto, A. Haggqvist, C. Saarela, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Effects of electromagnetic fields emitted by cellular phones on the electroencephalogram during a visual working memory task, *International Journal of Radiation Biology* 76 (12) (2000) 1659–1667.
- [115] G. Freude, P. Ullsperger, S. Eggert, I. Ruppe, Effects of microwaves emitted by cellular phones on human slow brain potentials, *Bioelectromagnetics* 19 (6) (1998) 384–387.
- [116] G. Freude, P. Ullsperger, S. Eggert, I. Ruppe, Microwaves emitted by cellular telephones affect human slow brain potentials, *European journal of Applied Physiology* 81 (1–2) (2000) 18–27.
- [117] A.W. Preece, S. Goodfellow, M.G. Wright, S.R. Butler, E.J. Dunn, Y. Johnson, T.C. Manktelow, K. Wesnes, Effect of 902 mhz mobile phone transmission on cognitive function in children, *Bioelectromagnetics* (Suppl. 7) (2005) S138–143.
- [118] C.M. Krause, C.H. Björnberg, M. Pesonen, A. Hulten, T. Liesivuori, M. Koivisto, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Mobile phone effects on children's event-related oscillatory eeg during an auditory memory task, *International Journal of Radiation Biology* 82 (6) (2006) 443–450.

- [119] C.M. Krause, M. Pesonen, C. Haarala Bjornberg, H. Hamalainen. Effects of pulsed and continuous wave 902 mhz mobile phone exposure on brain oscillatory activity during cognitive processing, *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (4) (2007) 296–308.
- [120] C. Haarala, L. Bjornberg, M. Ek, M. Laine, A. Revonsuo, M. Koivisto, H. Hamalainen, Effect of a 902 mhz electromagnetic field emitted by mobile phones on human cognitive function: a replication study, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (4) (2003) 283–288.
- [121] C. Haarala, M. Ek, L. Bjornberg, M. Laine, A. Revonsuo, M. Koivisto, H. Hamalainen, 902 mhz mobile phone does not affect short term memory in humans, *Bioelectromagnetics* 25 (6) (2004) 452–456.
- [122] C. Haarala, M. Bergman, M. Laine, A. Revonsuo, M. Koivisto, H. Hamalainen, Electromagnetic field emitted by 902 mhz mobile phones shows no effects on children's cognitive function, *Bioelectromagnetics Suppl.* 7 (2005) S144–150.
- [123] C. Haarala, F. Takio, T. Rintee, M. Laine, M. Koivisto, A. Revonsuo, H. Hamalainen, Pulsed and continuous wave mobile phone exposure over left versus right hemisphere: effects on human cognitive function, *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (4) (2007) 289–295.
- [124] A.A. Borbely, R. Huber, T. Graf, B. Fuchs, E. Gallmann, P. Achermann, Pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic field affects human sleep and sleep electroencephalogram, *Neuroscience Letters* 275 (3) (1999) 207–210.
- [125] R. Huber, J. Schuderer, T. Graf, K. Jutz, A.A. Borbely, N. Kuster, P. Achermann, Radio frequency electromagnetic field exposure in humans: estimation of sar distribution in the brain, effects on sleep and heart rate, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (4) (2003) 262–276.
- [126] S.P. Loughran, A.W. Wood, J.M. Barton, R.J. Croft, B. Thompson, C. Stough, The effect of electromagnetic fields emitted by mobile phones on human sleep, *Neuroreport* 16 (17) (2005) 1973–1976.
- [127] H. D'Costa, G. Truemann, L. Tang, U. Abdel-rahman, W. Abdel-rahman, K. Ong, I. Cosic, Human brain wave activity during exposure to radiofrequency field emissions from mobile phones, *Australasian Physical & Engineering Sciences in Medicine* 26 (2003) 162–167.
- [128] G. Fritzer, R. Goder, L. Friege, J. Wachter, V. Hansen, D. Hinze-Selch, J.B. Aldenhoff, Effects of short- and long-term pulsed radiofrequency electromagnetic fields on night sleep and cognitive functions in healthy subjects, *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (4) (2007) 316–325.
- [129] W.S. Pritchard, D.W. Duke, Measuring chaos in the brain: a tutorial review of nonlinear dynamical ceg analysis, *The International Journal of Neuroscience* 67 (1–4) (1992) 31–80.
- [130] National Academy of Science, Identification of Research Needs Relating to Potential Biological or Adverse Health Effects of Wireless Communication, Washington, DC, 2009, <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/12036.html>.
- [131] P.H. Abelson, Effects of electric and magnetic fields, *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 245 (4915) (1989) 241.

## Public health implications of wireless technologies

Cindy Sage<sup>a,\*</sup>, David O. Carpenter<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sage Associates, 1396 Danielson Road, Santa Barbara, CA 93108, USA

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Health and the Environment, University at Albany, Rensselaer, NY, USA

Received 18 January 2008; accepted 30 January 2009

### Abstract

Global exposures to emerging wireless technologies from applications including mobile phones, cordless phones, DECT phones, WI-FI, WLAN, WiMAX, wireless internet, baby monitors, and others may present serious public health consequences. Evidence supporting a public health risk is documented in the BioInitiative Report. New, biologically based public exposure standards for chronic exposure to low-intensity exposures are warranted. Existing safety standards are obsolete because they are based solely on thermal effects from acute exposures. The rapidly expanding development of new wireless technologies and the long latency for the development of such serious diseases as brain cancers means that failure to take immediate action to reduce risks may result in an epidemic of potentially fatal diseases in the future. Regardless of whether or not the associations are causal, the strengths of the associations are sufficiently strong that in the opinion of the authors, taking action to reduce exposures is imperative, especially for the fetus and children. Such action is fully compatible with the precautionary principle, as enunciated by the Rio Declaration, the European Constitution Principle on Health (Section 3.1) and the European Union Treaties Article 174. © 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Wireless technology; Brain cancer; Radiofrequency; Cell phones; Wireless antenna facilities; Children's health

### 1. Introduction and background

Exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) has been linked to a variety of adverse health outcomes that may have significant public health consequences [1–13]. The most serious health endpoints that have been reported to be associated with extremely low frequency (ELF) and/or RF include childhood and adult leukemia, childhood and adult brain tumors, and increased risk of the neurodegenerative diseases, Alzheimer's and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). In addition, there are reports of increased risk of breast cancer in both men and women, genotoxic effects (DNA damage and micronucleation), pathological leakage of the blood–brain barrier, altered immune function including increased allergic and inflammatory responses, miscarriage and some cardiovascular effects [1–13]. Insomnia (sleep disruption) is reported in studies of people living in very low-intensity RF environments with WI-FI and cell tower-level exposures [85–93]. Short-term effects on cognition, memory and learning, behavior, reaction time, attention and concentration, and altered

brainwave activity (altered EEG) are also reported in the scientific literature [94–107]. Biophysical mechanisms that may account for such effects can be found in various articles and reviews [136–144].

The public health implications of emerging wireless technologies are enormous because there has been a very rapid global deployment of both old and new forms in the last 15 years. In the United States, the deployment of wireless infrastructure has accelerated greatly in the last few years with 220,500 cell sites in 2008 [14–16]. Eighty-four percent of the population of the US own cell phones [16]. Annualized wireless revenues in 2008 will reach \$144 billion and US spending on wireless communications will reach \$212 billion by 2008. Based on the current 15% annual growth rate enjoyed by the wireless industry, in the next 5 years wireless will become a larger sector of the US economy than both the agriculture and automobile sectors. The annualized use of cell phones in the US is estimated to be 2.23 trillion minutes in 2008 [16]. There are 2.2 billion users of cell phones worldwide in 2008 [17] and many million more users of cordless phones.

Over 75 billion text messages were sent in the United States, compared with 7.2 billion in June 2005, according to

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 805 969 0557; fax: +1 805 969 5003.  
E-mail address: sage@silcom.com (C. Sage).

Behavior  
to brain  
effect

economy

CTIA, the Wireless Association, the leading industry trade group [16]. The consumer research company Nielsen Mobile, which tracked 50,000 individual customer accounts in the second quarter of this year, found that Americans each sent or received 357 text messages a month then, compared with 204 phone calls. That was the second consecutive quarter in which mobile texting significantly surpassed the number of voice calls [17].

The Electronics Industries Alliance (EIA) represents 80% of the \$550 billion US electronics industry "that provides two million jobs for American workers." Its members include companies from the consumer electronics and telecommunications industries, among others [17].

There is intense industry competition for market share. Telecom taxes form an immense revenue generator for the government sector. Sale of the airwaves (auctions selling off wireless bandwidth) is a multi-million dollar industry for governments, and multi-billion dollar global advertising budgets are common. Lobbying dollars from the telecom-related industries are estimated to be \$300 million annually. The media is nearly silent on health issues, perhaps in part because of global advertising revenues that compromise journalistic independence and discourage balanced coverage of health, equity and economic issues.

## 2. Evidence supporting a public health risk

Even if there is only a small risk to health from chronic use of and exposure to wireless technologies, there is the potential for a profound public health impact. RF radiation now saturates the airwaves, resulting in exposure to both users and non-users. The effects are both short-term (sleep disruption, hormone disruption, impairment of cognitive function, concentration, attention, behavior, and well-being) and they are almost certainly long-term (generational impacts on health secondary to DNA damage, physiological stress, altered immune function, electrosensitivity, miscarriage risks, effects on sperm quality and motility leading to infertility, increased rates of cancer, and neurological diseases including Alzheimer's disease and ALS—at least for ELF exposures). (Chapters 5–12 of the BioInitiative Report [1] and papers in this Supplement.)

There is credible scientific evidence that RF exposures cause changes in cell membrane function, metabolism and cellular signal communication, as well as activation of proto-oncogenes and triggering of the production of stress proteins at exposure levels below current regulatory limits. There is also generation of reactive oxygen species, which cause DNA damage, chromosomal aberrations and nerve cell death. A number of different effects on the central nervous system have also been documented, including activation of the endogenous opioid systems, changes in brain function including memory loss, slowed learning, motor dysfunction and performance impairment in children, and increased frequency of headaches, fatigue and sleep disorders. Melatonin secretion

is reduced, resulting in altered circadian rhythms and disruption of several physiological functions. (Chapters 5–12 of the BioInitiative Report [1] and papers in this Supplement.)

These effects can reasonably be presumed to result in adverse health effects and disease with chronic and uncontrolled exposures, and children may be particularly vulnerable [1,19]. The young are also largely unable to remove themselves from such environments. Second-hand non-ionizing radiation, like second-hand smoke may be considered of public health concern based on the evidence at hand.

### 2.1. Malignant brain tumors

At present, the most persuasive evidence for cancer resulting from RF exposure is that there is a significantly increased risk of malignant glioma in individuals that have used a mobile phone for 10 or more years, with the risk being elevated only on the side of the head on which the phone is used regularly (ipsilateral use) [1,3,4,6–8,18]. While the risk for adults after 10 or more years of use is reported to be more than doubled, there is some evidence beginning to appear that indicates that the risk is greater if the individual begins to use a mobile phone at younger ages. Hardell et al. [18] reported higher odds ratios in the 20–29-year-old group than other age ranges after more than 5 years of use of either analog or cordless phones. Recently in a London symposium Hardell reported that after even just 1 or more years of use there is a 5.2-fold elevated risk in children who begin use of mobile phones before the age of 20 years, whereas for all ages the odds ratio was 1.4. Studies from Israel have found that the risk of parotid gland tumors (a salivary gland in the cheek) is increased with heavy cell phone use [7]. The risk of acoustic neuroma (a benign but space-occupying tumor on the auditory nerve) is also significantly increased on the ipsilateral side of the head after 10 or more years of mobile phone use [1,3]. This relationship has also been documented in some of the published reports of the WHO Interphone Study, a decade-long 13-country international assessment of cell phone risks and cancer [6,8].

Kundi reports that "(E)pidemiological evidence compiled in the last 10 years starts to indicate an increased risk, in particular for brain tumors (glioma, meningioma, acoustic neuroma), from mobile phone use. Considering biases that may have been operating in most studies the risk estimates are rather too low, although recall bias could have increased risk estimates. The net result, when considering the different errors and their impact is still an elevated risk" [19].

The latency for (most brain tumors is 20 years) or more when related to other environmental agents, for example, to X-ray exposure. Yet, for cell phone use the increased risks are occurring much sooner than twenty years, as early as 10 years for brain tumors in adults and with even shorter latencies in children. This suggests that we may currently be significantly underestimating the impact of current levels of

use of RF technology, since we do not know how long the average latency period really is. If it is 20 years, then the risk rate will likely be much higher than an overall doubling of risk for cell phone users if the peak comes later than 10 years. It may also signal very troubling risks for those who start using cell phones, and perhaps all wireless devices, in early childhood. We may not have proof of effect for decades until many hundreds of thousands of new cases of malignant gliomas are set in motion by long-term cell phone use.

The preliminary evidence that mobile phone use at younger ages may lead to greater risk than for older persons is of particular concern. There is a large body of evidence that childhood exposure to environmental agents poses greater risk to health than comparable exposure during adulthood [20,21]. There is reason to expect that children would be more susceptible to the effects of EMF exposure since they are growing, their rate of cellular activity and division is more rapid, and they may be more at risk for DNA damage and subsequent cancers. Growth and development of the central nervous system is still occurring well into the teenage years so that neurological changes may be of great importance to normal development, cognition, learning, and behavior.

A greater vulnerability of children to developing brain cancer from mobile phone use may be the consequence of a combination of patterns of use, stage of development and physical characteristics related to exposure. In addition to the fact that the brain continues to develop through the teen years, many young children and teenagers now spend very large periods of time using mobile phones. The brain is the main target organ of cell phones and cordless phones, with highest exposure to the same side as the phone is used. Further, due to anatomical reasons, the brain of a child is more exposed to RF radiation than the brain of an adult [22,23]. This is caused by the smaller brain size, a thinner pinna of the ear, thinner skin and thinner skull bone permitting deeper penetration into the child's brain. A recent French study showed that children absorb twice the RF from cell phone use as do adults [24].

In addition to concerns about cancer, there is evidence for short-term effects of RF exposure on cognition, memory and learning, behavior, reaction time, attention and concentration, altered brainwave activity (altered EEG) [95–108], and all of these effects argue for extreme caution with regard to exposure of children. The development of children into adults is characterized by faster cell division during growth, the long period needed to fully develop and mature all organ systems, and the need for properly synchronized neural development until early adulthood. Chronic, cumulative RF exposures may alter the normal growth and development of children and adversely affect their development and capacity for normal learning, nervous system development, behavior and judgment [1,97,102].

Prenatal exposure to EMF has been identified as a possible risk factor for childhood leukemia (1). Maternal use of cell phones has been reported to adversely affect fetal brain development, resulting in behavioral problems in those children by

the time they reach school age [25]. Their exposure is involuntary in all cases. Children are largely unable to remove themselves from exposures to harmful substances in their environments.

## 2.2. Plausible biological mechanisms for a relationship between RF exposure and cancer

### 2.2.1. DNA damage and oxidative stress

Damage to DNA from ELF and from RF cell phone frequencies at very low intensities (far below FCC and ICNIRP safety limits) has been demonstrated in many studies [1,2,26–35]. Both single- and double-strand DNA damage have been reported by various researchers in different laboratories. This is damage to the human genome, and can lead to mutations which can be inherited, or which can cause cancer, or both.

Non-ionizing radiation is assumed to be of too low energy to cause direct DNA damage. However both ELF and RF radiation induce reactive oxygen species, free radicals that react with cellular molecules including DNA. Free-radical production and/or the failure to repair DNA damage (secondary to damage to the enzymes that repair damage) created by such exposures can lead to mutations. Whether it is greater free-radical production, reduction in anti-oxidant protection or reduced repair capacity, the result will be altered DNA, increased risk of cancer, impaired or delayed healing, and premature aging [36–54]. Exposures have also been linked to decreased melatonin production, which is a plausible biological mechanism for decreased cancer surveillance in the body, and increased cancer risk [34,39,44,46,47,49,50,54]. An increased risk of cancers and a decrease in survival has been reported in numerous studies of ELF and RF [55–69].

### 2.2.2. Stress proteins (heat shock proteins or HSP)

Another well-documented effect of exposure to low-intensity ELF and RF is the creation of stress proteins (heat shock proteins) that signal a cell is being placed under physiological stress [70–80]. The HSP response is generally associated with heat shock, exposure to toxic chemicals and heavy metals, and other environmental insults. HSP is a signal of cells in distress. Plants, animals and bacteria all produce stress proteins to survive environmental stressors like high temperatures, lack of oxygen, heavy metal poisoning, and oxidative stress.

We can now add ELF and RF exposures to this list of environmental stressors that cause a physiological stress response. Very low-level ELF and RF exposures can cause cells to produce stress proteins, meaning that the cell recognizes ELF and RF exposures as harmful. This is another important way in which scientists have documented that ELF and RF exposures can be harmful, and it happens at levels far below the existing public safety standards. An additional concern is that if the stress goes on too long, the protective effect is diminished. The reduced response with prolonged exposure means the cell is less protected against

Children  
> 100

damage, and this is why prolonged or chronic exposures may be harmful, even at very low intensities.

### 2.2.3. RF-induced gene expression changes

Many environment agents cause diseases, including cancer, not by direct damage to DNA but rather by up- or down-regulation of genes that regulate cell growth and function. Usually there are many genes whose expression is changed, and it is difficult to determine the exact changes responsible for the disease. Both ELF and RF exposures have been shown to result in altered gene expression. Olivares-Banuelos et al. [81] found that ELF exposure of chromaffin cells resulted in changed expression of 53 transcripts. Zhao et al. [82] investigated the gene expression profile of rat neurons exposed to 1800 MHz RF fields (2 W/kg) and found 24 up-regulated genes and 10 down-regulated genes after a 24-h exposure. The altered genes were involved in multiple cellular functions including cytoskeleton, signal transduction pathways and metabolism. Kariene et al. [83] exposed human skin to mobile phone radiation, and found by punch biopsy that 8 proteins were significantly altered in expression, consistent with gene induction. Several other studies have found altered gene expression following RF exposure, although none have been found that explain specific disease states [84].

DNA activation at very low ELF and RF levels, as in the stress response, and DNA damage (strand breaks and micronuclei) at higher levels, are molecular precursors to changes that are believed to lead to cancer. These, along with gene induction, provide plausible biological mechanisms linking exposure to cancer.

The biochemical pathways that are activated are the same for ELF and for RF exposures, and are non-thermal (do not require heating or induced electrical currents). This is true for the stress response, DNA damage, generation of reactive oxygen species as well as gene induction. Thus it is not surprising that the major cancers resulting from exposure to ELF and RF are the same, namely leukemia and brain cancer. The safety standards for both ELF and RF, based on protection from heating, are irrelevant and not protective. ELF exposure levels of only 5–10 mG have been shown to activate the stress response genes (<http://www.bioinitiative.org>, Sections 1 and 7 [1]).

## 3. Sleep, cognitive function and performance

The relationship of good sleep to cognition, performance and healing is well recognized. Sleep is a profoundly important factor in proper healing, anti-inflammatory benefits, reduction in physical symptoms of such as tendonitis, over-use syndrome, fatigue-induced lethargy, cognition and learning. Incomplete or slowed physiological recovery is common when sleep is impaired. Circadian rhythms that normalize stress hormone production (cortisol, for example) depend on synchronized sleep patterns.

People who are chronically exposed to low-level wireless antenna emissions report symptoms such as problems in sleeping (insomnia), as well as other symptoms that include fatigue, headache, dizziness, grogginess, lack of concentration, memory problems, ringing in the ears (tinnitus), problems with balance and orientation, and difficulty in multi-tasking [85–93,99]. In children, exposures to cell phone radiation have resulted in changes in brain oscillatory activity during some memory tasks [97,102]. Cognitive impairment, loss of mental concentration, distraction, speeded mental function but lowered accuracy, impaired judgment, delayed reaction time, spatial disorientation, dizziness, fatigue, headache, slower motor skills and reduced learning ability in children and adults have all been reported [85–108].

These symptoms are more common among “electrosensitive” individuals, although electrosensitivity has not been documented in double-blind tests of individual identifying themselves as being electrosensitive as compared to controls [109,110]. However people traveling to laboratories for testing are pre-exposed to a multitude of RF and ELF exposures, so they may already be symptomatic prior to actual testing. There is also evidence that RF exposures testing behavioral changes show delayed results; effects are observed after termination of RF exposure. This suggests a persistent change in the nervous system that may be evident only after time has passed, so is not observed during a short testing period.

### 3.1. Plausible biological mechanisms for neurobehavioral effects

#### 3.1.1. The melatonin hypothesis

While there remains controversy as to the degree that RF and ELF fields alter neurobehavioral function, emerging evidence provides a plausible mechanism for both effects on sleep and cognition. Sleep is controlled by the central circadian oscillator in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, located in the hypothalamus. The activity of this central circadian oscillator is, in turn, controlled by the hormone, melatonin, which is released from the pineal gland [111]. There is considerable evidence that ELF exposure reduces the release of melatonin from the pineal gland—see Section 12 of the Bioinitiative Report [1]. There has been less study of the effects of RF exposure on melatonin release, but investigations have demonstrated a reduced excretion of the urinary metabolite of melatonin among persons using a mobile phone for more than 25 min per day [112]. In a study of women living near to radio and television transmitters, Clark et al. [113] found no effect on urinary melatonin metabolite excretion among pre-menopausal women, but a strong effect in post-menopausal women.

The “melatonin hypothesis” also provides a possible basis for other reported effects of EMFs. Melatonin has important actions on learning and memory, and inhibits electrophysiological components of learning in some but not all areas of the brain [114,115]. Melatonin has properties as a free-radical scavenger and anti-oxidant [116], and consequently,

>24 h  
time  
exposure

\*  
non-thermal  
\*\*

RF

all  
double  
blind  
data

menopausal  
♀

*Melatonin  
7/20/09  
7/20/09*

a reduction in melatonin levels would be expected to increase susceptibility to cancer and cellular damage. Melatonin could also be the key to understanding the relationship between EMF exposure and Alzheimer's disease. Noonan et al. [117] reported that there was an inverse relationship between excretion of the melatonin metabolite and the 1–42 amino acid form of amyloid beta in electric utility workers. This form of amyloid beta has been found to be elevated in Alzheimer's patients.

### 3.1.2. Blood–brain barrier alterations

Central nervous system effects of EMFs may also be secondary to damage to the blood–brain barrier (BBB). The blood–brain barrier is a critical structure that prevents toxins and other large molecules that are in peripheral blood from having access to the brain matter itself. Salford et al. [118] have reported that a 2-h exposure of rats to GSM-900 radiation with a SAR of 2–200 mW/kg resulted in nerve cell damage. In a follow-up study, Eberhardt et al. report that 2-h exposures to cell phone GSM microwave RF resulted in leakage of albumin across the blood–brain barrier and neuronal death [119]. Neuronal albumin uptake was significantly correlated to occurrence of damaged neurons when measured at 28 days post-exposure. The lowest exposure level was 0.12 mW/kg (0.00012 W/kg) for 2 h. The highest exposure level was 120 mW/kg (0.12 W/kg). The weakest exposure level showed the greatest effect in opening the BBB [118]. Earlier blood–brain studies by Salford and Schirrmacher [120,121] report similar effects.

## 4. What are sources of wireless radiation?

There are many overlapping sources of radiofrequency and microwave emissions in daily life, both from industrial sources (like cell towers) and from personal items [cell and cordless phones, personal digital assistants (PDAs), wireless routers, etc.]. Published data on typical levels found in some cities and from some sources are available at <http://www.bioinitiative.org> [1,122–124].

Cell phones are the single most important source of radiofrequency radiation to which we are exposed because of the relatively high exposure that results from the phone being held right against the head. Cell phones produce two types of emissions that should be considered. First, the radiofrequency radiation (typically microwave frequency radiation) is present. However, there is also the contribution of the switching battery pack that produces very high levels of extremely low frequency electromagnetic field [125–127].

Cordless telephones have not been widely recognized as similar in emissions to cell phones, but they can and do produce significant RF exposures. Since people tend to use them as substitutes for in-home and in-office corded or traditional telephones, they are often used for long periods of time. As the range of cordless phones has increased (the distance away that you can carry on a conversation is related to the power

output of the phone), the more powerful the RF signal will be. Hence, newer cordless phones may in some cases be similar to the power output of cell phones. The cumulative emissions from cell and cordless phones taken together should be recognized when considering the relative risks of wireless communication exposures.

PDAs such as the BlackBerry, Treo and iPhone units are 'souped-up' versions of the original voice communication devices (cell phones). The often produce far higher ELF emissions than do cell phones because they use energy from the battery very intensively for powering color displays and during data transmission functions (email, sending and receiving large files, photos, etc.) [125–127]. ELF emissions have been reported from PDAs at several tens to several hundreds of milligauss. Evidence of significantly elevated ELF fields during normal use of the PDA has public health relevance and has been reported in at least three scientific papers [125,128,129]. In the context of repetitive, chronic exposure to significantly elevated ELF pulses from PDAs worn on the body, relevant health studies point to a possible relationship between ELF exposure and cancer and pregnancy outcomes [130–133].

We include discussion of the ELF literature for two reasons. As mentioned above ELF activates the same biology as RF, it contributes to the total EMF burden of the body. In addition, PDAs and cell phones emit both radiofrequency/microwave radiation (RF) and extremely low frequency ELF from the battery switching of the device (the power source). Studies show that some devices produce excessively high ELF exposures during voice and data transmission. ELF is already classified as a 2B (Possible) Carcinogen by IARC, which means that ELF is indisputably an issue to consider in the wireless technology debate. ELF has been classified as a Group 2B carcinogen for all humans, not just children. The strongest evidence came from epidemiological studies on childhood leukemia, but the designation applies to all humans, both adults and children [1,25].

Wireless headsets that allow for conversations with cell phones at a distance from the head itself reduce the emissions. Depending on the type of wireless device, they may operate (transmit signal) only during conversations or they may be operational continuously. The cumulative dose of wireless headsets has not been well characterized under either form of use. Substantial cumulative RF exposure would be expected if the user wears a wireless headset that transmits a signal continuously during the day. However a critical factor is where the cell phone is placed. If worn on a belt with a headset, the exposure to the brain is reduced but the exposure to the pelvis may be significant.

Cell towers (called "masts" in Europe and Scandinavian countries) are wireless antenna facilities that transmit the cell phone signals within communities. They are another major source of RF exposures for the public. They differ from RF exposures from wireless devices like cell phones in that they produce much lower RF levels (generally 0.05 to 1–2  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> in the first several hundred feet around them) in comparison to several hundred microwatts per centimeter

10 #

souped up  
iPhone

10 #

squared for a cell phone held at the head. However they create a constant zone of elevated RF for up to 24 h per day, many hours per day, and the exposure is whole body rather than localized at the head. These facilities are the distribution system for wireless voice communications, internet connections and data transmission within communities. They are often erected on free-standing towers. They may be constructed on telephone poles or electrical poles. They may be built into the façade or rooftops of buildings behind wood screening. These are called stealth installations for wireless antenna facilities. Some installations are camouflaged to resemble 'false trees or rocks'. They emit RF to provide cell service to specific "cells" or locations that receive the signal.

Other forms of wireless transmission that are common in areas providing cell service are wireless land area networks (WLAN), (WiMAX) and WIFI networks. Some cities are installing city-wide WIFI service to allow any user on the street to log into the internet (without cables or wire connections). WIFI installations may have a signal reach for a few hundred feet where WiMAX installations may transmit signal more than 10 miles, so produce a stronger RF emission for those in close proximity. Each type has its particular signal strength and intended coverage area, but what they have in common is the production of continuous RF exposure for those within the area. We do not know what the cumulative exposure (dose) might be for people living, working or going to school in continuously elevated RF fields, nor are the possible health implications yet known. However, based on studies of populations near cell sites in general, there is a constellation of generally observed health symptoms that are reported to occur [85–107]. In this regard it is important to note that children living near to AM radio transmitters have been found to elevated risks of leukemia [134,135]. While AM radio RF fields are lower in frequency than that common in mobile phones, this is a total body irradiation with RF. The fact that leukemia, not brain cancer, is apparent in these studies suggests that leukemia is the cancer seen at the lowest levels of both ELF and RF fields under the circumstances of whole-body exposure.

Commercial surveillance systems or security gates pose an additional source of strong RF exposures. They are ubiquitous in department stores, markets and shops at the entry and exit points to discourage shoplifting and theft of goods. Security gates can produce excessively high RF exposures (although transitory) and have been associated with interference with pacemakers in heart patients. The exposure levels may approach thermal public safety limits in intensity, although no one expects a person to stand between the security gate bars for more than 6 min (safety limits for uncontrolled public access are variable depending on the frequency, but are all averaged over a 6-min exposure period).

RFID chips (radiofrequency identification chips) are being widely used to track purchases and for security of pets, and in some cases to keep track of patients with Alzheimer's disease and of children. RFID chips are implanted in fabrics, inserted in many types of commercial goods, and can be implanted

under the skin. They create a detectable signal to track the location of people and goods.

## 5. Problems with existing public health standards (safety limits)

If the existing standards were adequate none of the effects documented above should occur at levels to which people are regularly exposed. The fact that these effects are seen with our current ambient levels of exposure means that our existing public safety standards are obsolete. It also means that new, biologically based public exposure standards for wireless technologies are urgently needed. Whether it is feasible to achieve low enough levels that still work and also protect health against effects of chronic RF exposure – for all age groups – is uncertain. Whether we can protect the public and still allow the kinds of wireless technology uses we see today is unknown.

The nature of electromagnetic field interactions with biological systems has been well studied [136–144]. For purposes of standard-setting processes for both ELF and RF, the hypothesis that tissue damage can result only from heating is the fundamental flaw in the misguided efforts to understand the basic biological mechanisms leading to health effects.

The thermal standard is clearly untenable as a measure of dose when EMF stimuli that differ by many orders of magnitude in energy can stimulate the same biological response. In the ELF range, the same biological changes occur as in the RF, and no change in temperature can even be detected. With DNA interactions the same biological responses are stimulated in ELF and RF ranges even though the frequencies of the stimuli differ by many orders of magnitude. The effects of EMF on DNA to initiate the stress response or to cause molecular damage reflect the same biology in different frequency ranges. For this reason it should be possible to develop a scale based on DNA biology, and use it to define EMF dose in different parts of the EM spectrum. We also see a continuous scale in DNA experiments that focus on molecular damage where single and double strand breaks have long been known to occur in the ionizing range, and recent studies have shown similar effects in both ELF and RF ranges [144].

Existing standard-setting bodies that regulate wireless technologies, assume that there are no bioeffects of concern at exposure levels that do not cause measurable heating. However, it has been established beyond any reasonable doubt that bioeffects and some adverse health effects occur at far lower levels of RF and ELF exposure where no heating (or induced current) occurs; some effects are shown to occur a thousand times or more below the existing public safety limits. New, biologically based public exposure limits are urgently needed. New wireless technologies for cell and cordless phones, other wireless communication and data transmission systems affect living organisms in new ways that our antiquated safety limits have not foreseen, nor protected against.

The exposure of children to electromagnetic fields has not been studied extensively; in fact, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) standards for exposure to radiofrequency radiation are based on the height, weight and stature of a 6-foot tall man, not scaled to children or adults of smaller stature. They do not take into account the unique susceptibility of growing children to exposures, nor are there studies of particular relevance to children.

In addition there is a problem in the consideration of the level of evidence taken into consideration by these bodies. There have not been adequate animal models shown to have cancer as an endpoint, and a perception that no single mechanism is proven to explain these associations. Thus these committees have tended to ignore or minimize the evidence for direct hazard to humans, and believe there is no proof of cause and effect. These bodies assume from the beginning that only conclusive scientific evidence (absolute proof) will be sufficient to warrant change, and refuse to take action on the basis of a growing body of evidence which provides early but consequential warning of risks.

The Radiofrequency Interagency Working Group of the US governmental agencies involved in RF matters (RFLAWG) issued a Guidelines Statement in June of 1999 that concluded the present RF standard "may not adequately protect the public" [145]. The RFLAWG identified fourteen (14) issues that they believe are needed in the planned revisions of ANSI/IEEE RF exposure guidelines including "to provide a strong and credible rationale to support RF exposure guidelines". In particular, the RFLAWG criticized the existing standards as not taking into account chronic, as opposed to acute exposures; modulated or pulsed radiation (digital or pulsed RF is proposed at this site), time-averaged measurements that may erase the unique characteristics of an intensity-modulated RF radiation that may be responsible for reported biologic effects, and stated the need for a comprehensive review of long-term, low-level exposure studies, neurological-behavioral effects and micronucleus assay studies (showing genetic damage from low-level RF) [145]. This important document from relevant US agencies questions existing standards in the following ways: (a) selection of an adverse effect level for chronic exposures not based on tissue heating and considering modulation effects; (b) recognition of different safety criteria for acute and chronic exposures at non-thermal or low-intensity levels; (c) recognition of deficiencies in using time-averaged measurements of RF that does not differentiate between intensity-modulated RF and continuous wave (CW) exposure, and *therefore may not adequately protect the public*; (d) having standards based on adult males rather than considering children to be the most vulnerable group.

## 6. Prudent public health responses

Emerging environmental health problems require preventative public health responses even where scientific and

medical uncertainties still exist, but where policy decisions today may greatly reduce human disease and societal costs tomorrow.

Policy decisions in public health must address some amount of uncertainty when balancing likely benefits and estimated costs. Although new insight will allow better appreciation of difficult issues, such as those occurring in environmental and occupational health, an expanded perspective may also enlarge the list of problems that need to be managed. Ignoring the problems carries its own costs (as deferring a decision is a decision in itself). With environmental and other public health problems becoming increasingly complex and international in scope, scientific documentation alone rarely justifies simple solutions [146].

Social issues regarding the controversy over public and occupational exposures to ELF and RF center on the resolute adherence to existing ICNIRP and FCC/IEEE standards by many countries, in the face of growing scientific evidence of health risks at far lower levels [10]. The composition of these committees, usually with excessive representation of the physics and engineering communities rather than public health professionals, results in a refusal to adopt biologically based exposure standards. Furthermore, there is widespread belief that governments are ignoring this evidence and there is widespread distrust of and lack of confidence in governments and their health agencies. The basis on which most review bodies and standard-setting agencies have avoided the conclusion that the science is strong enough to warrant new safety limits for ELF and RF is to require a demonstration of absolute proof before taking action. A causal level of evidence, or scientific certainty standard is implicit in nearly all reviews of the ELF and RF science, although this runs counter to good public health protection policies.

There is no question that global implementation of the safety standards proposed in the Bioinitiative Report, if implemented abruptly and without careful planning, have the potential to not only be very expensive but also disruptive of life and the economy as we know it. Action must be a balance of risk to cost to benefit. The major risk from maintaining the status quo is an increasing number of cancer cases, especially in young people, as well as neurobehavioral problems at increasing frequencies. The benefits of the status quo are expansion and continued development of communication technologies. But we suspect that the true costs of even existing technologies will only become much more apparent with time. Whether the costs of remedial action are worth the societal benefits is a formula that should reward precautionary behavior. Prudent corporate policies should be expected to address and avoid future risks and liabilities, otherwise, there is no market incentive to produce safe (and safer) products.

The deployment of new technologies is running ahead of any reasonable estimation of possible health impacts and estimates of probabilities, let alone a solid assessment of risk. However, what has been missing with regard to EMF has been an acknowledgement of the risk that is demonstrated by

the scientific studies. There is clear evidence of risk, although the magnitude of the risk is uncertain, and the magnitude of doing nothing on the health effects cost to society is similarly uncertain. This situation is very similar to our history of dealing with the hazards of smoking decades ago, where the power of the industry to influence governments and even conflicts of interest within the public health community delayed action for more than a generation, with consequent loss of life and enormous extra health care costs to society. New standards are warranted now, based on the totality of scientific evidence; the risks of taking no-action, the large population at risk, costs associated with ignoring the problem in new and upgraded site selection and construction, and the loss of public trust by ignoring the problem.

Direct medical and rehabilitative health costs associated with treatment for diseases that are reasonably related to wireless technologies may be very large. Although there is uncertainty involved in how much disease is related to wireless exposures, the mere scale of the problem with several billion users of cell phones and even larger impacts on bystander populations (from cell site exposures, from other WI-FI and wireless exposures in-home and commercial use, etc.) the associated public health costs will likely be monumental. Furthermore the costs to families with cancers, neurological diseases or learning disabilities in children related in part or in whole to wireless technologies extend beyond medical costs. They may reasonably extend to family disruption and family psychological problems, losses in job productivity and income loss.

The history of governments and their official health agencies to deal with emerging and newly identified risks to health is not good [147–149]. This is particularly true where industry investments in new products and technologies occur without full recognition, disclosure or even knowledge of possible health consequences. Large economic investments in polluting industries often make for perilously slow regulatory action, and the public health consequences may be very great as a result [150,151].

Free markets do not internalize the costs to society of “guessing wrong”. Unexpected or hidden health costs of new technologies may not be seen for many years; when the ability to recall or to identify the precise exposures related to disease outcomes is difficult or impossible. The penalty nearly always falls to the individual, the family or the taxpayer and not to the industry that benefits economically—at least in free-market economies. Thus, the profits go to industry but the costs may go to the individual who can suffer both diminished quality of life and health and economic disadvantage. If all disease endpoints that may be reasonably related to chronic exposure to electromagnetic fields are considered even a small attributable fraction for one or more industries, it will have enormous global impact on public health. The public health implications are immense. But they can be reduced by strong government and public health interventions providing information on alternatives to wireless technologies, public education campaigns, health advisories,

Table 1

Public health implications of wireless technologies argue for change in governmental and health agency actions.

---

Secure US and EU legislative mandates for safer technologies for communication and data transmission, for security and surveillance needs.
Promote wired alternatives for voice and data communication (cable, fiber-optic)
Discourage or ban use of cell phones by children and young teen-agers
Provide permanent (unremovable) labels on cell phones “Not for use by children under the age of 16”
Implement national public education campaigns on health issues (cell phones, cordless phones, PDAs, wireless internet, city-wide WI-FI, WLAN and WIMAX exposures)
Promote industry redesign for safer products: support innovation for alternatives and solutions
Slow or stop deployment of wireless technologies to discourage reliance on wireless technologies for communication and security needs
Put the burden of proof on industry to show “new wireless tech” is safe before deployment
Adopt and enforce restricted use areas for sensitive or more vulnerable segments of society including low-EMF environments in public areas and “No Cell” zones in airports, hospitals, schools
Acknowledge FCC and ICNIRP thermal safety standards are obsolete for wireless technologies
Appoint new standard-setting bodies familiar with biological effects to develop new guidelines for public safety limits.
Develop new biologically based standards that address low-intensity, chronic exposures
Require standard of evidence and level of proof = public health
Reject “causal” standard of evidence for taking action on science
Make industry financially liable for “guessing wrong” and ignoring health risks

---

requirements for redesign of wireless devices, proscription of use of wireless devices by children and teenagers; strong and independent research programs on causes and prevention of EMF-related diseases, and consultation with all stakeholders on issues relating to involuntary exposures (bystander or second-hand radiation exposures from wireless technologies) (Table 1).

The scientific information contained in this Supplement argues for thresholds or guidelines that are substantially below current FCC and ICNIRP standards for localized exposures to wireless devices and for whole-body exposure. Uncertainty about how low such standards might have to go to be prudent from a public health standpoint should not prevent reasonable efforts to respond to the information at hand. No lower limit for bioeffects and adverse health effects from RF has been established, so the possible health risks of wireless WLAN and WI-FI systems, for example, will require further research. No assertion of safety at any level of wireless exposure (chronic exposure) can be made at this time. The lower limit for reported human health effects has dropped 100-fold below the safety standard (for mobile phones and PDAs); 1000–10,000-fold for other wireless (cell towers at distance; WI-FI and WLAN devices). The entire basis for safety standards is called into question, and it is not unreasonable to question the safety of RF at any level.

It is likely that for both ELF and RF, as for other carcinogens, there is no threshold of exposure that is without risk, but the magnitude of the risk increases linearly with the level of exposure. Our society will not go back to the pre-electric and pre-wireless age, but the clear evidence of health hazards to the human population from exposure mandates that we develop ways in which to reduce exposure through education, new technologies and the establishment of biomedically based standards.

## 7. Conclusions and recommended actions

New ELF limits are warranted based on a public health analysis of the overall existing scientific evidence. These limits should reflect environmental levels of ELF that have been demonstrated to increase risk for childhood leukemia, and possibly other cancers and neurological diseases. ELF limits should be set below those exposure levels that have been linked in childhood leukemia studies to increased risk of disease, plus an additional safety factor. It is no longer acceptable to build new power lines and electrical facilities that place people in ELF environments that have been determined to be risky. These levels are in the 2–4 milligauss (mG) range (0.2–0.4  $\mu\text{T}$ ), not in the 10s of mG or 100s of mG. The existing ICNIRP limit is 1000 mG (100  $\mu\text{T}$ ) and 904 mG (90.4  $\mu\text{T}$ ) in the US for ELF is outdated and based on faulty assumptions. These limits are can no longer be said to be protective of public health and they should be replaced. A safety buffer or safety factor should also be applied to a new, biologically based ELF limit, and the conventional approach is to add a safety factor lower than the risk level.

While new ELF limits are being developed and implemented, a reasonable approach would be a 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) planning limit for habitable space adjacent to all new or upgraded power lines and a 2 mG (0.2  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit for all other new construction. It is also recommended that a 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit be established for existing habitable space for children and/or women who are pregnant (because of the possible link between childhood leukemia and *in utero* exposure to ELF). This recommendation is based on the assumption that a higher burden of protection is required for children who cannot protect themselves, and who are at risk for childhood leukemia at rates that are traditionally high enough to trigger regulatory action. This situation in particular warrants extending the 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit to existing occupied space. "Establish" in this case probably means formal public advisories from relevant health agencies. While it is not realistic to reconstruct all existing electrical distribution systems, in the short-term; steps to reduce exposure from these existing systems need to be initiated, especially in places where children spend time, and should be encouraged. These limits should reflect the exposures that are commonly associated with increased risk of childhood leukemia (in the 2–5 mG (0.2–0.5  $\mu\text{T}$ ) range for all children, and over 1.4 mG (0.14  $\mu\text{T}$ ) for children age 6 and younger). Nearly all of

the occupational studies for adult cancers and neurological diseases report their highest exposure category is 4 mG (0.4  $\mu\text{T}$ ) and above, so that new ELF limits should target the exposure ranges of interest, and not necessarily higher ranges.

Avoiding chronic ELF exposure in schools, homes and the workplace above levels associated with increased risk of disease will also avoid most of the possible bioactive parameters of ELF discussed in the relevant literature.

It is not prudent public health policy to wait any longer to adopt new public safety limits for ELF. These limits should reflect the exposures that are commonly associated with increased risk of childhood leukemia (in the 2–5 mG (0.2–0.5  $\mu\text{T}$ ) range for all children, and over 1.4 mG (0.14  $\mu\text{T}$ ) for children age 6 and younger). Avoiding chronic ELF exposure in schools, homes and the workplace above levels associated with increased risk of disease will also avoid most of the possible bioactive parameters of ELF discussed in the relevant literature.

The rapid deployment of new wireless technologies that chronically expose people to pulsed RF at levels reported to cause bioeffects, which in turn, could reasonably be presumed to lead to serious health impacts, is a public health concern. There is suggestive to strongly suggestive evidence that RF exposures may cause changes in cell membrane function, cell communication, metabolism, activation of proto-oncogenes and can trigger the production of stress proteins at exposure levels below current regulatory limits. Resulting effects can include DNA breaks and chromosome aberrations, cell death including death of brain neurons, increased free-radical production, activation of the endogenous opioid system, cell stress and premature aging, changes in brain function including memory loss, retarded learning, performance impairment in children, headaches and fatigue, sleep disorders, neurodegenerative conditions, reduction in melatonin secretion and cancers (BioInitiative Report Chapters 5–10, 12) [1].

This information now argues for thresholds or guidelines that are substantially below current FCC and ICNIRP standards for whole-body exposure. Uncertainty about how low such standards might have to go to be prudent from a public health standpoint should not prevent reasonable efforts to respond to the information at hand. No lower limit for bioeffects and adverse health effects from RF has been established, so the possible health risks of wireless WLAN and WI-FI systems, for example, will require further research and no assertion of safety at any level of wireless exposure (chronic exposure) can be made at this time. The lower limit for reported human health effects has dropped 100-fold below the safety standard (for mobile phones and PDAs); 1000–10,000-fold for other wireless (cell towers at distance; WI-FI and WLAN devices). The entire basis for safety standards is called into question, and it is not unreasonable to question the safety of RF at any level.

A cautionary target level for pulsed RF exposures for ambient wireless that could be applied to RF sources from cell tower antennas, WI-FI, WI-MAX and other similar sources

is proposed. The recommended cautionary target level is 0.1 microwatts per centimeter squared ( $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ) (or 0.614 V per meter or V/m) for pulsed RF where these exposures affect the general public; this advisory is proportionate to the evidence and in accord with prudent public health policy. A precautionary limit of  $0.1 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  should be adopted for outdoor, cumulative RF exposure. This reflects the current RF science and prudent public health response that would reasonably be set for pulsed RF (ambient) exposures where people live, work and go to school. This level of RF is experienced as whole-body exposure, and can be a chronic exposure where there is wireless coverage present for voice and data transmission for cell phones, pagers and PDAs and other sources of radiofrequency radiation. An outdoor precautionary limit of  $0.1 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  would mean an even lower exposure level inside buildings, perhaps as low as  $0.01 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ . Some studies and many anecdotal reports on ill health have been reported at lower levels than this; however, for the present time, it could prevent some of the most disproportionate burdens placed on the public nearest to such installations. Although this RF target level does not preclude further rollout of WI-FI technologies, we also recommend that wired alternatives to WI-FI be implemented, particularly in schools and libraries so that children are not subjected to elevated RF levels until more is understood about possible health impacts. This recommendation should be seen as an interim precautionary limit that is intended to guide preventative actions; and more conservative limits may be needed in the future.

Broadcast facilities that chronically expose nearby residents to elevated RF levels from AM, FM and television antenna transmission are also of public health concern given the potential for very high RF exposures near these facilities (antenna farms). RF levels can be in the 10 s to several 100 s of  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  in residential areas within half a mile of some broadcast sites (for example, Lookout Mountain, Colorado and Awbrey Butte, Bend, Oregon). Like wireless communication facilities, RF emissions from broadcast facilities that are located in, or expose residential populations and schools to elevated levels of RF will very likely need to be re-evaluated for safety.

For emissions from wireless devices (cell phones; personal digital assistant or PDA devices, etc.) there is enough evidence for increased risk of brain tumors and acoustic neuromas now to warrant intervention with respect to their use. Redesign of cell phones and PDAs could prevent direct head and eye exposure, for example, by designing new units so that they work only with a wired headset or on speakerphone mode.

These effects can reasonably be presumed to result in adverse health effects and disease with chronic and uncontrolled exposures, and children may be particularly vulnerable. The young are also largely unable to remove themselves from such environments. Second-hand radiation, like second-hand smoke is an issue of public health concern based on the evidence at hand.

In summary, the following recommendations are made:

- ELF limits should be set below those exposure levels that have been linked in childhood leukemia studies to increased risk of disease, plus an additional safety factor. It is no longer acceptable to build new power lines and electrical facilities that place people in ELF environments that have been determined to be risky (at levels generally at 2 mG (0.2  $\mu\text{T}$ ) and above).
- While new ELF limits are being developed and implemented, a reasonable approach would be a 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) planning limit for habitable space adjacent to all new or upgraded power lines and a 2 mG (0.2  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit for all other new construction. It is also recommended for that a 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit be established for existing habitable space for children and/or women who are pregnant. This recommendation is based on the assumption that a higher burden of protection is required for children who cannot protect themselves, and who are at risk for childhood leukemia at rates that are traditionally high enough to trigger regulatory action. This situation in particular warrants extending the 1 mG (0.1  $\mu\text{T}$ ) limit to existing occupied space. "Establish", in this case probably means formal public advisories from relevant health agencies.
- While it is not realistic to reconstruct all existing electrical distributions systems, in the short-term, steps to reduce exposure from these existing systems need to be initiated and should be encouraged, especially in places where children spend time.
- A precautionary limit of  $0.1 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  (which is also 0.614 V per meter) should be adopted for outdoor, cumulative RF exposure. This reflects the current RF science and prudent public health response that would reasonably be set for pulsed RF (ambient) exposures where people live, work and go to school. This level of RF is experienced as whole-body exposure, and can be a chronic exposure where there is wireless coverage present for voice and data transmission for cell phones, pagers and PDAs and other sources of radiofrequency radiation. Some studies and many anecdotal reports on ill health have been reported at lower levels than this; however, for the present time, it could prevent some of the most disproportionate burdens placed on the public nearest to such installations. Although this RF target level does not preclude further rollout of WI-FI technologies, we also recommend that wired alternatives to WI-FI be implemented, particularly in schools and libraries so that children are not subjected to elevated RF levels until more is understood about possible health impacts. This recommendation should be seen as an interim precautionary limit that is intended to guide preventative actions; and more conservative limits may be needed in the future.

## References

- [1] C. Sage, D.O. Carpenter (Eds.), BioInitiative Working Group BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public

- [39] J.B. Burch, J.S. Reif, C.W. Noonan, T. Ichinose, A.M. Bachand, T.L. Koleber, M.G. Yost, Melatonin metabolite excretion among cellular telephone users, *Int. J. Rad. Biol.* 78 (2002) 1029–1036.
- [40] Giergert, et al., Induction of tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells by ELF electromagnetic fields, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 336 (2005) 1144–1149.
- [41] Harland, et al., Environmental magnetic fields inhibit the antiproliferative action of tamoxifen and melatonin in a human breast cancer cell line, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 555–562.
- [42] Harland, et al., Evidence for a slow time-scale of interaction for magnetic fields inhibiting tamoxifen's antiproliferative action in human breast cancer cells, *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 31 (3) (1999) 295–306.
- [43] A. Ilhan, A. Gurel, F. Armutcu, S. Kamisli, M. Iraz, O. Akyol, S. Ozen, Ginkgo biloba prevents mobile phone-induced oxidative stress in rat brain, *Clin. Chim. Acta* 340 (1–2) (2004) 153–162.
- [44] Ishido, et al., Magnetic fields (MF) of 50 Hz at 1.2  $\mu$ T as well as 100  $\mu$ T cause uncoupling of inhibitory pathways of adenylyl cyclase mediated by melatonin 1a receptor in MF-sensitive MCF-7 cells, *Carcinogenesis* 22 (7) (2001) 1043–1048.
- [45] H. Koylu, H. Mollaoglu, F.N. Ozguner, Melatonin modulates 900 Mhz microwave-induced lipid peroxidation changes in rat brain, *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 22 (5) (2006) 211–216.
- [46] R.P. Liburdy, T.R. Sloma, et al., ELF magnetic fields, breast cancer, and melatonin: 60 Hz fields block melatonin's oncostatic action on ER+ breast cancer cell proliferation, *J. Pineal Res.* 14 (1993) 69–97.
- [47] R.P. Liburdy, et al., Magnetic Fields, melatonin, tamoxifen and human breast cancer cell growth, in: R.G. Stevens, B.W. Wilson, L.E. Anderson (Eds.), *The Melatonin Hypothesis—Breast Cancer and Use of Electric Power*, Battelle Press, Columbus, Richland, 1997, pp. 669–700.
- [48] L.I. Loberg, Gene expression in human breast epithelial cells exposed to 60 Hz magnetic fields, *Carcinogenesis* 20 (1999) 1633–1636.
- [49] Luben, et al., Replication of 12 mG EMF effects on melatonin responses of MCF-7 breast cancer cells in vitro, in: Abstract A-1 of the 1996 Annual Review of Research on Biological Effects of Electric and Magnetic Fields from the Generation, Delivery and Use of Electricity, San Antonio, TX, November 17–21, 1996, p. 1.
- [50] Luben, et al., Independent replication of 60-Hz 1.2  $\mu$ T EMF effects on melatonin and tamoxifen responses of MCF-7 cells in vitro, in: Abstract A-3.4, Bioelectromagnetics Society Annual Meeting, St. Pete Beach, FL, June 7–11, 1998, pp. 17–18.
- [51] Morris, In vitro exposure of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells to 60-Hz magnetic fields, in: Abstract p-125A, Bioelectromagnetics Society Annual Meeting, St. Pete Beach, FL, June 7–11, 1998, pp. 204–205.
- [52] F. Oktem, F. Ozguner, H. Mollaoglu, A. Koyu, E. Uz, Oxidative damage in the kidney induced by 900-MHz-emitted mobile phone: protection by melatonin, *Arch. Med. Res.* 36 (4) (2005) 350–355.
- [53] F. Ozguner, G. Aydin, H. Mollaoglu, O. Gokalp, A. Koyu, G. Cesur, Prevention of mobile phone induced skin tissue changes by melatonin in rat: an experimental study, *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 20 (6–10) (2004) 133–139.
- [54] F. Ozguner, A. Altinbas, M. Ozaydin, A. Dogan, H. Vural, A.N. Kisioglu, G. Cesur, N.G. Yildirim, Mobile phone-induced myocardial oxidative stress: protection by a novel antioxidant agent caffeic acid phenethyl ester, *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 21 (9) (2005) 223–230.
- [55] A.W. Guy, C.K. Chou, L.L. Kunz, J. Crowley, J. Krupp, Effects of long-term low-level radiofrequency radiation exposure on rats. US Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine Brooks Air Force Base, Texas TR-85-64 Final Report August 1985, Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited.
- [56] C.K. Chou, Long-term low level microwave irradiation of rats, *Bioelectromagnetics* 13 (1992) 469–496.
- [57] H. Dolk, et al., Cancer incidence near radio and television transmitters in Great Britain, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 145 (1) (1997) 1–9.
- [58] V. Garaj-Vrhovac, D. Horvat, Z. Koren, The relationship between colony-forming ability, chromosome aberrations and incidence of micronuclei in V79 Chinese hamster cells exposed to microwave radiation, *Mutat. Res.* 263 (3) (1991) 143–149.
- [59] V. Garaj-Vrhovac, A. Fucic, D. Horvat, The correlation between the frequency of micronuclei and specific chromosome aberrations in human lymphocytes exposed to microwave radiation in vitro, *Mutat. Res.* 281 (3) (1992) 181–186.
- [60] V. Garaj-Vrhovac, Micronucleus assay and lymphocyte mitotic activity in risk assessment of occupational exposure to microwave radiation, *Chemosphere* 39 (13) (1999) 2301–2312.
- [61] M. Ha, H. Im, M. Lee, H.J. Kim, B.C. Kim, Y.M. Gimm, et al., Radio-frequency radiation exposure from AM radio transmitters and childhood leukemia and brain cancer, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 166 (2007) 270–279.
- [62] B. Hocking, et al., Cancer incidence and mortality and proximity to TV towers, *Med. J. Aust.* 165 (11–12) (1996) 601–605.
- [63] B. Hocking, Decreased survival for childhood leukemia in proximity to TV towers, in: Poster Presented at the Annual Scientific Meeting of the Royal Australian College of Physicians in Adelaide, SA, Australia, May, 2000.
- [64] D.E. Foliart, B.H. Pollock, G. Mezei, R. Iriye, J.M. Silva, K.L. Epi, L. Kheifets, M.P. Lind, R. Kavet, Magnetic field exposure and long-term survival among children with leukemia, *Brit. J. Cancer* 94 (2006) 161–164.
- [65] A. Huss, A. Spoerri, M. Egger, Rössli for the Swiss national cohort study, residence near power lines and mortality from neurodegenerative diseases: longitudinal study of the Swiss population, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* (November) (2008) (Epub ahead of print).
- [66] F. Marinelli, D. La Sala, G. Ciccioiti, L. Cattini, C. Trimarchi, S. Putti, A. Zamparelli, L. Giuliani, G. Tomassetti, C. Cinti, Exposure to 900 MHz electromagnetic field induces an unbalance between pro-apoptotic and pro-survival signals in T-lymphoblastoid leukemia CCRF-CEM cells, *J. Cell Physiol.* 198 (2) (2004) 324–332.
- [67] P. Michelozzi, C. Ancona, D. Fusco, F. Forastiere, C.A. Perucci, Risk of leukemia and residence near a radio transmitter in Italy, *Epidemiology* 9 (Suppl.) (1998) 354.
- [68] M. Repacholi, et al., Lymphomas in Ep-Pim1 transgenic mice exposed to pulsed 900 MHz electromagnetic fields, *Radiat. Res.* 147 (1997) 31–40.
- [69] A. Stang, et al., The possible role of radiofrequency radiation in the development of uveal melanoma, *Epidemiology* 12 (1) (2001) 7–12.
- [70] M. Blank (2007). Section 7, pp. 1–40. Evidence for Stress Response (Stress Proteins). In *BioInitiative Report: A Scientific Perspective on Health Risk of Electromagnetic Fields*. Published Online 31 August 2007. <http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/index.htm>.
- [71] C. Daniells, I. Duce, D. Thomas, P. Sewell, J. Tattersall, D. de Pomerai, Transgenic nematodes as biomonitors of microwave-induced stress, *Mutat. Res.* 399 (1998) 55–64.
- [72] D. de Pomerai, et al., Non-thermal heat-shock response to microwaves, *Nature* 405 (2000) 417–418.
- [73] S. Kwee, et al., The biological effects of microwave radiation, in: *Proceedings of the Second World Congress for Electricity and Magnetism in Biology and Medicine*, Bologna, Italy, June, 1997.
- [74] S. Kwee, et al., Changes in cellular proteins due to environmental non-ionizing radiation. I. Heat-shock proteins, *Electro Magnetobiol.* 20 (2001) 141–152.
- [75] D. Leszczynski, S. Oenvärri, J. Reivinen, R. Kuokka, Non-thermal activation of the hsp27/p38MAPK stress pathway by mobile phone radiation in human endothelial cells: molecular mechanism for cancer- and blood-brain barrier-related effects, *Differentiation* 70 (2002) 120–129.
- [76] D. Leszczynski, R. Nylund, S. Joensuu, J. Reivinen, Applicability of discovery science approach to determine biological effects of mobile phone radiation, *Proteomics* 4 (2) (2004) 426–431.
- [77] S. Lixia, Y. Ke, W. Kaijun, L. Dequiang, H. Huajun, G. Xiangwei, W. Baohong, Z. Wei, L. Jianling, W. Wei, Effects of 1.8 GHz

- Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF), 2007. <http://www.bioinitiative.org>.
- [2] REFLEX Program. Risk evaluation of potential environmental hazards from low frequency electromagnetic field exposure using sensitive in vitro methods. A project funded by the European Union under the programme Quality of Life and Management of Living Resources, Key Action 4 (2004).
- [3] L. Hardell, C. Sage. Biological effect from electromagnetic field exposure and public exposure standards, *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 62 (2008) 104–109, doi:10.1016/j.bipha.(2007)12.004.
- [4] L. Hardell, M. Carlberg, F. Söderqvist, K. Hansson Mild, Meta-analysis of long-term mobile phone use and the association with brain tumours, *Int. J. Oncol.* 32 (2008) 1097–1103.
- [5] P. Kan, S.E. Simonsen, J.L. Lyon, J.R.W. Kestle, Cellular phone use and brain tumor: a meta-analysis, *J. Neurooncol.* (2007), doi:10.1007/s11060-007-9432-1.
- [6] E. Cardis, Interphone Study Memo, International Agency for Cancer Research, October 2008.
- [7] S. Sadezki, A. Chetrit, A. Jarus-Hakak, E. Cardis, Y. Deutch, S. Dvendenani, A. Zultan, I. Novikov, L. Freedman, M. Wolf, Cellular phone use and risk of benign and malignant parotid gland tumors—a nationwide case-control study, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* (2008), doi:10.1093/aje/kwm325.
- [8] A. Lahkola, T. Salminen, J. Raitanen, S. Heinavaara, M.J. Schoemaker, H.C. Christensen, M. Feychting, C. Johansen, L. Klæboe, S. Lonn, A.J. Swerdlow, T. Tynes, A. Auvinen, Meningioma and mobile phone use—a collaborative case-control study in five North European countries, *Int. J. Epidemiol. Adv. Access* (August) (2008), doi:10.1093/ije/dyn155.
- [9] T. Takebayashi, S. Akiba, Y. Kikuchi, et al., Mobile phone use and acoustic neuroma risk in Japan, *Occup. Environ. Med.* 63 (2007) 802–807.
- [10] D.O. Carpenter, C.L. Sage, Setting prudent public health policy for electromagnetic field exposures, *Rev. Environ. Health* 23 (2) (2008) 91–117.
- [11] D.O. Carpenter, C.L. Sage, BioInitiative Working Group, Key scientific evidence and public health policy options, BioInitiative Report at <http://www.bioinitiative.org>, 17 (2007).
- [12] European Commission, Health and Consumer Protection, Scientific Committee on SCENIHR Report on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks—Possible Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) on Human Health, 2007.
- [13] World Health Organization, Extremely Low Frequency Fields Environmental Health Criteria Monograph 238, 2007. <http://www.who.int/peh-emf/project/en> and [http://www.who.int/peh-emf/meetings/elf\\_emf\\_workshop\\_2007/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/peh-emf/meetings/elf_emf_workshop_2007/en/index.html).
- [14] [http://news.cnet.com/Emerging-markets-fuel-cell-phone-growth/2100-1039\\_3-6159491.html](http://news.cnet.com/Emerging-markets-fuel-cell-phone-growth/2100-1039_3-6159491.html).
- [15] <http://news.softpedia.com/news/2-14-billion-cell-phone-subscribers-in-2005-2120.shtml>.
- [16] [http://www.ctia.org/media/industry\\_info/index.cfm/AID/10323](http://www.ctia.org/media/industry_info/index.cfm/AID/10323).
- [17] <http://www.nytimes.com/2008/09/20/us/20messaging.html> and Members Info, Electronics Industries Alliance Website, November, 2000.; Members Info, Electronics Industries Alliance Website, November 2000.
- [18] L. Hardell, M. Carlberg, K. Hansson Mild, Pooled analysis of two case-control studies on use of cellular and cordless telephones and the risk for malignant brain tumours diagnosed in 1997–2003, *Int. Arch. Environ. Health* 79 (2006) 630–639, doi:10.1007/s00420-006-0088-5.
- [19] M. Kundi, The controversy about a possible relationship between mobile phone use and cancer, *Environ. Health Persp.* (September) (2008), doi: 101289/ehp.11902.
- [20] J. Pronczuk-Garbino (Ed.), Children's Health and the Environment: A Global Perspective, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2005, p. 367.
- [21] World Health Organization, Children's Health and Environment: A Review of Evidence: A Joint Report from the European Environmental Agency and The World Health Organization, 2002. <http://www.who.int/peh-emf>.
- [22] O.P. Gandhi, G. Lazzi, C.M. Furze, Electromagnetic absorption in the human head and neck for mobile telephones at 835 and 1900 MHz, *IEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* 44 (1996) 1884–1896.
- [23] O.P. Gandhi, G. Kang, Some present problems and a proposed experimental phantom for SAR compliance testing of cellular telephones at 835 and 1900 MHz, *Phys. Med. Biol.* 47 (2002) 1501–1518.
- [24] J. Wiart, A. Hadjem, M.F. Wong, I. Bloch, Analysis of RF exposure in the head tissues of children and adults, *Phys. Med. Biol.* 53 (2008) 3681–3695;
- J. Wiart, A. Hadjem, M.F. Wong, I. Bloch, Analysis of RF exposure in the head tissues of children and adults, *Wiart Phys. Med. Biol.* 53 (June) (2008) 2771–2783.
- [25] H.A. Divan, L. Kheifets, C. Obel, J. Olsen, Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children, *Epidemiology* 19 (4) (2008).
- [26] International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection, Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz), *Health Phys.* 74(4)(1998) 494–522. <http://www.icnirp.de>.
- [27] Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc (IEEE), Section 4.2 of "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz," ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992. New York, NY, 1992.
- [28] H. Lai, N.P. Singh, Single and double strand DNA breaks in rat brain cells after acute exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 69 (1996) 513–521.
- [29] S. Ivancsits, A. Pilger, E. Diem, O. Jahn, H.W. Rudinger, Cell type-specific genotoxic effects of intermittent extremely-low frequency electromagnetic fields, *Mutat. Res.* 583 (2005) 184–188.
- [30] J. Phillips, et al., DNA damage in mol-4 lymphoblastoid cells exposed to cellular telephone radiofrequency fields in vitro, *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.* 45 (1998) 103–110.
- [31] R.J. Aitken, L.E. Bennetts, D. Sawyer, A.M. Wiklundt, B.V. King, Impact of radio frequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA integrity in the male germline, *Int. J. Androl.* 28 (2005) 171.
- [32] J.Y. Kim, S.Y. Hong, Y.M. Lee, S.A. Yu, W.S. Koh, J.R. Hong, T. Son, S.K. Chang, M. Lee, In vitro assessment of clastogenicity of mobile-phone radiation (835 MHz) using the alkaline comet assay and chromosomal aberration test, *Environ. Toxicol.* 23 (2008) 319.
- [33] S. Lixia, K. Yao, W. Kaijun, I. Deglang, H. Huajun, G. Xiangwei, W. Bachong, Z. Wei, L. Jianling, W. Wei, Effects of 1.8 GHz radiofrequency field on DNA damage and expression of heat shock protein 70 in human lens epithelial cells, *Mutat. Res.* 602 (2006) 135.
- [34] R. Paulraj, J. Behari, Single strand DNA breaks in rat brain cells exposed to microwave radiation, *Mutat. Res.* 596 (2006) 76.
- [35] M. Mashevich, D. Folkman, A. Kesar, A. Barbul, R. Korenstein, E. Jerby, L. Avivi, Exposure of human peripheral blood lymphocytes to electromagnetic fields associated with cellular phones leads to chromosomal instability, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (2003) 82.
- [36] I.G. Akoev, M.S. Pashovkina, L.P. Dolgacheva, T.P. Semenova, V.L. Kalmykov, Enzymatic activity of some tissues and blood serum from animals and humans exposed to microwaves and hypothesis on the possible role of free radical processes in the nonlinear effects and modification of emotional behavior of animals, *Radiat. Biol. Radioecol.* 42 (3) (2002) 32–330.
- [37] C. Blackman, et al., The influence of 1.2  $\mu$ T, 60 Hz magnetic fields on melatonin and tamoxifen-induced inhibition of MCF-7 cell growth, *Bioelectromagnetics* 22 (2) (2001) 122–128.
- [38] D.E. Blask, S.M. Hill, Effects of melatonin on cancer: studies on MCF-7 human breast cancer cells in culture, *J. Neural Transm. Suppl.* 21 (1986) 433–449.

- radiofrequency field on DNA damage and expression of heat shock protein 70 in human lens epithelial cells, *Mutat. Res.* (2006), doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2006.08.010.
- [78] M. Simko, C. Hartwig, M. Lantow, M. Lupke, M.O. Mattsson, Q. Rahman, J. Rollwitz, Hsp 70 expression and free radical release after exposure to non-thermal radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and ultrafine particles in human Mono Mac 6 cells, *Toxicol. Lett.* 161 (2006) 73–82 (Elsevier Science Direct).
- [79] S. Velizarov, The effects of radiofrequency fields on cell proliferation are non-thermal, *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.* 48 (1999) 177–180.
- [80] D. Weisbrot, H. Lin, L. Ye, M. Blank, R. Goodman, Effects of mobile phone radiation on reproduction and development in *Drosophila melanogaster*, *J. Cell Biochem.* 89 (1) (2003) 48–55.
- [81] T. Olivares-Banuelos, L. Navarro, A. Gonzalez, R. Drucker-Colin, Differentiation of chromaffin cells elicited by ELF MF modifies gene expression pattern, *Cell Biol. Int.* 28 (2004) 273–279.
- [82] R. Zhao, S. Zhang, Z. Xu, L. Ju, D. Lu, G. Yao, Studying gene expression profile of rat neuron exposed to 1800 MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic fields with cDNA microarray, *Toxicology* 235 (2007) 167–175.
- [83] A. Karinen, S. Hejnovaara, R. Nylund, D. Leszczynski, Mobile phone radiation might alter protein expression in human skin, *BMC Genomics* 9 (2008) 77, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-77.
- [84] J. Vanderstraeten, L. Verschaeve, Gene and protein expression following exposure to radiofrequency fields from mobile phones, *Environ. Health Persp.* 116 (2008) 1131–1135.
- [85] O. Abdel-Rassoul, O.A. El-Fateh, M.A. Salem, A. Michael, F. Farahat, M. El-Batanouny, E. Salem, Neurobehavioral effects among inhabitants around mobile phone base stations, *Neurotoxicology* 28 (2007) 434–440.
- [86] P. Achermann, Exposure to pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic field during waking affects human sleep EEG, *Neuroreport* 11 (15) (2000) 3321–3325.
- [87] E.S. Altpeter, T.H. Krebs, Study on health effects of the shortwave transmitter station of Schwarzenburg, Bern, Switzerland, University of Bern BEW Publications Study No. 56, The Federal Office of Energy, 1995.
- [88] A.A. Borbely, et al., Pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic field affects human sleep and sleep electroencephalogram, *Neurosci. Lett.* 275 (3) (1999) 207–210.
- [89] R. Huber, T. Graf, K.A. Cote, L. Wittmann, E. Gallmann, D. Matter, J. Schuderer, N. Kuster, A.A. Borbely, P. Achermann, Exposure to pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic field during waking affects human sleep EEG, *Neuroreport* 11 (15) (2000) 3321–3325.
- [90] K. Mann, Effects of pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic fields on human sleep, *Neuropsychobiology* 33 (1996) 41–47.
- [91] G. Oberfeld, The microwave syndrome—further aspects of a Spanish study, in: Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Bioelectromagnetic Effects of Electromagnetic Fields, Kos, Greece, 2004.
- [92] R. Santini, M. Seigne, L. Bonhomme-Faivre, S. Bouffet, E. Defrasne, M. Sage, Symptoms experienced by users of digital cellular phones: a pilot study in a French engineering school, *Pathol. Biol. (Paris)* 49 (3) (2001) 222–226.
- [93] R. Santini, P. Santini, P. Le Ruz, J.M. Danze, M. Seigne, Survey study of people living in the vicinity of cellular phone base stations, *Electromag. Biol. Med.* 22 (2003) 41–49.
- [94] TNO Physics and Electronics Laboratory, The Netherlands, Effects of Global Communication System Radio-frequency Fields on Well-being and Cognitive Functions of Human Beings With and Without Subjective Complaints, Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research (2003), pp. 1–63.
- [95] E.S. Altpeter, T.H. Krebs, Study on health effects of the shortwave transmitter station of Schwarzenburg, Bern, Switzerland, University of Bern BEW Publications Study No. 56, The Federal Office of Energy, Switzerland, 1995.
- [96] S.E. Chia, Prevalence of headache among handheld cellular telephone users in Singapore: a community study, *Environ. Health Persp.* 108 (11) (2000) 1059–1062.
- [97] H. Chiang, et al., Health effects of environmental electromagnetic fields, *J. Bioelectr.* 8 (1989) 127–131.
- [98] H. D'Costa, et al., Human brain wave activity during exposure to radiofrequency field emissions from mobile phones, *Aust. Phys. Eng. Sci. Med.* 26 (4) (2003).
- [99] H.P. Hutter, H. Moshhammer, P. Wallner, M. Kundi, Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations, *Occup. Env. Med.* 63 (2006) 307–313.
- [100] M. Koivisto, et al., Effects of 902 MHz electromagnetic field emitted by cellular telephones on response times in humans, *Neuroreport* 11 (2000) 413–415.
- [101] M. Koivisto, et al., The effects of electromagnetic field emitted by GSM phones on working memory, *Neuroreport* 11 (2002) 1641–1643.
- [102] A.A. Kolodynski, V.V. Kolodynska, Motor and psychological functions of school children living in the area of the Skrunda radio location station in Latvia, *Sci. Total Environ.* 180 (1996) 87–93.
- [103] C.M. Krause, L. Sillanmaki, M. Koivisto, A. Haggqvist, C. Saarela, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Effects of electromagnetic field emitted by cellular phones on the EEG during a memory task, *Neuroreport* 11 (4) (2000) 761–764.
- [104] C.M. Krause, L. Sillanmaki, M. Koivisto, A. Haggqvist, C. Saarela, A. Revonsuo, M. Laine, H. Hamalainen, Effects of electromagnetic fields emitted by cellular phones on the electroencephalogram during a visual working memory task, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 76 (12) (2000) 1659–1667.
- [105] J. Lass, et al., Effects of 7 Hz-modulated 450 MHz electromagnetic radiation on human performance in visual memory tasks, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 73 (10) (2002) 937–944.
- [106] A.A. Marino, E. Nilssen, C. Frlot, Nonlinear changes in brain electrical activity due to cell phone radiation, *Bioelectromagnetics* 24 (5) (2003) 339–346.
- [107] G. Oberfeld, et al., The microwave syndrome—further aspects of a Spanish study, in: Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Bioelectromagnetic Effects of Electromagnetic Fields, Kos, Greece, 2004.
- [108] A. Preece, et al., Effect of a 915-MHz simulated mobile phone signal on c function in man, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 75 (1999) 447–456.
- [109] S.J. Regel, S. Negovetic, M. Roosli, V. Berdinas, J. Schuderer, A. Huss, U. Lotz, N. Kuster, P. Achermann, UMTS base station-like exposure, well-being and cognitive performance, *Environ. Health Persp.* 114 (2006) 1270–1275.
- [110] S. Eltiti, D. Wallace, A. Ridgwell, K. Zougkou, R. Russo, F. Sepulveda, D. Mirshekar-Syahkal, P. Rasor, R. Deebie, E. Fox, Does short-term exposure to mobile phone base station signals increase symptoms in individuals who report sensitivity to electromagnetic fields? A double-blind randomized provocation study, *Environ. Health Persp.* 115 (2007) 1603–1608.
- [111] E.F. Pace-Schott, J.A. Hobson, The neurobiology of sleep: genetics, cellular physiology and subcortical networks, *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3 (2002) 591–605.
- [112] J.B. Burch, J.S. Reif, C.W. Noonan, T. Ichinose, A.M. Bachand, T.L. Koleber, M.G. Yost, Melatonin metabolite excretion among cellular telephone users, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 78 (2002) 1029–1036.
- [113] M.L. Clark, J.B. Burch, M.G. Yost, Y. Zhai, A.M. Bachand, C.T. Fitzpatrick, J. Ramaprasad, L.A. Cragin, J.S. Reif, Biomonitoring of estrogen and melatonin metabolites among women residing near radio and television broadcasting transmitters, *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 49 (2007) 1149–1156.
- [114] L.M. Wang, N.A. Suthana, D. Chaudhury, D.R. Weaver, C.S. Colwell, Melatonin inhibits hippocampal long-term potentiation, *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22 (2005) 2231–2237.

- [115] M. Ozcan, B. Yilmaz, D.O. Carpenter, Effects of melatonin on synaptic transmission and long term potentiation in two areas of mouse hippocampus, *Brain Res.* 1111 (2006) 90–94.
- [116] R.J. Reiter, L. Tang, J.J. Garcia, A. Munoz-Hoyos, Pharmacological actions of melatonin in oxygen radical pathophysiology, *Life Sci.* 60 (1997) 2255–2271.
- [117] C.W. Noonan, J.S. Reif, J.B. Burch, T.Y. Ichinose, M.G. Yost, K. Magnusson, Relationship between amyloid beta protein and melatonin metabolite in a study of electric utility workers, *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 44 (2002) 769–775.
- [118] L.G. Salford, A.E. Brun, et al., Nerve cell damage in mammalian brain after exposure to microwaves from GSM mobile phones, *Environ. Health Persp.* 111 (2003) 881–883.
- [119] J.L. Eberhardt, B.R.R. Persson, A.E. Brun, L.G. Salford, L.O.G. Malmgren, Blood-brain barrier permeability and nerve cell damage in rat brain 14 and 28 days after exposure to microwaves from GSM mobile phones, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 27 (2008) 215–229. doi:10.1080.1536370802344037.
- [120] L.O. Salford, et al., Permeability of the blood brain barrier induced by 915 MHz electromagnetic radiation continuous wave and modulated at 8, 16, 50 and 200 Hz, *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 27 (1994) 535–542.
- [121] A. Schirmacher, Electromagnetic fields (1.8 GHz) increase the permeability of sucrose of the blood-brain barrier in vitro, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (2000) 338–345.
- [122] Y. Hamnerius, Microwave exposure from mobile phones and base stations in Sweden, in: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cell Tower Siting*, sponsored by the University of Vienna & Land Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria, June 7–8, 2000.
- [123] E.D. Mantiply, Summary of measured radiofrequency electric and magnetic fields (10 kHz–30 GHz) in the general and work environment, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 563–577.
- [124] Sage Associates, 2004. An Overview of Low-intensity radiofrequency/microwave radiation studies relevant to wireless communications and data, *Bioelectromagnetics Society Annual Meeting*, Washington DC, June, 2004.
- [125] C. Sage, O. Johansson, S.A. Sage, Personal digital assistant (PDA) cell phone units produce elevated extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field emissions, *Bioelectromagnetics* 28 (7) (2007) 581–582.
- [126] C. Sage, O. Johansson, Response to comment on personal digital assistant (PDA) cell phone units produce elevated extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field emissions, *Bioelectromagnetics* (July) (2007), 17654541.
- [127] C. Sage, O. Johansson, Response to comment on measuring ELF fields produced by mobile phones and personal digital assistants (PDAs), *Bioelectromagnetics* (July) (2007), 17654536.
- [128] M. Tuor, S. Ebert, J. Schuderer, N. Kuster, Assessment of ELF magnetic fields from five mobile handsets, in: *ITIS Foundation, Conference Presentation, Monte Verita*, 2005.
- [129] T. Linde, K.H. Mild, Measurement of low frequency magnetic fields from digital cellular telephones, *Bioelectromagnetics* 18 (1997) 184–186 (Brief communication).
- [130] B. Armstrong, G. Theriault, P. Guenel, J. Deadman, M. Goldberg, P. Heroux, Association between exposure to pulsed electromagnetic fields and cancer in electrical utility workers in Ontario and Quebec, Canada, and France 1970–1989, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 140 (1994) 805–820.
- [131] G.M. Lee, R.R. Neutra, L. Hristova, M. Yost, R.A. Hiatt, A nested case-control study of residential and personal magnetic field measures and miscarriages, *Epidemiology* 13 (1) (2002) 21–31.
- [132] K.D. Li, R. Oudouli, S. Wi, T. Janevic, I. Golditch, T.D. Bracken, R. Senior, R. Rankin, R. Iriye, A population-based prospective cohort study of personal exposure to magnetic fields during pregnancy and the risk of spontaneous abortion, *Epidemiology* 13 (2002) 9–20.
- [133] G. Theriault, M. Goldberg, A.B. Miller, B. Armstrong, P. Guenel, J. Deadman, E. Imbernon, T. To, A. Chevalier, D. Cyr, C. Wall, Cancer risks associated with occupational exposure to magnetic fields among utility workers in Ontario and Quebec, Canada and France: 1970–1989, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 139 (1994) 550–572.
- [134] P. Michelozzi, A. Capon, U. Kirchmayer, F. Forastiere, A. Biggeri, A. Barca, C.A. Perucci, Adult and childhood leukemia near a high-power radio station in Rome, Italy, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 155 (2002) 1096–1103.
- [135] M. Ha, H. Im, M. Lee, H.J. Kim, B.C. Kim, Y.M. Gimn, J.K. Pack, Radio-frequency radiation exposure from AM radio transmitters and childhood leukemia and brain cancer, *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 166 (2007) 270–279.
- [136] C. Sage, D.O. Carpenter (Eds.), *BioInitiative Working Group BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF)*, 2007. www.bioinitiative.org.; C. Blackman, H. Lai, Chapters 6 and 14 of the BioInitiative Report, 2007.
- [137] A. Chiabrera, B. Bianco, E. Moggia, J.J. Kaufman, *Bioelectromagnetics* 21 (4) (2000) 312–324.
- [138] D.J. Panagopoulos, L.H. Margaritis, in: A.C. Harper, R.V. Bures (Eds.), *Mobile Telephony Radiation Effects on Living Organisms*, 2008, pp. 107–149. ISBN 978:1-60456-436-5.
- [139] W.R. Adey, in: P.J. Rosch, M.S. Markov (Eds.), *Potential Therapeutic Applications of Nonthermal Electromagnetic Fields: Ensemble Organization of Cells in Tissue as a Factor in Biological Field Sensing*, *Bioelectromagnetic Medicine*, 2004, pp. 1–16.
- [140] S. Engstrom, in: P.J. Rosch, M.S. Markov (Eds.), *Magnetic Field Generation and Dosimetry*, *Bioelectromagnetic Medicine*, 2004, pp. 39–50.
- [141] A. Pilla, in: F.S. Barnes, B. Greenebaum, (Eds.), *Mechanisms and Therapeutic Applications of Time-varying and Static Magnetic Fields in Biological and Medical Aspects of Electromagnetic Fields*, third edition, 2007, pp. 351–412.
- [142] M. Blank, R. Goodman, Initial interactions in electromagnetic field-induced biosynthesis, *J. Cell. Physiol.* 199 (2004) 359–363.
- [143] M. Blank, R. Goodman, A biological guide for electromagnetic safety: the stress response electromagnetic initiation of transcription at specific DNA sites, *Bioelectromagnetics* 25 (2004) 642–646.
- [144] M. Blank, *BEMS Soc. Newslett.* (January–February) (2008) 6–7.
- [145] G. Lotz, *Letter from Greg Lotz, PhD, Chief Physical Agents Effects Branch, Division of Biomedical and Behavioral Science, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health to Richard Tell, Chair, IEEE SCC28 (SC4) Risk Assessment Work Group*, June 17, 1999.
- [146] P. Grandjean, Implications of the precautionary principle for primary prevention and research, *Am. Rev. Public Health* 25 (2004) 199–223.
- [147] D. Davis, *The Secret History of the War on Cancer*, Basic Books, 2008.
- [148] R. Proctor, *Cancer Wars*, Harper Collins Publishers, 1995.
- [149] European Environmental Agency, *Late Lessons from Early Warnings. The Precautionary Principle 1896–2000*. Copenhagen, Denmark, 2001.
- [150] P. Landrigan, How much do chemicals affect our health? *Discover Mag.* (2008).
- [151] California Air Resources Board, *Appendix III Proposed Identification of Environmental Tobacco Smoke as a Toxic Air Contaminant. Part B—Health Effects*, 2005.

## The London Resolution

Olle Johansson\*

*The Experimental Dermatology Unit, Karolinska Institute, Department of Neuroscience, Stockholm, Sweden*

At a scientific conference on 27th November 2007 entitled—“Are Present ICNIRP EMF Exposure Recommendations Adequate?”, hosted by Roger Coghill and Robert Verkerk, at the Royal Society, London, scientists endorsed the BioInitiative Report, extended the 2006 Benevento Resolution and resolved that:

“We, the undersigned, do call on the UK Health Protection Agency (HPA), UK Government and all the health protection agencies and governments world-wide, to take note of the findings and recommendations in the BioInitiative Report (2007) [1] and its predecessors the Benevento Resolution (2006) [2], the Catania Resolution (2002) [3] and the Salzburg Resolution (2000) [4] to immediately reduce the guidelines for exposure to radiofrequency radiation (RF) and extremely low-frequency electromagnetic<sup>1</sup> fields (ELF-EMF) for the following reasons:

- The overwhelming evidence of adverse non-thermal health effects at exposures many times below the current guidelines.
- The near 100% penetration of the market in Europe, the USA and many other markets by mobile phones and increasing penetration elsewhere.
- The vast proliferation of wireless networks and devices beyond those envisaged at the time the current guidelines were set.

We call for the ICNIRP to reconvene as a matter of urgency to reassess the exposure guidelines and to develop and implement biologically based public safety limits reflecting the overall scientific evidence that existing ICNIRP guidelines are not sufficiently protective against health effects from chronic exposures to the rapidly increasing environmental-level ELF-EMF and RF...

\* Tel.: +46 8 52487073; fax: +46 8 303904.

E-mail address: olle.johansson@ki.se.

<sup>1</sup> Magnetic fields at 50–60 Hz.

Failing that:

- We call for the setting up of an independent body to define new biologically based public exposure limits and/or preventative actions, for ELF-EMF and RF, that address reported biological effects, which, with prolonged exposure, can reasonably be presumed to result in adverse health consequences.
- In the absence of such recommendations we suggest as an intermediate step that the HPA and UK Government immediately implement the ELF-EMF and RF recommendations of the BioInitiative Report 2007 and strive for the recommendations of the Public Health Department of the Government of Salzburg (2002) of 0.06 V/m for outdoor and 0.02 V/m for indoor RF exposure.

Based on the precautionary principle, children and vulnerable groups (such as people with epilepsy and heart conditions) should not be exposed to a risk of harm, thus we propose that

- Children under 16 should use mobile phones and cordless phones for emergency calls only.
- No Wi-fi, WiMax or other forms of wireless networking are placed in homes, schools or public areas or promoted for use thereof.
- That regular and frequent independent audits are undertaken of emissions to ensure that base stations (“masts”) do not exceed the new biologically based guidelines at any locality either singly or by accumulation. Such audits should be widely publicised and made available for public scrutiny.

The precautionary principle needs to be implemented.”

Signed:

Prof. Christopher Busby, School of Biomedical Sciences,  
Faculty of Life and Health Sciences, University of Ulsters  
Coleraine, UK

Mr. Roger Coghill, MA (Cantab) C Biol Mi Biol MA (Environ Mgt), Coghill Research Laboratories, Pontypool, Wales, UK

Dr. Andrew Goldsworthy, Honorary Lecturer, Imperial College, London, UK

Dr. Lennart Hardell, University Hospital, Orebro University, Orebro, Sweden

Prof. Olle Johansson, Experimental Dermatology Unit, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, and The Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

Dr. Gerd Oberfeld, Public Health Department, Salzburg Government, Salzburg, Austria

Mr. Alasdair Philips, B.Sc. (Eng), Director, Powerwatch, Sutton in the Isle, UK

Mr. Graham Philips, MBCS, Technical Manager, Powerwatch, Ely, UK

Ms Cindy Sage, Co-Editor, BioInitiative Report, Santa Barbara CA, USA

Dr. John Walker, Chartered Physicist, Sutton Coldfield, UK

## References

- [1] BioInitiative Report, <http://www.biointiative.org/report/docs/report.pdf>.
- [2] Benevento Resolution, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 25, 2006, pp. 197–200. <http://www.icems.eu/docs/BeneventoResolution.pdf>.
- [3] Catania Resolution, [http://www.plattform-mobilfunk-initiativen.at/englisch/CATANIA\\_eng.pdf](http://www.plattform-mobilfunk-initiativen.at/englisch/CATANIA_eng.pdf).
- [4] Salzburg Resolution, [http://www.salzburg.gv.at/salzburg\\_resolution\\_e.pdf](http://www.salzburg.gv.at/salzburg_resolution_e.pdf).

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation: =====

Title: Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation

FR Document Number: 2011-04399

Legacy Document ID:

RIN:

Publish Date: 3/2/2011 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Janet

Last Name: Newton

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 117

City: Marshfield

Country: United States

State or Province: VT

Postal Code: 05658

Organization Name: EMR Policy Institute

Due to the length of the attachments I am sending our complete filing in several parts.

This is the first transmittal.

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation: =====

Title: Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation

FR Document Number: 2011-04399

Legacy Document ID:

RIN:

Publish Date: 3/2/2011 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Laura

Last Name: Polacco

Mailing Address: 23551 Zuni ga Rd

City: Topanga

Country: United States

State or Province: CA

Postal Code: 90290

Organization Name: none

Hi,

I find it essential that a regular copper landline be available in rural areas. As someone who is very sensitive to wireless signals, including wifi, and many of emissions from broadband boxes (such as the Verizon Fios box and the Time Warner cable/internet box), a copper landline is the best way for me to communicate. This is a health issue. I am aware of many others who are similarly sensitive. Once I became so, I did some research and discovered that wireless and emf sensitivity is a condition currently recognized in one or two European countries (Sweden being one).

Without the availability of a copper line, I and others like me who are even more sensitive, would likely have to forgo any type of internet or phone service, for health reasons, and would therefore be cut off in an emergency.

I am looking at various rural areas for a place to move where I will be less exposed to wireless signals and emf emissions.

Requiring the elimination of copper lines in rural areas would be detrimental to the health of those who are EMF sensitive.

Your understanding of this particular health aspect of VOP (voice over internet) phone service would be greatly appreciated.

Please continue to support subsidizing copper lines in rural areas. Required VOP (voice over internet) phone lines will likely cause a great deal of health issues for the electromagnetically sensitive.

Sincerely,  
Laura Polacco

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation: =====

Title: Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation

FR Document Number: 2011-04399

Legacy Document ID:

RIN:

Publish Date: 3/2/2011 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Esther

Last Name: Le Sieur

Mailing Address: 26 Lakewood Drive

City: Daly City

Country: United States

State or Province: CA

Postal Code: 94015-3447

Organization Name: null

I am strongly opposed to, and very upset by, the FCC's proposal to eliminate landlines.

I am totally disabled with a multi-systemic condition, including Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity Syndrome and I am mainly housebound. Additionally, I live in earthquake country, i.e. the San Francisco Bay Area.

I cannot tolerate using a cell phone; I become very ill from wireless devices. Furthermore, if this proposal passes, it means there will be even more cell phone users and more cell towers, which will increase the amount of direct and indirect wireless radiation I am exposed to. That means I will become even more ill since I cannot get away from the electrosmog invading my home (including wireless smart meters, the neighbor's wifi, etc.), as the wireless radiation increases.

Cell phones also have security issues, and often lose their battery charge or get lost or broken just when needed. Besides, it is impossible to find a cell phone that is actually a phone nowadays. They are all internet/photo-taking/texting devices that also happen to have the capacity to make a phone call. They are expensive, and wireless companies require two year contracts with many fees for the "privilege" of using one. They quickly become "outdated", requiring further expenditure for a newer model. It's outrageous, especially for those of us who have no need for the additional services that come with a cell phone.

We are always told to have a landline in case of an earthquake since cell towers get knocked down/put out of commission. Without landlines, our access to emergency help will be nil. How do we call for help? Even if a cell phone did work, there is no caller I.D. showing our location. Furthermore, if we are supposed to use a phone service through our computers, and there is no electricity, how can we do that if we have a desktop computer?

This proposal is dangerous to the health, safety, and security of the people. Please do not allow it to go forward. Thank you.

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation: =====

Title: Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation

FR Document Number: 2011-04399

Legacy Document ID:

RIN:

Publish Date: 3/2/2011 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Monet

Last Name: Clark

Mailing Address: 8033 W. Sunset Blvd. #366

City: West Hollywood

Country: United States

State or Province: CA

Postal Code: 90046

Organization Name: null

Hi,

I don't feel well using cell phones and need to have a landline option so that all my calls are not on a cell. It is also convenient for other reasons. I am legally disabled with CFS and MCS and the cell phones are known to cause problems for ppl with my condition. Please allow for ppl like me and other's who choose to not have to only use cells. I know many people who are not ill that feel the cell phone is a health risk and prefer their landlines. Thank you.