

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation:=====

Title: Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation

FR Document Number: 2011-04399

Legacy Document ID:

RIN:

Publish Date: 3/2/2011 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Diane

Last Name: Schou

Mailing Address: 58 Wesley Chapel Road

City: Green Bank

Country: United States

State or Province: WV

Postal Code: 24944

Organization Name: individual

Please see the attached file.

## FCC-2011-0078-0001

- 1) Thousands of American have a severely disabling condition known as electrical hypersensitivity. Wireless communications are barriers to those of us with this condition. Wireless communication is invisible radiation. Broadband transmissions over power-lines emit frequencies and contaminated electricity that interfere with neurological processes. The emissions were and are invasive and usually are on 24/7. These invisible emissions are harmful and are barriers denying access to electromagnetic radiation sensitive persons.
- 2) We EMS disabled already are not able to go to places where there are cell towers, wi-fi, cell phones, or other wireless communications. Because of this, access is already limited. It is essential that a network of fixed communications remain and be maintained. Reducing funding for or removing landline or corded telephone technology makes us EVEN MORE isolated and will be denying access to communication via telephone. Access to society, emergency, etc. will be denied.
- 3) Biological health effects have been withheld from the public. The good research is difficult to obtain. What is obtainable are reports that were funded by industry, selectively manipulated or distorted showing no to little health effects.
- 4) The latent assumption in this proposal is that wireless technologies are safe. Media attention is always directed to the issues to where mobile phone use is related to cancer. A person severely affected by electromagnetic radiation stated "Cancer is not the worse thing that can happen to you." This is actual.
- 5) Addressed in the proposal is communication to health care facilities, communication between health care facilities, life-line communication; BUT NO WHERE is there identification of, nor suggestion of, protection for us electromagnetic radiation sensitive or disabled.
- 6) NO WHERE is there acknowledgement HEALTH CARE EXPENSES MAY LIKELY RISE as people develop symptoms from overexposure to wireless communication or contaminated electricity devices.
- 7) NO WHERE does this report address the negative effects including health , environment, animals, plants.
- 8) I continue to become disabled after being exposed to a nearby cell tower and now I remain sensitive to main forms of electricity. Originally, I was injured by invisible, far-reaching emissions from a new cell phone tower, 1/3 mile from my home. Anyone can become sensitized if the right "trigger" alters their protective immune system. After approximately nine months of cell tower emissions permeating my home 24/7, electromagnetic radiation symptoms of injury appeared and increased as I stayed exposed. Later in the first year, symptoms lessened or disappeared when there was no exposure to *that cell tower unique frequency emissions*. I now react to other frequencies within four days. Perhaps due to the overexposure of the first frequency and/or accumulation, I now react

to others. I do not want any! Where to go? How to survive? Does America ignore our plea?

- 9) If the proposers of points with this national broadband plan are unaware of health effects, and disabilities due to electromagnetic radiation, then the proposers are either not knowledgeable enough, they were close minded, or they were deliberately avoiding negative consequences. If the proposers of this document are aware of, have been informed, have been alerted negative health effects and disabilities, possible environmental changes due to electromagnetic radiation, then their actions and judgments in drafting these proposals are criminal! Hiding behind biased or falsified research is wrong. Falsified or biased research funded by the mobile telecom industry does not make the condition disappear.

D. Schou