

MAR 05 2013

Individual Report for DELEON C

ID Number: AB 4912
 Test Date: 02/14/12
 Run Date: 02/14/12
 Page No: 4

Glenn ABE 1118
 POC Mail Room
 TABE 9/10 Basic Ed
 Glenn, C ABE
 22
 Entire group

Skill Areas	L/F	RS	NA	SS	GE	NP	NRS	NS	OM	Predicted GED
Reading	E0	28	50	416	2.6	15	2	3	20	
Math Compu	E0	39	40	510	6.1	55		5	100	
Applied Math	E0	37	49	476	5.1	34		4	67	
Language	E0	31	55	463	3.0	26	2	4	33	
Total Math		76	89	493	5.6	45	3	5		
Total Battery		135	194	457	3.8	25		4		

L/F=Test Lev & Frm RS=Raw Score NA=No. Attempted
 SS=Scale Score GE=Grade Equiv NP=National %ile
 NRS=Literary Level NS=National Stan OM=% Obj. Mastered

Objectives	Score	MST	Percent	Objectives	Score	MST	Percent
Reading				Language			
E01 Intrp Graph	8/10	+	80	E30 Usage	6/12	P	50
E02 Wd In Contx	2/ 5	-	40	E31 Sent Forma	4/ 9	-	44
E03 Recall Info	8/14	P	57	E32 Para Devel	1/ 8	-	12
E04 Const Mean	5/14	-	35	E33 Capitaliz	8/10	+	80
E05 Eval/Ex Mng	5/ 7	P	71	E34 Punctuation	7/10	P	70
Subtest Avg			56	E35 Writg Conv	5/ 6	+	83
				Subtest Avg			56
Math Compu				Total Average			
E11 Add Whl Num	9/ 9	+	100				69
E12 Sub Whl Num	8/ 8	+	100				
E13 Mul Whl Num	8/ 8	+	100				
E14 Div Whl Num	7/ 8	+	87				
E15 Decimals	7/ 7	+	100				
Subtest Avg			98				
Applied Math							
E21 Num Operatn	8/10	+	80				
E22 Comp Contxt	4/ 7	P	57				
E23 Estimation	5/ 5	+	100				
E24 Measurement	3/ 5	P	60				
E25 Geometry	2/ 5	-	40				
E26 Data Analy	5/ 6	+	83				
E27 Stat/Prob	3/ 4	+	75				
E28 Pre-Alg/Alg	4/ 4	+	100				
E29 Prob Solvg	3/ 4	+	75				
Subtest Avg			74				

'MST'=Mastery Level '-'=Non-Mastery 'P'=Partial Mastery '+'=Mastery

Subtest Avg 76

Applied Math

E21 Num Operatn	80
E22 Comp Contxt	57
E23 Estimation	100
E24 Measurement	60
E25 Geometry	40
E26 Data Analy	83
E27 Stat/Prob	75
E28 Pre-Alg/Alg	100
E29 Prob Solvg	75
Subtest Avg	74

DIVISION OF ADULT INSTITUTIONS

California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison at Corcoran
900 Quebec Ave.
P.O. Box 7100
Corcoran, CA 93212

**Attachment L**

December 24, 2012

Deleon AB 4912
California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison at Corcoran
P.O. Box 7100
Corcoran, Ca. 93212

**APPEAL LOG # SATF-A-12-5519
FIRST LEVEL RESPONSE**

APPEAL ISSUE: You allege that while using the TDD phone to call your wife, utilizing relay services, the operator said that the message was “garbled” and that you needed to re-send the message. You believe that either the TDD machine or the phone being utilized has a problem, or that background noises and sound from the Public Address system are to blame.

INTERVIEW: On December 24, 2012, you were interviewed by K. Lowther, Correctional Sergeant, regarding your appeal. You were afforded the opportunity to further explain your appeal and to provide any supporting evidence or documents. A review of the DECS report reflects that you are listed as DPH and your TABE score is listed as (2.6): Your primary method of communication is ASL. Effective communication was established utilizing J. Saravia, ASL interpreter. You reiterated in your own words what was explained and you provided appropriate, substantive responses to the questions asked.

SUMMARY: Your appeal, the attachments and related policies including the Armstrong Remedial Plan (ARP) and the Disability Placement Program (DPP) Operational procedure (OP-403) have been reviewed.

During your interview with Sergeant K. Lowther, you were advised that the phone being utilized for the TDD machine was recently replaced. You stated that you have since used it and it appears to function a little better, but you believe that if the TDD machine had a direct line instead of utilizing the phone, it would work better.

In your CDCR 1824 (Reasonable modification or accommodation request), you are requesting that the TDD machine be located in a quiet area without sounds. You additionally request that the TDD machine be replaced or have better maintenance conducted.


DECISION: Based on the aforementioned information, your appeal is **Partially Granted** at the First Level of Review. A review of the housing unit TDD phone sign up list, and the

Deleon AB 4912
SATF-A-12-5519
Page 2

direct observation by Sergeant K. Lowther of another inmate utilizing the TDD phone revealed that the phone is functioning with minimal interference in the location of use.

A work order was submitted on to plant operations on December 24, 2012 for maintenance to be conducted on the TDD phone and to review the possibility of a direct line to reduce the amount of background interference.

If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you may appeal to the Second Level by following the instructions on your appeal form.



K. Lowther
Sergeant
CSATF/SP

CSATF APPEALS
DEC 27 2012



V. RAMIREZ
Associate Warden (A)
CSATF/SP

MAR - 5 2013

Dear Whom, it may concern

FCC Mail Room 2-25-2013.

I got letter from Prison Law Office. Talk about T.D.D. and Vider Phone. I tell them that I no good read & writing. Try my better write to explain them try understand what I say. OK, I had been problem the phone T.D.D. had problem with garble my family dont have any T.D.D. only V.P. (Video Phone) cause They easy cummatite is Home Sign Langer as I had 6 Kids never been typer as They 90 charge on us collage hard time. And my wife no good to read, She is full dent. Plus far away from my place never seen been most 5 year, final She suffer chance visi. me last my day I knew She hard to living without n. She had support to Rent & Bill & Kids that reason. who is my love for sake Kids and wife. Please help me out, Hope they can do work on me. I had provide grade is low my brain level not smart enought. They state dont have dial number for V.P. they are block that I have nothing do with never hear any Family that why. Thank you for your time read about it.

Sincerely,

Clemente De Leon

#AB4912.

P.O. BOX 7100

Corcoran, CA 93212.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

Received & Inspected

MAR 05 2013

FCC Mail Room

February 24th, 2013

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street
Sw; Room Tw-8204
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Public Commentary(Docket No. 12-375)

Dear Secretary Dortch:

This correspondence is in response to the recent complaints regarding inmate telephone rates in various states. I am currently incarcerated within the state of Pennsylvania at S.C.I. Huntingdon, in Huntingdon Pennsylvania whereupon Global * Link is the sole provider of telephone service for the prison. I would like to formally make a public comment in regards to Docket No. 12-375, and I would like to make suggestions which would create a fair and impartial service to inmates in Pennsylvania as well as inmates in other states.

Over the years there have been many attempts to make complaints against the various telephone providers. Whether it be service, or rate structures, the fact is that the telephone providers contracted are merely exploiting inmates and their families because they are allowed complete autonomy over telephone calls made by inmates across the various states. Corporations such as Global Tel*Link bid state contracts promising states a "Kick-Back", and once they become the sole provider of service they refuse to cooperate with other service providers within the state. Therefore, a call made from Central Pennsylvania is bounced across the country and ultimately connected in the Eastern region of the state for a ridiculous sur-charge and other connection fees. Inmates and their families are taxed on various levels. State, local, and Federal taxes add up along with other connection fees making calls here in Pennsylvania well over \$5.00 for fifteen(15) minutes.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

I am happy to read that this Honorable Commission is finally addressing this issue which has plagued inmates for years as well as their families and friends. These forced monopolized purchases can no longer take place, and I believe that this commission has the authority to stop or regulate such contracts in order to create a fair trade practice between the states and these private contractors.

It seems that the prominent complaint being asserted is the simple fact that rates are entirely too high. I would like to make a few suggestions in regards to phone rates and some programs which can be implemented in order to balance out the complaints and come to an accord between consumer and provider.

One example can be set by the Philadelphia County Prison System. Every inmate receives one(1) ten(10) minute FREE call on a daily basis. Other incentives include that there are no connection fees. The state of Michigan offers a flat rate of \$1.80 per call no matter where you call in the U.S.. Providers such as ATT offer a plan which allows a fifteen(15) minute call for only a \$1.00 per call.

Incentives such as the Free ten(10) minute call can be off-set by the Inmate General Welfare Fund(I.G.W.F.), which in Pennsylvania is a fund set up for incarcerated individuals to purchase necessities for inmates. Money is deducted from inmate purchases in order to contribute to this fund. This is a great service that can be offered without putting a burden on either party. Other states have similar funds which they can utilize for this purpose as well.

Inmates and their families should have a choice to choose their service providers instead of being forced to pay such absurd prices or fees from these forced monopolized purchases. Options made by providers such as ATT should be made readily available to inmates and their families.

The states refuse to address such contracts concerning rate structures citing that they have no power to regulate such contracts. Although their public utility commissions do have the authority to do so; if they refuse to regulate these nefarious trade practices, then I believe that it is the responsibility of the Federal Communications Commission to intervene protect the interest of consumer. Either by mandating the state agencies in question to address and resolve the matter regarding rate disputes, or this Honorable Commission taking control of this

situation and regulating such contract agreements themselves.

In closing, I hope that my commentary can somehow assist in your task of righteously resolving this matter. I would like to personally thank you for your time and consideration of this matter which affects not only inmates, but our families who are tax paying citizens. We only seek fairness and comity regarding this matter sub judice and I believe that your agency can offer that result which will protect everyone as a collective...

Sincerely Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Anthony Senow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the typed name "Anthony Senow".

Received & Inspected

MAR 05 2013

FCC Mail Room

February 24th, 2013

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street
Sw; Room Tw-8204
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Public Commentary(Docket No. 12-375)

Dear Secretary Dortch:

This correspondence is in response to the recent complaints regarding inmate telephone rates in various states. I am currently incarcerated within the state of Pennsylvania at S.C.I. Huntingdon, in Huntingdon Pennsylvania whereupon Global * Link is the sole provider of telephone service for the prison. I would like to formally make a public comment in regards to Docket No. 12-375, and I would like to make suggestions which would create a fair and impartial service to inmates in Pennsylvania as well as inmates in other states.

Over the years there have been many attempts to make complaints against the various telephone providers. Whether it be service, or rate structures, the fact is that the telephone providers contracted are merely exploiting inmates and their families because they are allowed complete autonomy over telephone calls made by inmates across the various states. Corporations such as Global Tel*Link bid state contracts promising states a "Kick-Back", and once they become the sole provider of service they refuse to cooperate with other service providers within the state. Therefore, a call made from Central Pennsylvania is bounced across the country and ultimately connected in the Eastern region of the state for a ridiculous sur-charge and other connection fees. Inmates and their families are taxed on various levels. State, local, and Federal taxes add up along with other connection fees making calls here in Pennsylvania well over \$5.00 for fifteen(15) minutes.

I am happy to read that this Honorable Commission is finally addressing this issue which has plagued inmates for years as well as their families and friends.

no. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Marlene H. Dortch "Secretary"
Federal Communication Commission
445 12th Street SW Room TW-B204
Washington DC 20554

Received & Inspected

MAR 05 2013

FCC Mail Room

February 27, 2013

Dear Secretary Dortch,

This is public comment for WC - Docket number 12-375

Asking For:


No Connection fees

3¢ a minute

No commission for the jail, or the AT&T \$1.00 for 15 minutes

We would also accept the same rates that was given to the PA prisoners while housed in the Michigan prison.

Respectfully,



Wade Hairston

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0