

Dear Public Servants,

I hear from the Parents Television Council that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has recently proposed changing the rules governing their enforcement of broadcast decency laws. Under the new rules, the FCC would only investigate and issue fines against the most "egregious" broadcast content. Harsh profanity and "non-sexual" nudity might not be punished under the new rules IF we allow those new rules to be implemented.

I am opposed to this!

Let me get this right. Does this mean the FCC is giving networks license to air profanity and nudity at any time? - regardless of how many children might be in the viewing audience!?!

Since I believe it seems that if you give the networks an inch, so to speak, they'll take a mile. So if this rule change goes into effect, can we expect to see more sex, profanity and graphic nudity coming into homes over the airwaves WE OWN?

I'm outraged! I understand the FCC was created to protect us, not to allow the entertainment industry to do whatever they want! Families will lose even more if Hollywood is allowed to push this stuff on us.

I understand the FCC is accepting public comments this month on the proposed rules change. These are mine.

Here are some more of my concerns, as I've copied-and-pasted them from the PTC's e-newsletter:

Last summer, after ten long years of legal battles, the Supreme Court affirmed the authority of the FCC to enforce broadcast decency laws. But instead of moving to clear the backlog of more than 1.5 million unadjudicated complaints that accumulated during those years of legal wrangling, the FCC did nothing.

That is, until recently, when the FCC quietly dumped more than one million citizen complaints because they were "stale."

They were stale because of the FCC's inaction! And now the Chairman essentially wants to gut the enforcement of decency laws! Who will decide what's "egregious" enough for the FCC to issue a fine? And you can bet there'd be yet another round of legal challenges over the FCC's new arbitrary standards for what constitutes an "egregious" offense.

This rules change cannot be allowed to take place.

Our children are watching.

...looking to Jesus...

. . . Dan Homan

Carl D (Dan) Homan Jr.